

(γ, eD)-Number of Edge Added Graphs

^[1] A.Mahalakshmi, ^[2] K.Palani, ^[3] S.Somasundaram

^[1] Assistant Professor Department of Mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Tirunelveli- 627011, Tamil Nadu, India, ^[2] Assistant Professor Department of Mathematics, A.P.C.Mahalaxmi College for Women, Thoothukudi - 628002, Tamilnadu, India, ^[3] Professor, Department of Mathematics, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli-627012, Tamilnadu

Abstract:-- A subset S of V is called an edge detour set of G if every edge in G lies on a detour joining a pair of vertices of S . The edge detour number $dn_1(G)$ of G is the minimum order of its edge detour sets and any edge detour set of order dn_1 is an edge detour basis. An edge detour dominating set is a subset S of $V(G)$ which is both dominating and an edge detour set of G . The smallest cardinality of an edge detour dominating set of G is called the edge detour domination number of G . In this paper, it is found for the (γ, eD)-number of an edge added graphs of some known graphs such as path, cycle and complete graph.

Keywords – Edge Detour, Edge detour domination, Edge detour domination of edge added.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of domination was introduced by Ore and Berge[6]. Let G be a finite, undirected connected graph with neither loops nor multiple edges. A subset D of $V(G)$ is a dominating set of G if every vertex in $V-D$ is adjacent to atleast one vertex in D . The minimum cardinality among all dominating sets of G is called the domination number $\gamma(G)$ of G . We consider connected graphs with atleast two vertices. For basic definitions and terminologies, we refer Harary[1]. For vertices u and v in a connected graph G , the detour distance $D(u,v)$ is the length of longest $u-v$ path in G . A $u-v$ path of length $D(u,v)$ is called a $u-v$ detour. A subset S of $V(G)$ is called a detour set if every vertex in G lie on a detour joining a pair of vertices of S . The detour number $dn(G)$ of G is the minimum order of a detour set and any detour set of order $dn(G)$ is called a detour basis of G . These concepts were studied by Chartrand[3]. A subset S of $V(G)$ is called an edge detour set of G if every edge in G lie on a detour joining a pair of vertices of S . The edge detour number $dn_1(G)$ of G is the minimum order of its edge detour sets and any edge detour set of order dn_1 is an edge detour basis. A graph G is called an edge detour graph if it has as edge detour set. Edge

detour graph were introduced and studied by Santhkumaran and Athisayanathan[8].

The following results are from [4].

Theorem 1.1: The domination numbers of some standard graph are given as follows.

1. $\gamma(P_p) = \left\lceil \frac{p}{3} \right\rceil, p \geq 3$
2. $\gamma(C_p) = \left\lceil \frac{p}{3} \right\rceil, p \geq 3$
3. $\gamma(K_p) = \gamma(W_p) = \gamma(K_{1,n}) = 1.$
4. $\gamma(K_{m,n}) = 2$ if $m, n \geq 2.$

Theorem 1.2: A dominating set D of G is a minimal dominating set of G if and only if for every $v \in D$, there exists at least one vertex $w \in V-(D-\{v\})$ such that $N[w] \cap D = \{v\}.$

The following theorems were proved by A.P.Santhakumaran and S. Athisayanathan [8].

Theorem 1.3: For any edge detour graph G of order $p \geq 2, 2 \leq dn_1(G) \leq p.$

Theorem 1.4: If G is an edge detour graph of order $p \geq 3$ such that $\{u,v\}$ is an edge detour basis of G , then u and v are not adjacent.

Theorem 1.5: If T is a tree with k end vertices, then $dn_1(T) = k$.

Remark 1.6:

$$\left\lceil \frac{n-4}{3} \right\rceil + 2 = \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil + 1 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The following are from Mahalakshmi.A, Palani.K and Somasundaram.S[5]

Theorem 1.7: K_p is an edge detour dominating graph and $\gamma_{eD}(K_p) = 3$ for $p \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.8: $\gamma_{eD}(K_{1,n}) = n$.

Theorem 1.9:

$$\gamma_{eD}(P_n) = \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{n-4}{3} \right\rceil + 2 & \text{if } n \geq 5 \\ 2 & \text{if } n = 2, 3 \text{ or } 4 \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.10: For $n > 5$,

$$\gamma_{eD}(C_n) = \gamma(C_n) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil.$$

Remark 1.11:

1. $\gamma_{eD}(G) \geq dn_1(G)$ and $\gamma_{eD}(G) \geq \gamma(G)$.
2. If the set of all pendant vertices of a graph G forms an edge detour dominating set G , then S is the unique minimum edge detour dominating set of G .
3. Every super set of an edge detour dominating set of G is an edge detour dominating set of G .

2 (γ_{eD})-number of edge added graphs:

Theorem 2.1: If G' is the graph obtained from P_n ($n = 3k+1$) by adding a new vertex v to one of its vertices then, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(P_n) + 1$.

Proof: Let $P_n = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$.

Case (i): v is added to v_1 or v_n . Then, $G' \cong P_{n+1}$. As $n = 3k + 1$, $G' \cong P_{3k+2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } \gamma_{eD}(G') &= \gamma_{eD}(P_{n+1}) = \gamma_{eD}(P_{3k+2}) = \\ & \left\lceil \frac{3k+2-4}{3} \right\rceil + 2 = \left\lceil (k-1) + \frac{1}{3} \right\rceil + 2 = k+2 = (k+1)+1 = \\ & \left(\left\lceil \frac{3k+1-4}{3} \right\rceil + 2 \right) + 1 = \gamma_{eD}(P_{3k+1}) + 1 = \gamma_{eD}(P_n) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(P_n) + 1$.

Case (ii): v is added to an internal vertex.

Therefore, v becomes an end vertex of G' . Therefore, $v \in$ every edge detour dominating set of G' . Since $n = 3k+1$, P_n has a unique edge detour dominating set, say S . Then, clearly, $S \cup \{v\}$ is a unique edge detour dominating set of G' . Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(P_n) + 1$. By cases of (i) and (ii), $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(P_n) + 1$.

Theorem 2.2: If G' is the graph obtained from P_n ($n = 3k$) by adding a new vertex v to one of its vertices. Then,

$$\gamma_{eD}(G') = \begin{cases} \gamma_{eD}(P_n) & \text{if } v \text{ is added to} \\ & \text{end vertices } v_1 \text{ or } v_n. \\ \gamma_{eD}(P_n) + 1 & \text{if } v \text{ is added to} \\ & \text{an internal vertices.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $P_n = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$.

Case (i): v is added to v_1 or v_n . Then, $G' \cong P_{n+1}$. As $n = 3k$, $G' \cong P_{3k+1}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(P_{n+1}) = \gamma_{eD}(P_{3k+1}) &= \left\lceil \frac{3k+1-4}{3} \right\rceil + 2 = (k-1) + 2 = \\ & \left\lceil \frac{3(k-1)-1}{3} \right\rceil + 2 = \left\lceil \frac{3k-3-1}{3} \right\rceil + 2 = \gamma_{eD}(P_{3k}) = \\ & \gamma_{eD}(P_n). \text{ Therefore, } \gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(P_n). \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii): v is added to an internal vertex. Therefore, v becomes an end vertex of G' . Therefore, $v \in$ every edge detour dominating set of G' . Also, $S \cup \{v\}$ is an edge detour dominating set of G' if and only if S is an edge detour dominating set of P_n . Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(P_n) + 1$.

Therefore, by cases (i) and (ii) $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(P_n)$ or $\gamma_{eD}(P_n) + 1$.

Theorem 2.3: If G' is the graph obtained from the star graph $K_{1,n}$ by adding a new vertex v to one of its vertices then,

$$\gamma_{eD}(G') = \begin{cases} \gamma_{eD}(K_{1,n}) + 1 & \text{if } v \text{ is added to the central vertex} \\ \gamma_{eD}(K_{1,n}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $V(K_{1,n}) = (v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$.

Case (i): v is added to the central vertex. Then, v is an end vertex of G' . Therefore,

$v \in$ every edge detour dominating set of G' . Clearly, $S \cup \{v\}$ is the unique edge detour dominating set of G' whenever S is a edge detour dominating set of $K_{1,n}$ and vice-versa.

Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(K_{1,n}) + 1$.

Case (ii): v is added to an end vertex. Then, v becomes an end vertex of G' and the end vertex in which v is joined becomes an internal vertex of G' . Let it be v_i , for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, $v \in$ every edge detour dominating set of G' . Then, $S' = S - \{v_i\} \cup \{v\}$ is the unique edge detour dominating set of G' . By Theorem 1.10, $K_{1,n}$ has a unique edge detour dominating set S (say). Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = |S'| = |S| = \gamma_{eD}(K_{1,n})$. Hence, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(K_{1,n})$ or $\gamma_{eD}(K_{1,n}) + 1$.

Theorem 2.4: If G' is the graph obtained from the cycle C_n ($n = 3k$) by adding a new vertex v to one of its vertices then,

$$\gamma_{eD}(G') = \begin{cases} \gamma_{eD}(C_n) & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \gamma_{eD}(C_n) + 1 & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $C_n = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_1)$.

Case 1: When $k = 1$, then the cycle is C_3 and $V(C_3) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. If v is added to any vertex of the cycle then, v becomes an end vertex of G' . Therefore, $v \in$ every edge detour dominating set of G' . Suppose the vertex v_1 is joined to v . Then, $S' = \{v, v_2, v_3\}$ is the unique edge detour dominating set of G' . Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = 3 = \gamma_{eD}(C_3)$.

Case 2: $k \geq 2$. The cycle is C_{3k} and $V(C_{3k}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{3k}\}$.

If v is added to any vertex of the cycle then, v becomes an end vertex of G' . Therefore, v belongs to every edge detour dominating set of G' . Name the vertex in which v is added as v_1 . Then, $S_1 = \{v, v_3, v_6, \dots, v_{3k}\}$, $S_2 = \{v, v_2, v_5, \dots, v_{3k-1}\}$ and $S_3 = \{v, v_1, v_4, \dots, v_{3k-2}\}$ are some minimum edge detour dominating sets of G' . Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = k + 1 =$

$$\left\lceil \frac{3k}{3} \right\rceil + 1 = \gamma_{eD}(C_{3k}) + 1.$$

Hence, in this case, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(C_n) + 1$.

Theorem 2.5: If G' is the graph obtained from the cycle C_n ($n = 3k + 1$) by adding a new vertex v to one of its vertices

$$\text{then, } \gamma_{eD}(G') = \begin{cases} \gamma_{eD}(C_n) + 1 & \text{if } k = 2 \\ \gamma_{eD}(C_n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $C_n = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_1)$.

Case 1: When $k = 2$, the cycle is C_7 and $V(C_7) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_7\}$. If v is added to any vertex of the cycle then, v becomes an end vertex of G' . Therefore, $v \in$ every edge detour dominating set of G' . Suppose the vertex v is added as v_1 . Then,

$$S_1 = \{v, v_1, v_4, v_7\}, S_2 = \{v, v_2, v_4, v_6\}$$

and $S_3 = \{v, v_3, v_5, v_6\}$ are some minimum edge detour dominating set of G' . Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = 4 = 3 + 1 =$

$$\left\lceil \frac{7}{3} \right\rceil + 1 = \gamma_{eD}(C_7) + 1.$$

Hence, in this case, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(C_n) + 1$.

Case 2: When $k \neq 2$, the cycle is C_{3k+1} and $V(C_{3k+1}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{3k+1}\}$. If v is added to any vertex of the cycle then, v becomes an end vertex of G' . Therefore, v belongs to every edge detour dominating set of G' . Name the vertex in which v is joined to v_1 .

Then, $S' = \{v, v_3, v_6, \dots, v_{n-1}\} = \{v, v_3, v_6, \dots, v_{3k}\}$ is the unique edge detour dominating sets of G' . Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G')$

$= k+1 = \left\lceil \frac{3k+1}{3} \right\rceil + 1 = \gamma_{eD}(C_{3k+1}) + 1$. Hence, in this case

$$\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(C_n).$$

Theorem 2.6: If G' is the graph obtained from the cycle C_n ($n = 3k+2$) by adding a new vertex v to one of its vertices then,

$$\gamma_{eD}(G') = \begin{cases} \gamma_{eD}(C_n) & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \gamma_{eD}(C_n) + 1 & \text{if } k > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $C_n = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_1)$.

Case 1: When $k = 1$, the cycle is C_5 and $V(C_5) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$. If v is added to any vertex of the cycle then, v becomes an end vertex of G' . Therefore, $v \in$ every edge detour dominating set of G' . Suppose the vertex to which v is added is v_1 .

Then, $S_1 = \{v, v_3, v_5\}$, $S_2 = \{v, v_2, v_4\}$ are some minimum edge detour dominating set of G' . Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = 3 = \gamma_{eD}(C_5)$. Hence, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(C_n)$, $n = 3k+2$ if $k = 1$.

Case 2: $k > 1$.

Here, the cycle is C_{3k+2} and $V(C_{3k+2}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{3k+2}\}$. If v is added to any vertex of the cycle then, v becomes an end vertex of G' . Therefore, v belongs to every edge detour dominating set of G' . Name the vertex in which v is added as v_1 . Then, $S_1 = \{v, v_3, v_6, \dots, v_{3k}, v_{3k+2}\}$, $S_2 = \{v, v_2, v_5, \dots, v_{3k-1}, v_{3k+1}\}$ and $S_3 = \{v, v_1, v_4, \dots, v_{3k-2}, v_{3k+1}\}$ are edge detour dominating sets of G' . Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = k + 1 + 1 =$

$$\left\lceil \frac{3k+2}{3} \right\rceil + 1 = \gamma_{eD}(C_{3k+2}) + 1. \text{ Hence, } \gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(C_n) + 1,$$

$n = 3k+2$ if $k \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.7: If G' is the graph obtained from the complete graph K_n by adding a new vertex v to one of its vertices then,

$$\gamma_{eD}(G') = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 3 & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $V(K_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$.

Case 1: When $n = 2$, then $G \cong K_2$.

If v is added to any of its vertices v_1 or v_2 then, v becomes an end vertex and $G' \cong P_3$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.10, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(P_3) = 2$.

Case 2: when $n \geq 3$.

If v is added to any vertex v_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ of K_n , then v becomes an end vertex. Therefore, v belongs to every edge detour dominating set of G' and $S - \{v_i\} \cup \{v\}$ is a minimum edge detour dominating set of G' whenever S is a minimum edge detour dominating set of K_n .

Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = |S| = \gamma_{eD}(K_n)$. Hence, $\gamma_{eD}(G') = \gamma_{eD}(K_n)$. By Theorem 1.9,

$$\gamma_{eD}(G') = 3.$$

Theorem 2.8: Let G be a non-complete connected graph with a unique minimum edge detour dominating set D . Let G' be a graph obtained from G by adjoining an edge to a vertex of D . Then, $\gamma_{eD}(G) \leq \gamma_{eD}(G') \leq \gamma_{eD}(G) + 1$.

Proof: Let G' be a graph obtained from G by adjoining an edge to a vertex of D . Let S be the unique minimum edge detour dominating set of G . Suppose $V(G') = V(G) \cup \{u\}$ and $E(G) \cup \{uv\}$. Then, $S \cup \{u\}$ is an edge detour dominating set of G' .

Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G') \leq |S \cup \{u\}| = |S| + 1 = \gamma_{eD}(G) + 1$. Now, to prove $\gamma_{eD}(G) \leq \gamma_{eD}(G')$. Assume that, $\gamma_{eD}(G) > \gamma_{eD}(G')$. Let S' be a minimum edge detour dominating set of G' .

By our assumption $|S'| \leq \gamma_{eD}(G) - 1$. Since u is an end vertex of G' , $u \in S'$. Then, two cases arise.

Case 1: $v \in S'$. Here, $S'' = S' - \{u\}$ is an edge detour dominating set of G , and so

$$\gamma_{eD}(G) \leq |S''| = |S' - \{u\}| \leq \gamma_{eD}(G) - 2, \text{ which is a contradiction.}$$

Case 2: $v \notin S'$. Define $S''' = (S' - \{u\}) \cup \{v\}$. Then, $|S'''| = |S'| \leq \gamma_{eD}(G) - 1$. S' is an edge detour dominating set of G' and u

is an end vertex of G' supported by $v \in G$ implies S'' is an edge detour dominating set of G . Hence, $\gamma_{eD}(G) \leq |S''| \leq \gamma_{eD}(G) - 1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\gamma_{eD}(G) \leq \gamma_{eD}(G')$. Hence, $\gamma_{eD}(G) \leq \gamma_{eD}(G') \leq \gamma_{eD}(G) + 1$.

References:

- [1] F. Buckley and F. Harary, Distance in Graphs Addison-Wesely, Reading Ma, 1990.
- [2] G. Chartrand, H. Escuardo and P. Zang, Distance in Graphs Taking the Long View, AKCE Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics 1 No 1, 2004, 1-13.
- [3] G. Chartrand, L. Johns and P. Zang, Detour Number of Graph, Utilitas Mathematica, 97-113.
- [4] Haynes T.W, Hedetniemi S.T and Slater P J, Fundamental of Domination in Graphs, Decker, Inc., New York 1998.
- [5] Mahalakshmi.A, Palani.K and Somasundaram.S, Edge Detour Domination Number of Graphs, Proceedings of International Conference on Recent Trends in Mathematical Modelling. ISBN 13-978-93-92592-00-06 (2016), 135-144.
- [6] Ore and Berge, Theory of graphs, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications Volume XXXVIII, 1962.
- [7] Palani K and Nagarajan A, (G, D) – Number of a graph, International Journal of Mathematics Research. ISSN 0976-5840, Volume 3 number 3 (2011), 285-299.
- [8] A.P.Santhakumaran and S.Athisayanthan, Edge detour graphs, Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing 69 (2009) 191-204.