

# A Brief Introduction of Political Science

Dr. Muralidhar Sunil

Assistant Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management), Presidency University,  
Bangalore, India

Email Id-sunilrashinkar@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Political science is a social science that investigates the structure, function, and organization of governments and political institutions. It seeks to comprehend how power is allocated in communities, how political institutions function, and how various players within political systems interact with one another. Political science is a highly multidisciplinary study that draws on ideas and approaches from a wide range of disciplines such as history, economics, sociology, psychology, and law. In this chapter, we discussed the introduction of the political science.*

**KEYWORDS:** *American Political, Political Science, Political Philosophy, Public Policy, Social Science.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

The scientific study of politics is known as political science. It is a social science that studies governance and power systems, as well as the examination of political activities, political institutions, political thinking and conduct, and related constitutions and laws. Modern political science can be divided into three sub disciplines: comparative politics, international relations, and political theory. Other notable sub disciplines include public policy and administration, domestic politics and government, political economy, and political methodology. Political science is also related to and draws on the fields of economics, law, sociology, history, philosophy, human geography, and political anthropology. Political science employs a wide range of approaches derived from psychology, social research, and political philosophy. Positivism, interpretivist, rational choice theory, behaviorism, structuralism, post-structuralism, realism, institutionalism, and pluralism are some approaches. As one of the social sciences, political science employs methods and techniques that are relevant to the types of inquiries sought: primary sources such as historical documents and official records, and secondary sources such as scholarly journal articles, survey research, statistical analysis, case studies, experimental research, and model building [1].

## Origin

As a social political science, contemporary political science began to take shape in the latter half of the nineteenth century and began to distinguish itself from political philosophy and history. Until the late nineteenth century, it was still uncommon that political science was considered a distinct field from history. The term "political science" was not always distinguished from political philosophy, and the modern discipline has a clear set of antecedents that include also monism. Political science emerged as a university field in the late nineteenth century, with the establishment of university departments and chairs with the title of political science. The term "political scientist" is commonly used to refer to someone who holds a doctorate or master's degree in the field. The history of political science has provided a fertile ground for the development of both normative and positive political science, with each part of the discipline sharing some historical forefathers. In order to separate the study of politics from economics and other social phenomena, the American Political Science Association and the American Political Science Review were created in 1903 and 1906, respectively. APSA membership increased from 204 in 1904 to 1,462 in 1915. APSA members were instrumental in establishing political science departments separate from history, philosophy, law, sociology, and economics. The Academy of Political Science founded the magazine Political Science Quarterly in 1886. Munroe Smith defined political

science as "the science of the state, including the organization and functions of the state, as well as the relationship of states to one another" in the first edition of *Political Science Quarterly*. The International Political Science Association was created in 1949 as part of a UNESCO push to promote political science in the late 1940s, along with national organizations in France in 1949, the United Kingdom in 1950, and West Germany in 1951.

### **New institutionalism and behavioral revolution**

A behavioral revolution overtook the field in the 1950s and 1960s, emphasizing the methodical and rigorously scientific examination of individual and group behavior. Early behavioral political science was distinguished by a focus on studying political behavior rather than institutions or the interpretation of legal texts, as evidenced by the work of sociologist Paul Lazarsfeld and public opinion scholar Bernard Berelson. The application of deductive, game-theoretic formal modeling approaches aimed at building a more analytical corpus of knowledge in the subject took momentum in the late 1960s and early 1970s. During this time, there was a rise of research that used economic theory and methodologies to examine political institutions, such as the United States Congress, as well as political behavior, such as voting. The chief proponents of this move were William H. Riker and his colleagues and students at the University of Rochester. Despite significant research advances in the field based on all of the types of scholarship listed above, progress toward systematic theory has been small and inconsistent [2].

### **The twenty-first century**

The Perestroika Movement in political science was launched in 2000 as a response to what advocates of the movement referred to as the mathematization of political science. People who connected with the movement advocated for a diversity of methodology and approaches in political science, as well as for the subject to be more relevant to people outside of it. According to certain evolutionary psychology ideas, humans have evolved a sophisticated set of psychological processes for coping with politics. These processes, however, developed to cope with the small group politics that defined the ancestral environment, rather than the much broader political institutions that exist now. Many fundamental aspects

and systemic cognitive biases of modern politics are considered to be explained by this.

1. National and regional politics and governance also known as area studies at the United States Capitol, United States of America
2. Australia's Parliament, Australia's Jatiyo Sangsad, Bangladesh
3. Parliament of Malaysia, Malaysian National Diet
4. National Assembly, Nigeria South African Parliament, South Africa

Political science is the sociological study of power distribution and transfer in decision making, the roles and systems of governance, including governments and international organizations, political behavior, and public policy. It assesses the effectiveness of government and particular policies by looking at a variety of characteristics like as stability, fairness, material riches, peace, and public health. By analyzing politics, some political scientists strive to promote positive theses (which try to explain how things are, rather than how they should be); others seek to advance normative theses, such as by offering specific policy suggestions. Political scientists examine the processes, systems, and political dynamics of countries and regions around the world, often to raise public awareness or influence specific governments. Journalists, special interest groups, politicians, and the electorate may use political scientists' frameworks to assess topics. Chaturvedy claims that Political scientists may work as consultants to individual politicians or seek for office themselves. Politicians, political parties, and public workers are all places where political scientists may be found. They might be active in non-governmental organizations (NGOs) or political movements. People educated and trained in political science may contribute value and knowledge to companies in a number of positions. Political scientists are often employed by private companies such as think tanks, research institutions, polling organizations, and public relations agencies.

### **Country-Specific Research**

Political scientists may research political phenomena inside a single nation, such as the politics of the United States or the politics of China. Political scientists examine facts such as constitutions, elections, public opinion, and public policy, as well as foreign policy,

legislatures, and judiciaries. Political scientists often concentrate on their own country's politics; for example, an Indonesian political scientist may become an expert in Indonesian politics [3].

### **Crisis Prediction**

Political science includes the theory of political transitions as well as strategies for assessing and forecasting crises. Several general indicators of crises and methods for anticipating critical transitions have been proposed. Among them, one statistical indicator of crisis, a simultaneous increase in variance and correlations in large groups, has been proposed for crisis anticipation and may be successfully used in various areas. During the pre-crisis years, there was a simultaneous rise in the overall connection between the 19 key public worries in Ukrainian society (by around 64%) and in their statistical dispersion (by 29%). One aspect shared by some significant revolutions is that they were not expected. There was also the development of the doctrine of seeming inevitability of crises and revolutions. The study of big crises, both domestic and foreign crises that might impact politics, extends beyond efforts to forecast regime transitions or large changes in political institutions. Political scientists also investigate how governments deal with unanticipated calamities, as well as how voters in democracies respond to their governments' crisis preparedness and reactions.

### **Subfields and Corresponding fields**

1. Many political scientists perform study in the following areas.
2. Political philosophy: The study of the foundations of political society and institutions, with an emphasis on human nature and the moral ends of political affiliation.
3. Political methodology is the study of the conceptual underpinnings of social science, political science, empirical research design, and analysis.
4. Comparative politics is the study of modern political systems in order to identify universal rules and ideas.
5. International relations: The study of how and why governments and non-state international actors interact.

### **Public Policy and Administration**

Studies the implementation of public policy, administration of government establishments (public governance), management of non-profit establishments (nonprofit governance), and prepares civil servants, particularly those in administrative positions, for work in the public sector, voluntary sector, and some industries in the private sector dealing with government relations and regulations. As a "field of inquiry with a diverse scope" whose fundamental goal is to "advance management and policies so that government can function," various definitions of the term have been offered, including "management of public programs," "translation of politics into the reality that citizens see every day," and "the study of government decision making, the analysis of the policies themselves, the various inputs that have produced them, and the inputs n

Program evaluation: a systematic approach of gathering, evaluating, and applying data to answer questions regarding projects, policies, and programs, notably their effectiveness and efficiency. Stakeholders in both the public and corporate sectors often want to know if the initiatives they are sponsoring, implementing, voting for, receiving, or opposing are having the desired impact. While program evaluation begins with this definition, other important factors to consider include how much the program costs per participant, how the program could be improved, whether the program is worthwhile, whether there are better alternatives, whether there are unintended consequences, and whether the program goals are appropriate and useful. Policy analysis is a tool used in public administration to allow civil servants, activists, and others to assess and evaluate the available choices for putting laws and elected officials' aims into action [4].

Some political science schools also distinguish between technique and study on a certain country's internal politics. American politics is often viewed as a distinct topic in the United States. Unlike the traditional classification, some academic departments organize scholarship into thematic categories such as political philosophy, political behavior (including public opinion, collective action, and identity), and political institutions (including legislatures and international organizations). Scholarship in more particular areas is often emphasized in political

science conferences and publications. For example, the American Political Science Association includes 42 structured divisions that handle diverse approaches and issues of political investigation.

1. Fields that are similar
2. Global research
3. Law
4. The rule of law
5. Administration of Justice
6. The rule of law
7. International legal principles
8. Criminal Procedure
9. Criminology
10. Law Sociology
11. Paralegal Studies and Legal Management (academic subject).
12. Justice administration
13. Urban planning education and research
14. Urban design
15. Urban research
16. Geography
17. Economics
18. Communications
19. Journalism
20. Communication in politics
21. Social service
22. Peace and conflict research
23. Intelligence research
24. The science of data
25. The state of public health
26. Administration of business
27. Environmental research
28. Science of the environment
29. Construction engineering
30. Engineering in industry
31. Engineering systems
32. Human resource administration
33. Operations analysis

### **Methods of investigation**

Political science is methodologically varied; political scientists approach the study of politics from a range of ontological perspectives and using a variety of methods. Because political science is essentially a study of human behavior, observations in controlled environments are often difficult to replicate or duplicate, even though experimental methods are becoming more common (see experimental political science). Citing this difficulty, former American Political Science Association President Lawrence Lowell once stated, "We are limited by the

impossibility of experiment. Politics is an observational, not an experimental science." Political science, like all social sciences, faces the challenge of observing human actors who, unlike non-human organisms in biology, minerals in geoscience, chemical elements in chemistry, stars in astronomy, or particles in physics, can only be partially observed and have the capacity to make conscious choices. Despite its complexity, current political science has advanced through using a wide range of methodologies and theoretical approaches to understanding politics, and methodological pluralism is a distinguishing aspect of contemporary political science. Field experiments, surveys and survey experiments, case studies, process tracing, historical and institutional analysis, ethnography, participant observation, and interview research are all examples of empirical political science approaches. Political scientists also use and create theoretical methods such as game theory and agent-based models to investigate a wide range of political systems and events. Political theorists explore theories of political events from a variety of perspectives and techniques, such as feminist political theory, historical analysis associated with the Cambridge school, and Straussian approaches [5].

Political science may overlap with conventional areas of research in other social sciences, such as when sociological norms or psychological biases are linked to political issues. In these cases, political science may either inherit their methods of study or develop a contrasting approach. For example, Lisa Wedeen has argued that political science's approach to the concept of culture, which originated with Gabriel Almond and Sidney Verba and is exemplified by authors such as Samuel P. Huntington, could benefit from more closely aligning with the study of culture in anthropology [6].

### **Education**

Political science, like the social sciences as a whole, can be described "as a discipline which lives on the fault line between the 'two cultures' in the academy, the sciences and the humanities. "As a result, in most American colleges, particularly liberal arts colleges, it would be located within the school or college of arts and sciences, if no separate college of arts and sciences exists or if the college or university prefers that it be in a separate constituent college. Whereas classical political philosophy is defined primarily by a concern

for Hellenic and Enlightenment thought, political scientists are distinguished by a strong interest in "modernity" and the contemporary nation state, in addition to the study of classical thought, and as such share more terminology with sociologists (e.g., structure and agency). Most colleges and institutions in the United States offer BA degrees in political science. MA or MAT programs, as well as PhD or EdD degrees, are widespread at major institutions. Other institutions, particularly those outside the United States, consider political science as part of a wider subject of political studies, politics, or government, rather than as a separate study. While political science implies the use of the scientific method, political studies implies a broader approach, though the naming of degree courses does not always reflect their content. Master's-level programs in public administration are professional degrees that encompass public policy as well as other applicable courses; they are sometimes seen as being more tied to politics than any other field, which may be reflected in their location within that department. Pi Sigma Alpha is the principal national honor society for college and university students of government and politics in the United States, whereas Pi Alpha Alpha is a national honor society exclusively for public administration.

### DISCUSSION

Political theory is primarily concerned with the fundamentals of political society and institutions. It is concerned with human nature and the moral goals of political association. Political theorists use lasting political texts from ancient Greece to the present to elucidate these notions, as well as works by moral philosophers. Political theory is also concerned with empirical study into how political institutions work in practice. Political theorists examine assumptions about political life reflected in key political works in light of current human behavior. In any scenario, political theory aims to enhance political understanding and inspire individuals to engage in responsible and innovative political activity. Introduction to Political Theory, POL S 201, gives students an introduction of the major streams of thought in political theory. Advanced courses in political theory concentrate on specific concepts, subjects, and ideas. Comparative politics is a wide discipline with several techniques and objectives.

Some academics and researchers examine modern political systems in an attempt to determine which kinds best give certain values to their population, such as order, equality, freedom, or economic stability and well-being. Others argue that comparative politics' primary goal is to give an understanding of how and why various countries establish different types of political structures. Others use comparative politics to uncover universal rules and ideas that will explain human political behavior and its variety [7] [8].

There are two sorts of comparative politics courses. One compares a certain set of issues or institutions in a number of various nations. The second category provides an in-depth analysis of a single country's or set of nations' core political institutions and processes in a global area. Most students will want to start their comparative politics studies with Introduction to Comparative Politics (POL S 204), which combines the two main approaches by including comparative discussions of specific problems, issues, processes, and institutions in a wide range of political settings, as well as in-depth readings and lectures on some of the world's major countries. The study of international relations seeks to comprehend why nations and non-state international entities, such as the United Nations and multinational companies, interact as they do. International relations is a wide area in terms of the types of behavior investigated as well as the methods used to study them. Scholars have been increasingly cognizant of the relevance of international economic activities as the globe has become more interconnected. As a consequence, academics are investigating global commerce, communications, development, foreign investment, and international finance. Another major area of research is how governments make foreign policy choices. Foreign policy considerations include national security policy, nuclear deterrence, weapons control, and military expenditure [9], [10].

International Relations, POL S 203, exposes students to international politics. This serves as the framework for a broad range of 300 and 400-level topics, including American foreign policy, global environmental politics, international political economy, and international conflict. Students of American government and politics aim to comprehend the practice of politics in the United States. In addition to courses on the American presidency, the United

States Congress, and the courts, the department offers specialized courses on the political role of mass media, race and ethnic politics, constitutional law, policy formation, state politics, and American political thought.

Some of the general topics that students in this discipline are interested in include. The philosophical foundations of political science, social science, empirical study design and analysis, and actual field research experience are all addressed in the discipline of political methodology. Political methodology courses explore philosophical problems such as the feasibility of political science, the parallels and distinctions between political science and other social sciences, different forms of explanation, and the veracity of knowledge claims. They also investigate the development of experimental and non-experimental research strategies for drawing causal conclusions about political processes and behavior, as well as the use of statistics, mathematics, and computers to the analysis of political data produced by such research designs. Seminars also give students with the chance to perform solo and group research projects. Current research and teaching interests of the political methodology faculty include mass media, feminist theory, linguistic politics, political economics, rational choice theory, and public policy.

### CONCLUSION

Political science has its roots in ancient Greece, when philosophers such as Plato and Aristotle studied governance and political systems. However, political science did not become a legitimate academic study until the late nineteenth century, with the establishment of the American Political Science Association (APSA) in 1903. Political theory, comparative politics, international relations, public policy, political economics, and political methodology are all areas covered by political science today. Political scientists investigate political processes using a number of research methodologies, including qualitative and quantitative approaches. Political science is critical to understanding and developing political institutions and policies all around the globe. It is used to influence policy choices, assess the efficacy of political institutions and programs, and provide new theoretical frameworks for understanding political behavior.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. B. Whetten, J. R. Stevens, and D. Cann, "The implementation of random survival forests in conflict management data: An examination of power sharing and third party mediation in post-conflict countries," *PLoS One*, 2021, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0250963.
- [2] M. Bevir and R. A. W. Rhodes, "Interpretive approaches to British government and politics," *Br. Polit.*, 2006, doi: 10.1057/palgrave.bp.4200001.
- [3] D. L. Kleinman, "From Sideline to Frontline: STS in the Trump Era," *Engag. Sci. Technol. Soc.*, 2020, doi: 10.17351/ests2020.385.
- [4] E. Obińska-Wajda, "The New Institutional Economics-Main Theories," *e-Finanse*, 2016, doi: 10.1515/fiqf-2016-0138.
- [5] N. Koch and A. Paasi, "Banal Nationalism 20 years on: Re-thinking, re-formulating and re-contextualizing the concept," *Polit. Geogr.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.polgeo.2016.06.002.
- [6] M. Calise and R. De Rosa, "E-research: An introduction to on-line political science sources for beginners (and skeptics)," *International Political Science Review*. 2008. doi: 10.1177/0192512108098879.
- [7] L. Keele, C. McConaughy, and I. White, "Strengthening the Experimenter's Toolbox: Statistical Estimation of Internal Validity," *Am. J. Pol. Sci.*, 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-5907.2011.00576.x.
- [8] J. Jetzkowitz, C. S. A. (Kris. van Koppen, R. Lidskog, K. Ott, L. Voget-Kleschin, and C. M. L. Wong, "The significance of meaning. Why IPBES needs the social sciences and humanities," *Innov. Eur. J. Soc. Sci. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/13511610.2017.1348933.
- [9] R. D. Kelemen and M. Blauburger, "Introducing the debate: European Union safeguards against member states' democratic backsliding," *J. Eur. Public Policy*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/13501763.2016.1229356.
- [10] L. Frasure-Yokley, N. Masuoka, and M. A. Barreto, "Introduction to Dialogues: linked fate and the politics of groups and identities," *Polit. Groups, Identities*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/21565503.2019.1638802.

# Historical Background of Political Science

Mr. Ashok Bhat

Assistant Professor, Masters in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Email Id-ashokbhat@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Political science is a social science that analyzes the allocation of power and resources within societies as well as the operation of various political systems. It has changed through time in response to societal developments and the issues that political institutions face. Political science has its roots in ancient Greece when philosophers such as Plato and Aristotle studied governance and political systems. However, political science did not develop as a separate academic field until the nineteenth century. In this chapter, we discussed the history of political science.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Political Science, Political Studies, Political Economy, Political Criticism, Soviet Union.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

While the phrase "political science" as a distinct subject is relatively new in the social sciences, examining political power and its impact on history has been going on for millennia. However, the term "political science" was not always distinguished from political philosophy, and the modern discipline has a distinct lineage that includes moral philosophy, political economy, political theology, history, and other fields concerned with normative determinations of what ought to be and deducing the characteristics and functions of the ideal state. Political science as a whole is found in various fields all over the globe, yet it might also be weak in other particular components of the word.

### Western

Western politics may be traced back to Socratic political theorists like Aristotle ("The Father of Political Science") (384-322 BC). Aristotle was among the first to provide a practical concept of political science. He believed that it was a powerful branch of science with special authority over other branches, such as military science. Political philosophers such as Plato and Aristotle began to analyze political thought in a way that placed more emphasis on the scientific aspect of political science, which was contrary to how Greek philosophers before them portrayed it [1], [2].

Prior to Plato, the major sources of political criticism were poets, historians, and great playwrights of the day. During the Roman Empire's most affluent period,

there were both men chronicling history as it occurred, applying their own point of view, and those executing the politics of the day and affecting history as it happened. Polybius, Livy, and Plutarch were among the most important historians. These individuals concentrated not only on chronicling the emergence of the Roman Empire, but also on the rise and collapse of other nations. Leaders like as Julius Caesar and Cicero were among those who implemented political policies as they thought proper. While Caesar was a dictator who kept a tight grip on his people in order to protect his power, he did carry out reforms that would go on to benefit the people. At the time, political science was the process of understanding the impact of governing bodies and how rulers chose to enforce laws, as well as the history of specific countries as a whole. In the meanwhile, there is a clear translation of Hellenic culture into the Roman realm. The Romans eventually took both Greek gods and Greek philosophy. Stoicism, a Greek philosophy, was adopted as Roman law. The Stoic was dedicated to keeping correct hierarchical responsibilities and obligations in the state in order for the state as a whole to stay stable. Seneca, the philosopher, and Marcus Aurelius, the emperor, were two of the most well-known Roman Stoics. Some contemporary commentators/historians often criticize Seneca, a rich Roman aristocrat, for failing to live according to his own ideals. The Meditations of Marcus Aurelius, on the other hand, are best understood as philosophical musings of an emperor torn between philosophical goals and the obligation, he felt to preserve the Roman Empire from foreign foes via his multiple military battles. According to

Polybius, Roman institutions were the empire's backbone, but Goldman Law is the medulla [3].

### **Medieval**

With the collapse of the Western Roman Empire, a more diverse field for political studies formed. The advent of monotheism, notably Christianity in the Western tradition, created a new space for politics and political activity. Augustine of Hippo's *The City of God* blended contemporary ideas and political traditions with those of Christianity, blurring the boundaries between religion and politics. Politics was widely studied in churches and courts throughout the Middle Ages. During this time, most of the political issues concerning the connection between church and state were explained and disputed [4], [5].

### **Renaissance**

Niccol Machiavelli founded the focus of contemporary political science on direct empirical observation of political structures and individuals during the Italian Renaissance. Machiavelli proposes a realist approach in his book, *The Prince*, saying that even bad tactics should be considered if they aid in the acquisition and maintenance of a ruler's state. Machiavelli, as the creator of the "politics model" of political science, argues against the use of idealistic models in politics. He expounds on the ideals of republicanism and what it means to be a good citizen in this book. However, several ideas from *The Prince* may also be found in the *Discourses*. Later, the Enlightenment's extension of the scientific paradigm moved the study of politics beyond normative criteria.

### **Enlightenment**

Voltaire, Rousseau, and Diderot, to mention a few French philosophers, are models of political analysis, social science, and social and political criticism. Their effect in the lead-up to the French Revolution was immense in the global development of contemporary democracy. Thomas Hobbes, widely known for his idea of the social contract, shared Machiavelli's belief that a strong central authority, such as a monarchy, was required to regulate the intrinsic selfishness of the individual, but neither believed in the divine right of monarchs. John Locke, who wrote *Two Treatises of Government* and did not believe in divine right of kings, sided with Aquinas and stood against both Machiavelli and Hobbes by accepting Aristotle's

dictum that man seeks happiness as a social animal in a state of social harmony. Unlike Aquinas' dominant position on the rescue of the soul from original sin, Locke argued that man enters this world with a mind that is essentially blank. An absolute ruler, as envisaged by Hobbes, is unneeded, according to Locke, since natural law is founded on reason and equality, seeking peace and survival for man.

The new Western philosophical underpinnings that evolved from the quest of reason during the Enlightenment period paved the way for policies that highlighted the necessity for church and state separation. The social sciences arose from the application of principles comparable to those that dominated the material sciences to society as a whole. Politics might be examined in a social laboratory, as it were. In *The Federalist Papers* Numbers 9 and 51, Alexander Hamilton remarked in 1787, "the science of politics, like most other sciences, has received great improvement." Politics was defined as a science by both the marquis d'Argenson and the abbé de Saint-Pierre; d'Argenson was a philosopher, while de Saint-Pierre was an associated enlightened reformer. Benjamin Franklin and Thomas Jefferson were two other prominent players in American politics who engaged in the Enlightenment.

### **The nineteenth century**

In the late nineteenth century, Darwinian concepts of evolution and natural selection had enormous power. World War I undermined the assumption that society was progressing ever higher. The slogan of the first generation of American political scientists, 1882-1900, was "History is past politics, and politics is present history." The motto was coined by Oxford professor Edward Augustus Freeman and was enshrined on the wall of the seminar room at Johns Hopkins University, where the first large-scale training of America and political scientists began. Their graduate lectures were heavily historical in nature, reflecting their experience in German university seminars. However, subsequent generations of researchers have gradually reduced the history and purposeful fashion. The second generation wanted to emulate the physical sciences. In the United States throughout the Progressive Era (1890s-1920s), political science became not just a distinguished academic curriculum, but also an applied science that was embraced as a method to apply knowledge to



governance challenges. Woodrow Wilson, Charles A. Beard, and Charles E. Merriam were among the most notable applied political scientists. Many towns and states have established research bureaus to implement the most recent findings [6].

### **Behavioralism**

Behavioralism (Behavioralism) is an empirical approach that arose in the United States in the 1930s. It highlighted the need of an objective, quantifiable approach to explaining and forecasting political behavior. According to Guy, "Behavioralism emphasized the systematic understanding of all identifiable manifestations of political behavior, but it also meant the application of rigorous scientific and statistical methods to standardize testing and to attempt value free inquiry of the world of politics. For the behaviouralist, the role of political science is primarily to gather and analyze facts as rigorously and objectively as possible." Behavioralism tries to investigate and explain the behavior, activities, and acts of people - rather than the features of institutions such as legislatures, CEOs, and judiciaries - and groups in various social circumstances.

### **Systems**

Gunnell contends that the notion of system has been the most significant theoretical term employed by American political scientists since the 1950s; the idea first arose in sociology and other social sciences, but David Easton defined how it might be effectively used to behavioral research on politics. Until the 1950s, Canadian universities were led by British-trained scholars who prioritized the study of political economy over political science. After 1950, younger scholars increasingly pursued American PhDs, and Canadian departments promoted behavioralism and quantification.

Traditionally, political studies were handled by law professors or philosophy professors in European universities. American impulses toward Behavioralism have made the European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR) a unifying force. It sponsors several scholarly journals including European Political Science (EPS) (since 2001), European Journal of Political Research (EJPR), and European Political studies were conducted in the Soviet Union under the guise of other disciplines such as theory of state and law, area studies, international

relations, labor movement studies, "critique of bourgeois theories," and so on. Soviet scholars have been represented at the International Political Science Association (IPSA) since 1955 (since 1960 by the Soviet Association of Political and State Studies). Political science as a field was subjected to tight control of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union until the late years of the Soviet Union and was thus subjected to distrust. Anti-communists accused political scientists of being "false" scientists and of having served the old regime. Following the fall of the Soviet Union, two of the major institutions dealing with political science, the Institute of Contemporary Social Theories and the Institute of International Affairs, were disbanded, leaving the majority of their members jobless; these institutes were victims of the first wave of anticommunist opinion and ideological attacks. Today, the Russian Political Science Association unites professional political scientists from across Russia [7], [8].

In India, the Arthashastra of Chanakya, written in the third century B.C., is regarded as one of the earliest works of political science in India. The Arthashastra is a treatise of political thought that discusses international relations, war strategies, and fiscal policies, among other topics. Looking back at the predecessors of ancient India's politics leads to three of Hinduism's four Vedas, as well as the Mahabharata and Pali Canon; works from the Vedas include the Rigveda, Samhitas, and Bramanas. The Manusmriti was published approximately two hundred years after Chanakya's time, becoming another essential political treatise for India at the time. Contrary to popular belief, Confucianism (also known as Ruism) and Taoism are not only religions, but also core political philosophies that originated in Ancient China during the spring and autumn period, alongside Legalism and Mohism.

This period in Chinese history was a Golden Age of Chinese philosophy, as it gave birth too many different ideas that were freely discussed. According to Sima Tan, the four stated political philosophies are among the six traditional schools of thought in Chinese philosophy. During the Imperial Period, Confucianism changed with the times (being greatly affected by Legalism) and dominated Chinese political philosophy. Aristotelians of the Middle East (such as Avicenna and Maimonides) kept Aristotelian

traditions of empiricism and analysis alive by writing commentaries on Aristotle's works. Arabia later moved away from Aristotle's ideology of political science, focusing on Plato's work titled Republic, which became the foundation of Judeo-Islamic political philosophy, as seen in the works of Al-Farabi and Averroes. Political analysis may be found in writings such as Omar Khayyam's Rubaiyat and Ferdowsi's Shahnameh from medieval Persia.

### DISCUSSION

Political Science is the systematic study of politics or the process through which governments make choices. Politics, as one well-known definition puts it, is the determination of who gets what, where, when, and how. The political scientist is an impartial observer who raises questions and investigates the impacts and architecture of various governance systems. Political science was founded by the Greeks in the first century BCE. During this period, the philosopher Plato authored a number of dialogues on politics, posing questions about the nature of justice, what makes good governance, and what is genuinely best for mankind. His disciple Aristotle studied in a more scientific manner, meticulously examining and describing various sorts of governments. People started to apply the techniques of the scientific revolution to politics around the beginning of the seventeenth century. To comprehend government, Thomas Hobbes, for example, used geometric approaches to reduce it down into its most fundamental components. Sociological tools were employed to evaluate politics by intellectuals such as Karl Marx and Max Weber in the nineteenth century. Political science has grown in stature during the previous several decades. Political professionals at all levels work on campaigns (as well as news broadcasts) to persuade voters, and many elected officials study data to aid make policy decisions. Many political scientists now employ statistics and other quantitative tools to investigate a wide range of subjects, including voting, Congress, and the president [9], [10]

### CONCLUSION

Political science became a formal field in the United States in the late 1800s. The American Political Science Association (APSA) was established in 1903 and was instrumental in the development of political

science as an academic field. Since then, political science has flourished and spread abroad. Political science became established in Europe in the early twentieth century, with the establishment of the first political science department at the University of Paris in 1872. Political science departments may now be found at universities all around the globe.

Political science changed over the twentieth century to confront new concerns, such as the development of authoritarianism and the Cold War. As a result, new subfields such as international relations and comparative politics emerged. Political science has evolved in recent years in response to modern challenges such as globalization, climate change, and the rise of populism. Political scientists have also been more interested in how politics intersects with other disciplines such as economics, sociology, and psychology. Overall, political science has grown into an interdisciplinary study with a diverse set of issues and approaches. It is still crucial in understanding and developing political institutions and policies all over the globe.

### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Siplon, "A Brief History of the Political Science of AIDS Activism," *PS Polit. Sci. Polit.*, 1999, doi: 10.2307/420649.
- [2] M. Boschele, "COVID-19 Science Policy, Experts, and Publics: Why Epistemic Democracy Matters in Ecological Crises," *OMICS A Journal of Integrative Biology*. 2020. doi: 10.1089/omi.2020.0083.
- [3] W. Körbitz, "Biodiesel production in Europe and North America, an encouraging prospect," *Renewable Energy*. 1999. doi: 10.1016/s0960-1481(98)00406-6.
- [4] R. McDermott, "The ten commandments of experiments," *PS - Polit. Sci. Polit.*, 2013, doi: 10.1017/S1049096513000577.
- [5] E. M. Salas and E. S. Maldonado, "Breve historia de la ciencia del cambio climático y la respuesta política global: un análisis contextual/Brief history of climate change science and global political response: a context analysis," *KnE Eng.*, 2020, doi: 10.18502/keg.v5i2.6294.
- [6] J. L. Romano, "Politics of Prevention: Reflections From the COVID-19 Pandemic," *J. Prev. Heal. Promot.*, 2020, doi: 10.1177/2632077020938360.
- [7] E. Hirsch, D. J. Greenwood, and M. Leven, "Introduction to Action Research: Social Research for Social Change," *Contemp. Sociol.*, 2000, doi: 10.2307/2654465.
- [8] H. Mohsen, "Race and genetics: Somber history,

- troubled present,” Yale J. Biol. Med., 2020.
- [9] C. Leeuwis and N. Aarts, “Communication as intermediation for socio-technical innovation,” J. Sci. Commun., 2016, doi: 10.22323/2.15060302.
- [10] F. M. Salzano, “The evolution of science in a Latin-American country: Genetics and genomics in Brazil,” Genetics, 2018, doi: 10.1534/genetics.118.300690.



# Evolution of Political Science in World

Ms. Anandasrinivasan Deviprabha

Assistant Professor, Master in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Email Id-deviprabha@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Political science is a social science that analyzes the allocation of power and resources within societies as well as the operation of various political systems. It has changed through time in response to societal developments and the issues that political institutions face. Political science has its roots in ancient Greece when philosophers such as Plato and Aristotle studied governance and political systems. However, political science did not develop as a separate academic field until the nineteenth century. Political science became a formal field in the United States in the late 1800s. The American Political Science Association (APSA) was established in 1903 and was instrumental in the development of political science as an academic field.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Civil War, French Revolution, Middle East, Political Science, Soviet Union, United States.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

The political history of the globe is the history of the numerous political entities produced by the human race during the course of their existence, as well as the manner in which these governments determine their boundaries. Political systems have evolved throughout time, from simple self-government and monarchy to the complex democratic and totalitarian systems that exist today. Parallel to this, political entities have evolved from loosely defined frontier-type limits to the current national definite bounds.

### Prehistoric period

Humans' primate predecessors already have social and political abilities. The oldest forms of human social organization were families living in band societies as hunter-gatherers. Human civilizations began to move to tribal forms of organization with the discovery of agriculture about the same period (7,000-8,000 BCE) in diverse areas of the globe. There is evidence of diplomacy between tribes, but also of endemic warfare. This might be due to cattle or crop theft, abduction of women, or resource and prestige rivalry. Christian Jürgensen Thomsen pioneered the three-age method of prehistoric periodization in Scandinavia in the 1830s. By the 1860s, it had gained acceptance as a useful division of the "earliest history of mankind" in general and was being used in Assyriology. The now-standard periodization in the archaeology of the Ancient Near East was devised in the 1920s and 1930s [1].

### Ancient history

The early distribution of political power was determined by the availability of fresh water, fertile soil, and temperate climate of different locations. These were all necessary for the development of highly organized societies. The locations of these early societies were near, or benefiting from, the edges of tectonic plates. The Indus Valley Civilization was located next to the Himalayas (which were created by tectonic pressures) and the Indus and Ganges rivers, which deposit sediment from the mountains to produce fertile land. A similar dynamic existed in Mesopotamia, where the Tigris and Euphrates did the same with the Zagros Mountains. Ancient Egypt was helped by the Nile depositing sediments from the East African highlands of its origins, while the Yellow River and Yangtze acted in the same way for Ancient China. Eurasia was advantaged in the development of agriculture by the natural occurrence of domesticable wild grass species and the east-west orientation of the landmass, allowing for the easy spread of domesticated crops. A similar advantage was given to it by half of the world's large mammal species living there, which could be domesticated [2].

The development of agriculture allowed higher populations, with the newly dense and settled societies becoming hierarchical, with inequalities in wealth and freedom. As the cooling and drying of the climate by 3800 BCE caused drought in Mesopotamia, village farmers began cooperating and started creating larger

settlements with irrigation systems. This new water infrastructure in turn required centralized administration with complex social Organization. The first cities and systems of greater social Organization emerged in Mesopotamia, followed within a few centuries by ones at the Indus and Yellow River Valleys. In the cities, the workforce could specialize as the whole population did not have to work for food production, while stored food allowed for large armies to create empires. The first empires were those of Ancient Egypt and Mesopotamia. Smaller kingdoms existed in North China Plain, Indo-Gangetic Plain, Central Asia, Anatolia, Eastern Mediterranean, and Central America, while the rest of humanity continued to live in small tribes [3].

#### **The Mediterranean and the Middle East**

The first states of sorts were those of early dynastic Sumer and early dynastic Egypt, which arose from the Uruk period and Predynastic Egypt respectively at approximately 3000BCE. Early dynastic Egypt was based around the Nile River in the north-east of Africa, the kingdom's boundaries being based around the Nile and stretching to areas where oases existed. Upper and Lower Egypt were unified around 3150 BCE by Pharaoh Menes. This process of consolidation was driven by the crowding of migrants from the expanding Sahara in the Nile Delta. Nevertheless, political competition continued within the country between centers of power such as Memphis and Thebes. The prevailing north-east trade winds made it easier to sail up the river, thereby helping the unification of the state. The geopolitical environment of the Egyptians had them surrounded by Nubia in the smaller southern oases of the Nile unreachable by boat, as well as by Libyan warlords operating from the oases around modern-day Benghazi, and finally by raiders across the Sinai and the sea. The country was well defended by natural barriers formed by the Sahara on both sides, though this also limited its ability to expand into a larger empire, mostly remaining a regional power along the Nile (except for a conquest of the Levant in the second millennium BCE). The lack of timber also made it too expensive to build a large navy for power projection across the Mediterranean or Red Seas.

#### **Mesopotamian Supremacy**

Mesopotamia is located between the great rivers Tigris and Euphrates, and the Akkadian Empire was the first political force in the area, beginning approximately 2300 BCE. They were preceded by Sumer, and were subsequently followed by Babylon and Assyria. They faced rivalry from kingdoms such as Mitanni, Urartu, Elam, and Medes in the hilly highlands to the north, strategically positioned above the Mesopotamian plains. The Mesopotamians also innovated in government by creating the first laws.

A dry climate in the Iron Age caused turmoil as movements of people put pressure on the existing states resulting in the Late Bronze Age collapse, with Cimmerians, Arameans, Dorians, and the Sea Peoples migrating among others. Babylon never recovered following the death of Hammurabi in 1699 BCE. Following this, Assyria grew in power under Adad-nirari II. By the late ninth century BCE, the Assyrian Empire controlled almost all of Mesopotamia and much of the Levant and Anatolia. Meanwhile, Egypt was weakened, eventually breaking apart after the death of Osorkon II until 710 BCE. In 853, the Assyrians fought and won a battle against a coalition of Babylon, Egypt, Persia, Israel, Aram, and ten other nations, with over 60,000 troops taking part according to contemporary sources. However, the empire was weakened by internal struggles for power, and was plunged into a decade of turmoil beginning with a plague in 763 BCE. Following revolts by cities and lesser kingdoms against the empire, a coup d'état was staged in 745 by Tiglath-Pileser III. He raised the army from 44,000 to 72,000, followed by his successor Sennacherib who raised it to 208,000, and finally by Ashurbanipal who raised an army of over 300,000. This allowed the empire to spread over Cyprus, the entire Levant, Phrygia, Urartu, Cimmerians, Persia, Medes, Elam, and Babylon.

#### **Persian Supremacy**

By 650, Assyria had begun to decline as a severe drought hit the Middle East and an alliance was formed against them. Eventually, they were replaced as the region's main power by the Median empire following the Battle of Carchemish (605) and the Battle of the Eclipse (585). The Medians served as the

launching pad for the rise of the Persian Empire. As the population of Ancient Greece grew, they began colonizing the Mediterranean region. This encouraged trade, which in turn caused political changes in the city-states, such as the overthrow of old elites in Corinth in 657 and Athens in 632. There were also many wars between the cities, such as the Messenian Wars (743-742; 685-668), the Lelantine War (710-650), and the First Sacred War (595-585). The Macedonian King Philip II (350-336) conquered much of Greece. In 338, he formed the League of Corinth to liberate Greeks in Asia Minor from the Persians, with 10,000 troops invading in 336. After his murder, his son Alexander the Great took command and crossed the Dardanelles in 334. After conquering Asia Minor, Alexander invaded Levant, Egypt, and Mesopotamia, defeating the Persians under

#### **Roman domination**

The Roman Republic became dominant in the Mediterranean Basin in the 3rd century BC after defeating the Samnites, Gauls, and Etruscans for control of the Italian Peninsula. In 264, it challenged its main rival Carthage to a fight for Sicily, launching the Punic Wars. A truce was signed in 241, with Rome gaining Corsica and Sardinia in addition to Sicily. Rome had a number of internal crises and instabilities. In 133, Tiberius Gracchus was killed alongside hundreds of supporters after trying to redistribute public land to the poor under the *lex agraria*. The Social War (91-88) was caused by neighbouring cities trying to secure themselves the benefits of Roman citizenship. In 82, General Sulla captured power violently, ending the Roman Republic and becoming a dictator. Following his death new power struggles emerged, and in Caesar's Civil War (49-46), Julius Caesar and Pompey fought over the empire, with the former winning. After the assassination of Julius Caesar in 44, a second civil war broke out between his potential heirs, Mark Antony and Augustus, the latter gaining the new title of Roman emperor. This then led to the Pax Romana, a long period of peace in the Roman Empire. The quarrels between the Ptolemaic Kingdom, the Seleucid Empire, the Parthian Empire and the Kingdom of Pontus in the Near East allowed the Romans to expand up to the Euphrates. During Augustus' reign the Rhine, Danube, and the Sahara became the other

borders of the empire. The population reached about 60 million.

Political unrest in Rome increased. Emperor Caligula (37-41) was murdered by the Praetorian Guard to replace him with Claudius (41-53), while his successor Nero (54-68) was rumored to have burned Rome down. The average reign from his death to Philip the Arab (244-249) was six years. Nevertheless, external expansion continued, with Trajan (98-117) invading Dacia, Parthia and Arabia. Its only formidable enemy was the Parthian Empire. Migrating peoples started exerting pressure on the borders of the empire in the Migration Period. The drying climate of Central Asia forced the Huns to move, and in 370 they crossed Don and soon after the Danube, forcing the Goths on the move, which in turn caused other Germanic tribes to overrun Roman borders. In 293, Diocletian (284-305) appointed three rulers for different parts of the empire. It was formally divided in 395 by Theodosius I (379-395) into the Western Roman and Byzantine Empires. In 406 the northern border of the former was overrun by the Alemanni, Vandals and Suebi. In 408 the Visigoths invaded Italy and then sacked Rome in 410. The final collapse of the Western Empire came in 476 with the deposal of Romulus Augustulus (475-476) [4].

#### **Indian subcontinent**

##### ***The Empire of the Mauryas***

By 2500 BCE, the Indus Valley civilisation had established around the Indus River and was situated in modern-day India, Pakistan, and Afghanistan. After its cities Mohenjo-daro and Harappa were abandoned in 1900 BCE, no governmental authority is known to have succeeded them. States originally emerged in the 12th century BCE with the establishment of the Kuru Kingdom, the first state-level governance on the Indian subcontinent. The Mahajanapadas emerged in the sixth century BCE, out of sixteen such states, four strong ones emerged: Kosala, Magadha, Vatsa, and Avanti, with Magadha dominating the rest by the mid-fifth century. The Magadha then transformed into the Nanda Empire under Mahapadma Nanda (345-321), extending from the Gangetic plains to the Hindu Kush and the Deccan Plateau. After Ashoka's death, the empire began to decline, with Kashmir in the north,

Shunga and Satavahana in the center, and Kalinga and Pandya in the south becoming independent. In this power vacuum, the Yuezhi were able to establish the new Kushan Empire in 30 CE. Following the downfall of the Kushan Empire, Chandragupta I (320-335) founded the Gupta Empire, which in sixty years

### ***The Qin dynasty***

The Yellow River enabled the rise of states such as Wei and Qi in the North China Plain. This area was first unified by the Shang dynasty around 1600 BCE, and replaced by the Zhou dynasty in the Battle of Muye in 1046 BCE, with reportedly millions taking part in the fighting. The victors were however hit by internal unrest soon after. Beginning in the eighth century BCE China fell into a state of anarchy for five centuries during the Spring and Autumn (771-476) and Warring States periods (476-221). During the latter period, the Jin dynasty split into the Wei, Zhao and Han states, while the rest of the North China Plain was composed of the Chu, Qin, Qi and Yan states, while the Zhou remained in the centre with largely ceremonial power. While the Zhao had an advantage at first, the Qin ended up defeating them in 260 with about half a million soldiers fighting on each side at the Battle of Changping. The other states tried to form an alliance against the Qin but were defeated. In 221, the Qin dynasty was established with a population of about 40 million, with a capital of 350,000 in Linzi. Under the leadership of Qin Shi Huang, the dynasty initiated reforms such as establishing territorial administrative units, infrastructure projects (including the Great Wall of China) and uniform Chinese characters. However, after his death and burial with the Terracotta Army, the empire started falling apart when the Chu and Han started fighting over a power vacuum left by a weak heir, with the Han dynasty rising to power in 204 BCE. Under the Han, China's population increased to 50 million, with 400,000 in the capital Chang'an, and territorial expansion to Korea, Vietnam, and Tien Shan. Expeditions were also sent against the Xiongnu and to secure the Hexi Corridor, the Nanyue kingdom was annexed, and Hainan and Taiwan were conquered. The Chinese pressure on the Xiongnu forced them west, leading to the exodus of the Yu [5]

### **Pre-Columbian period**

The Olmecs were the first major Indigenous American civilisation, with several lesser ones like as the Chavn culture amid mostly hunter-gatherers. The East African coast contained a string of trading cities linked to kingdoms in the interior. By the 13th and 14th centuries, the Ethiopian Empire dominated the Horn of Africa. South from it were the Swahili cities of Mogadishu, Mombasa, Zanzibar, Kilwa, and Sofala. By the 14th century, Kilwa had conquered most of the others. It also engaged in campaigns against Great Zimbabwe. The Tiwanaku Polity is situated in the southern Lake Titicaca Basin in western Bolivia. Chimor was the political organization of the Chim civilization that governed the northern coast of Peru starting about 850 and ending around 1470. Its influence stretched into present-day Peru and Chile. Chimor was the most populous kingdom in the Late Intermediate era, with 1,000 kilometers (620 miles) of coastline. The Aymara kingdoms, in turn, were a set of native polities that existed in the Late Intermediate Period, after the collapse of the Tiwanaku Empire, with societies situated in the Qullaw. They were created between 1150 and 1477, before the kingdoms were destroyed by the Inca Empire's military assault. Beginning approximately 250 AD, the Maya civilisation established several city-states connected by a sophisticated commerce network. The towns of Tikal and Calakmul were major competitors in the Maya Lowlands. The central Mexican metropolis of Teotihuacan also intervened in Maya dynasty politics during this time period. In the 9th century, the central Maya area saw severe governmental breakdown, culminating in internecine violence, city abandonment, and a population movement northward. The Postclassic era witnessed the emergence of Chichen Itza in the north and the aggressive Kiche kingdom's advance in the Guatemalan Highlands. The Spanish Empire colonized Mesoamerica in the 16th century, and a long series of wars resulted in the fall of Nojpetén, the final Maya city, in 1697. The Aztec Empire was founded by three Nahua altepetl city-states: Mexico-Tenochtitlan, Tetzaco, and Tlacopan. From the winning groups of a civil war waged between Azcapotzalco and its former dependent regions. From 1428 to 1521, these three city-states governed the region in and around the Valley of Mexico, until they were conquered by a combined army of Spanish

conquistadores and local allies commanded by Hernán Cortés. Despite the empire's initial conception as an alliance of three self-governing city-states, Tenochtitlan quickly became militarily dominant. By the time the Spanish arrived in 1519, the Alliance's lands were effectively ruled from Tenochtitlan, with the other alliance partners taking secondary roles. Tarascan was Mesoamerica's second-largest state at the time. It was created in the early 14th century [6].

When China entered the Sui Dynasty, the government changed and expanded its borders as the many separate bureaucracies unified under one banner. When Li Yuan took control of China in 626, the Chinese borders had expanded from eastern China, up north into the Tang Empire. The Tang Empire fell apart in 907 and split into ten regional kingdoms and five dynasties with vague borders. The Mongol Empire arose from the union of many nomadic tribes in the Mongol heartland under the leadership of Genghis Khan (c. 1162-1227), who was declared king of the Mongols by a council in 1206. The empire grew rapidly under his rule and that of his descendants, who sent invading armies in every direction. In an enforced Pax Mongolica, the vast transcontinental empire connected the East with the West, the Pacific to the Mediterranean, allowing the dissemination and exchange of trade, technologies, commodities, and ideologies across Eurasia.

As the grandsons of Genghis Khan argued about whether the royal line should continue from his son and first heir gedei or from one of his other sons, such as Tolui, Chagatai, or Jochi, the kingdom started to disintegrate. After a deadly cleansing of gedeid and Chagataid groups, the Toluids triumphed, but disagreements among Tolui's descendants persisted. After Möngke Khan died in 1259, rival kurultai councils simultaneously elected different successors, the brothers Ariq Böke and Kublai Khan, who fought each other in the Toluid Civil War (1260-1264) and also dealt with challenges from the descendants of other sons of Genghis. The Mongol Empire had fragmented into four separate khanates or empires by the time of Kublai's death in 1294, each pursuing its own distinct interests and objectives: the Golden Horde khanate in the northwest, the Chagatai Khanate in Central Asia, the Ilkhanate in the southwest, and the Yuan dynasty in the east, based in modern-day Beijing.

The three western khanates temporarily recognized the nominal suzerainty of the Yuan dynasty in 1304, but the Han Chinese Ming dynasty took seized the Mongol capital in 1368. The Yuan's Genghisid emperors returned to their Mongolian heartland and established the Northern Yuan kingdom. The Ming dynasty had the world's largest army, with nearly a million soldiers. It was thus able to conduct military campaigns in Manchuria, Inner Mongolia, Yunnan, and Vietnam. Naval voyages were also sent, with the Ming treasure voyages reaching Africa. These also intervened militarily in Java, Sumatra, and Sri Lanka. By the end of the 15th century, the Golden Horde had split into warring khanates and was destroyed and driven out of Russia by the Grand Duchy of Moscow in 1480, while the Chagatai Khanate persisted until 1687 in various forms.

#### **Europe and the Middle East**

The Byzantine-Sasanian Wars of 572-591 and 602-628 had the consequence of a century of practically constant fighting, crippling both empires. When Kavadh II died just a few months after ascending to the throne, the Sasanian Empire was thrown into years of dynastic uncertainty and civil conflict. The Sasanians were further weakened by economic decline, heavy taxation from Khosrau II's campaigns, religious unrest, and the growing power of provincial landholders. The Byzantine Empire was also severely weakened, with its financial reserves depleted by the war and the Balkans now largely in the hands of the Slavs. The Quraysh ruled the city of Mecca, and expelled their member Muhammad from it to the city of Medina in 622, from where he began spreading his new religion, Islam. In 631 Muhammad marched with 10,000 to Mecca and conquered it before dying the next year. His successors united most of Arabia in the Ridda wars (632-633) and then started the Muslim conquests of the Levant (634-641), Egypt (639-642) and Persia (633-651), the latter ending the Sasanian empire. In less than a decade after his death, the Islamic Rashidun Caliphate extended its reach from Atlas Mountains in the west to the Hindu Kush in the east. However, the First Fitna led to its replacement by the Umayyad Caliphate in 661, moving the center of power to Damascus.

The Umayyad conquest of Hispania began in 711, and in 717 they crossed the Pyrenees into the European Plain. They were met by the Merovingian dynasty,



which had been established by Clovis I (481-511), which was in decline, leading Charles Martel to seize power and defeat the invasion force at the Battle of Tours in 732. His son Pepin the Short established the Carolingian dynasty During the Viking Age (793-1066 AD), Norsemen known as Vikings engaged in large-scale raiding, colonizing, conquest, and trading throughout Europe, including North America. Voyaging by sea from their homelands in Denmark, Norway, and Sweden, the Norse people settled in the British Isles, Ireland, the Faroe Islands, Iceland, Greenland, Normandy, the Baltic coast, and along the Dnieper and Volga trade routes They also made a short stop in Newfoundland, becoming the first Europeans to arrive in North America. In Europe, the Vikings established various kingdoms and earldoms, including the Kingdom of the Isles (Sureyjar), Orkney (Norreyjar), York (Jórvk) and the Danelaw (Danalg), Dublin (Dyflin), Normandy, and Kievan Rus' (Gararki). During the Viking Age, the Norse homelands were also consolidated into bigger kingdoms, and the short-lived North Sea Empire covered significant swaths of Scandinavia and Britain.

At the Council of Clermont in 1095, Pope Urban II declared the First Crusade. He advocated for military aid to Byzantine Emperor Alexios I against the Seljuk Turks, as well as an armed pilgrimage to Jerusalem. The public reaction in Western Europe was positive across all socioeconomic levels. Volunteers made a public commitment to join the campaign. Historians are currently debating their objectives, which included the hope of mass ascension into Heaven at Jerusalem, fulfilling feudal responsibilities, prospects for notoriety, and economic and political benefit. Following initial triumphs, four Crusader governments were founded in the Near East: the County of Edessa, the Principality of Antioch, the Kingdom of Jerusalem, and the County of Tripoli. The crusader presence persisted in the area in some form until 1291, when the city of Acre fell, resulting in the swift fall of all remaining holdings in the Levant. There were no further crusades to reclaim the Holy Land after this.

Following the end of the Carolingian Empire, the largest polities in Western Europe were the Holy Roman Empire, the Byzantine Empire, Kingdom of France, and the Kingdom of England. The Catholic Church also wielded tremendous power. In Eastern

Europe, the Mongol invasion of Europe killed half the population 1237 to 1241. The resulting power vacuum helped the Teutonic Order, while the Kingdom of Poland and the Kingdom of Hungary became the main Catholic realms. Further east, the Kievan Rus' continued to prosper. The main power to the south meanwhile was the Byzantine Empire. However, by 1180, the Republic of Venice had changed the balance of maritime power in the Mediterranean. In the Greater Middle East, power was divided between the Seljuk Empire, the Fatimid Caliphate, the Buyid dynasty, and the Ghaznavids. No Islamic power was able to hold Egypt, the Levant, Mesopotamia, and Persia at the same time again. In 1258, the Mongol Siege of Baghdad pushed the Islamic world into disarray. Osman I (1200-1323) established the Seljuk dynasty, which led to the Ottoman Empire. In 1345, the Ottomans crossed the Dardanelles into Europe, conquering Thessaloniki in 1387 and advancing to Kosovo by 1389. The Fall of Constantinople occurred in 1453, effectively ending the Byzantine Empire and effectively the Roman Empire, which dated back to 27 BC and lasted nearly 1,500 years. The invasion of Constantinople and the collapse of the Byzantine Empire was a pivotal event in the Late Middle Ages, marking the end of the Medieval era.

#### **East Asia**

While the Portuguese Empire was initially successfully kept out, Japanese pirates began to attack the coast, forcing cooperation with the Portuguese, who established a trading settlement at Macau in 1554. Northern Mongol and Jurchen people formed a coalition to invade the country, reaching Beijing in 1550. In 1592, the Japanese invaded Korea, while rebellions emerged in China. When Charles II of Spain died in 1700, he named Phillip of Anjou, Louis XIV's grandson, as his successor. The British were not pleased with Charles' choice, believing that he would seize the chance to ally with France and Spain and seek to take over Europe. In 1702 Britain created the Grand Alliance with Holland, Austria, and the majority of German powers and declared war on Spain. The War of the Spanish Succession lasted 11 years and concluded with the Treaty of Utrecht in 1714 [7].

Less than 50 years later, in 1740, war broke out again, prompted by King Frederick the Great of Prussia's invasion of Silesia, part of Austria. Maria Theresa was

backed by the British Empire, the Dutch Republic, and the Kingdom of Hungary. These and other governments fought in the War of the Austrian Succession for the following eight years, until a peace was negotiated enabling Prussia to preserve Silesia. The Seven Years' War started when Theresa broke with Britain and united with France and Russia. Britain won the war in 1763, seizing Canada and area east of the Mississippi. Prussia also retained Silesia.

The Atlantic Revolutions were a revolutionary surge that occurred in the late 18th and early 19th centuries. It included the Corsican Revolution (1755-1769), the American Revolution (1765-1783), the Geneva Revolution of 1782, the Revolt of Dutch Patriots (1785), the Polish-Russian War of 1792 (1788-1792), the French Revolution and its aftermath (1789-1814), the Liège Revolution (1789-1795), the Brabant Revolution (1790), the Haitian Revolution (1791-1804), the Batavian Revolution (1795), the Slave revolt in Curaçao (1795), Fédon Smaller upheavals occurred in Switzerland, Russia, and Brazil. Each country's revolutions were aware of the others and, to some extent, were influenced by or mimicked them.

The French Revolutionary Wars were a series of large-scale military confrontations stemming from the French Revolution that lasted from 1792 until 1802. They put France against the United Kingdom, the Holy Roman Empire, Prussia, Russia, and a number of other kingdoms. They are classified into two periods: the First Coalition War (1792-97) and the Second Coalition War (1798-1802). Initially restricted to Europe, the war ultimately expanded to a worldwide scale. After a decade of relentless fighting and aggressive diplomacy, France had captured regions in Europe such as the Italian Peninsula, the Low Countries, and the Rhineland, as well as Louisiana in North America. The French victory in these battles insured that revolutionary concept expanded over most of Europe.

The Coup of 18 Brumaire installed General Napoleon Bonaparte as First Consul of France, thereby ending the French Revolution, according to most historians. The Napoleonic Wars (1803-1815) were a series of important confrontations that pitted the French Empire and its allies, commanded by Napoleon I, against a shifting coalition of European states. It resulted in a short era of French dominance over the majority of continental Europe. The conflicts sprang from

unsolved issues related with the French Revolution and the fighting that afterwards. The wars are sometimes divided into five conflicts, each named after the coalition that opposed Napoleon: the Third Coalition (1805), the Fourth (1806-07), the Fifth (1809), the Sixth (1813-14), and the Seventh (1815) [8].

The Peninsular War with France, which resulted from Napoleonic occupation of Spain, caused Spanish Creoles in Spanish America to doubt their allegiance to Spain, igniting independence movements that culminated in various Spanish American wars of independence (1808-33), which were fought primarily between opposing groups of colonists and only secondarily against Spanish forces. During Portugal's French occupation, the Portuguese monarchs migrated to Brazil. After the royal court departed to Lisbon, the prince regent, Pedro, stayed in Brazil and crowned himself Emperor of the newly independent Brazilian Empire in 1822. The Carbonari revolution in Italy, the Trienio Liberal in Spain, the Liberal Revolution of 1820 in the Kingdom of Portugal, the Greek War of Independence, and the Decembrist insurrection in the Russian Empire all occurred during the 1820s. Following this, the 1830 Revolutions included the Belgian Revolution in the United Kingdom of the Netherlands, the July Revolution in France, the November Uprising in the Congress of Poland, and the Ustertag in Switzerland. In turn, the Revolutions of 1848 were the most sweeping revolutionary upheaval in European history. The March Revolution, French Revolution, German revolutions, Italian state revolutions, Greater Poland uprising, March Unrest, Austrian Empire revolutions, Praieira revolt, Luxembourg revolution, Moldavian Revolution, Wallachian Revolution, Chartism, and the Young Ireland rebellion were among them.

### **Great Power Rivalry**

The 1848 upheavals accelerated the Italian unification process, which had been sparked by rebellions in the 1820s and 1830s against the conclusion of the Congress of Vienna. It was completed in 1871, when the Papal States were captured and Rome was officially designated as the capital of the Kingdom of Italy. Following the Franco-Prussian War of 1870-71, Prussia, led by Otto von Bismarck, united almost all German states (except the Austrian Empire, Luxembourg, and Liechtenstein) into a new German

Empire. Bismarck's new empire grew to become the most powerful state in Continental Europe until 1914. Meanwhile, Britain had entered an age of "splendid isolation," avoiding the entanglements that had led to the Crimean War in 1854-1856. It focused on domestic economic growth and political reform, as well as expanding its vast foreign holdings, the British Empire, while retaining by far the world's greatest Navy to safeguard its island home and many overseas colonies.

The Berlin Conference of 1884, which governed European colonization and commerce in Africa, is often regarded as the start of the African Scramble. There were significant political rivalry among European empires in the final quarter of the nineteenth century, resulting to the partitioning of the African continent without wars between European states. Europeans controlled around 10% of the African continent as late as the 1870s, with all of their possessions centered along the coastlines. The most significant possessions were Portugal's Angola and Mozambique, Great Britain's Cape Colony, and France's Algeria. Only Ethiopia and Liberia remained independent of European rule by 1914, with the latter having significant ties to the United States. The United States intervened in the Cuban War of Independence during the Spanish-American War of 1898, allowing it to emerge as the dominant force in the Caribbean region and ultimately in the purchase of Spain's Pacific colonies by the United States. It also resulted in the United States' participation in the Philippine Revolution and, subsequently, the Philippine-American War. The Banana Wars were a series of conflicts in Central America and the Caribbean that consisted of military occupation, police action, and intervention by the United States following the end of the Spanish-American War in 1898, after which the United States proceeded to conduct military interventions in Cuba, Panama, Nicaragua, Mexico, Haiti, and the Dominican Republic [9]

#### **Fascism's Ascension**

The Great Depression's economic hardships triggered a worldwide wave of social discontent. It aided the growth of the National Socialist German Workers' Party in Germany, resulting in the fall of the Weimar Republic and the foundation of the fascist state, Nazi Germany, led by Adolf Hitler. Fascist movements increased in prominence across Europe. Gyula

Gömbös, a Hungarian fascist, became Prime Minister of Hungary in 1932 and sought to establish his Party of National Unity across the nation. After 1933, the fascist Iron Guard movement in Romania grew in popularity, obtaining participation in the Romanian government, and an Iron Guard member killed Romanian Prime Minister Ion Duca.

France suffered the largest internal political turbulence since the Dreyfus Affair during the 6 February 1934 crisis, when the fascist Francist Movement and numerous far-right groups rioted en masse in Paris against the French government, culminating in massive political bloodshed. In the Americas, the Brazilian Integralists, headed by Plinio Salgado, claimed up to 200,000 followers, but after coup attempts in 1937, the Estado Novo of Getlio Vargas cracked down. The National Socialist Movement of Chile acquired seats in Chile's parliament in the 1930s and attempted a coup that culminated in the 1938 Seguro Obrero massacre.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Following the Cuban Missile Crisis, a new phase started, with the Sino-Soviet divide complicating ties inside the Communist realm, while France, a Western Bloc power, began to seek more autonomy of action. The Soviet Union invaded Czechoslovakia to put down the 1968 Prague Spring, while the United States was torn apart by the civil rights movement and resistance to the Vietnam War. In the 1960s and 1970s, a worldwide peace movement grew among individuals all over the globe. Protests against nuclear weapons testing and for nuclear disarmament took occurred, as did major anti-war demonstrations. By the 1970s, both sides had begun to make provisions for peace and security, ushering in an era of détente marked by the Strategic Arms Limitation Talks and the United States' establishment of ties with the People's Republic of China as a strategic counterbalance to the Soviet Union. Throughout the second half of the 1970s, a number of self-proclaimed Marxist governments emerged throughout the Third World, including Angola, Mozambique, Ethiopia, Cambodia, Afghanistan, and Nicaragua.

Détente was broken towards the end of the decade with the start of the Soviet-Afghan War in 1979. Another era of high tension occurred in the early 1980s. The US strengthened diplomatic, military, and economic

pressures on the Soviet Union, which was already experiencing economic stagnation. In the mid-1980s, Mikhail Gorbachev, the new Soviet leader, implemented the liberalizing reforms of glasnost ("openness," c. 1985) and perestroika ("reorganization," 1987), as well as ending Soviet participation in Afghanistan. Pressures for national autonomy developed in Eastern Europe, and Gorbachev refused to defend their regimes militarily any more.

With the exception of Romania and Afghanistan, the breakdown of the Iron Curtain after the Pan-European Picnic and a peaceful wave of uprisings destroyed nearly all communist regimes in the Eastern Bloc in 1989. Following an attempted coup attempt in August 1991, the Communist Party of the Soviet Union lost power of the Soviet Union and was outlawed. This, in turn, resulted in the Soviet Union's official disintegration in December 1991, the proclamation of independence of its component republics, and the fall of communist regimes across much of Africa and Asia. The United States remained the world's only superpower.

Following the disintegration of the Soviet Union, various post-Soviet conflicts erupted throughout its former territory. In the First Nagorno-Karabakh War (1988-1994), Transnistria War (1990-1992), South Ossetia War (1991-1992), War in Abkhazia (1992-1993), and the First Chechen War (1994-1996), secessionist organizations fought against their new host countries. Civil wars erupted inside the new republics of Georgia (1991-1993), Tajikistan (1992-1997), and Russia in 1993. Czechoslovakia disintegrated peacefully in 1993, but Yugoslavia's disintegration, which began in 1990, resulted in the decade's violent inter-ethnic Yugoslav Wars.

Following German reunification, European integration was pushed further by German Chancellor Helmut Kohl and French President François Mitterrand. The Maastricht Treaty entered into force on November 1, 1993, establishing the European Union with its pillar system, formalizing European Political Cooperation as the Common Foreign and Security Policy, and adding the new area of Justice and Home Affairs. On January 1, 1994, the European Economic Area (EEA) entered into force, allowing European Free Trade Association (EFTA) members Norway and Iceland to enter the Single European

Market (created the previous year) with The Schengen Agreement went into force on March 26, 1995. The Rwandan genocide happened between 7 April and 15 July 1994, during the Rwandan Civil War. Members of the Tutsi minority ethnic group, as well as some moderate Hutu and Twa, were slaughtered by armed militias during these 100 days. The most frequently recognized academic estimates range from 500,000 to 662,000 Tutsi deaths. The genocide had long-term and far-reaching consequences. The Rwandan government, commanded by the RPF, launched an invasion into Zaire (now the Democratic Republic of the Congo), home to exiled officials of the old Rwandan government and many Hutu refugees, sparking the First Congo War and murdering an estimated 200,000 people. The Second Congo War started in August 1998, only a year after the First Congo War, and covered many of the same themes, with nine African nations and around twenty-five armed groups engaged.

Under Jiang Zemin's leadership, China experienced significant economic growth, saw the return of Hong Kong from the United Kingdom in 1997 and Macau from Portugal in 1999, and improved its relations with the rest of the world, all while the Communist Party maintained tight control over the state. However, during the Third Taiwan Strait Crisis, the People's Republic of China conducted a series of missile tests in the waters surrounding Taiwan from 21 July 1995 to 23 March 1996, prompting the United States government to respond by staging the largest display of American military might in Asia since the Vietnam War, while on May 7, 1999, during NATO bombing of Yugoslavia, U.S. guided bombs hit the People's Republic of China embassy in the Belgrade district of New Beijing. Atal Bihari Vajpayee, a co-founder of the Bharatiya Janata Party and a member of the Hindu nationalist Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh, became the first Indian prime minister to serve a complete term who was not a member of the Indian National Congress. During his tenure, Pakistan detonated five nuclear devices during Operation Chagai-I on 28 May 1998, just weeks after India's second nuclear test (Operation Shakti), becoming the world's seventh country to successfully develop and test nuclear weapons. The 1999 Pakistani coup d'état was a bloodless coup led by General Pervez Musharraf, who

deposed the democratically elected Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif on October 12, 1999.

Following Al-Qaeda's September 11, 2001 attacks, an American-led operation in Afghanistan resulted in the collapse of the Taliban regime in Afghanistan, after the nation had harbored the terrorists behind it. However, the ensuing Taliban insurgency was not quelled by the US occupation of the nation. During the Iraqi War, the 2003 invasion of Iraq by a US-led coalition ousted Saddam Hussein's administration, but the conflict persisted as an insurgency arose to fight the occupying troops and the post-invasion Iraqi government. Since the beginning of the War on Terror, the US has also conducted a series of military strikes on al-Qaeda militants in Yemen. The insurgency in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa began in 2004, when tensions rooted in the Pakistan Army's search for al-Qaeda fighters in the Waziristan area escalated into armed resistance, with Pakistan's actions presented as its contribution to the War on Terror. During the Second Chechen War and the North Caucasus Insurgency, Russia also waged its own, primarily domestic, counter-terrorism operation. During his first term as president, Vladimir Putin saw the Russian economy grow by an average of 7% per year, while Russia also experienced democratic backsliding and a shift to authoritarianism, characterised by endemic corruption. Putin became the second-longest serving contemporary European president after his close ally Alexander Lukashenko of Belarus. Color revolutions against local elites occurred in several post-communist republics, notably the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia's Bulldozer Revolution (2000), Georgia's Rose Revolution (2003), Ukraine's Orange Revolution (2004), and Kyrgyzstan's Tulip Revolution (2005). The Russo-Georgian War occurred in August 2008, after a period of deteriorating ties between the two nations. In the 2000s, there was an active movement toward furthering the European Union's consolidation, with the introduction of symbols and institutions typically reserved for sovereign states, such as citizenship, a common currency (used by 19 out of 27 members), a flag, an anthem, and a motto (In varietate Concordia, "United in Diversity"). An effort was made in 2004 to adopt a European Constitution, but it was not approved; instead, the Treaty of Lisbon was signed in 2007 to salvage some of the improvements envisioned in the Constitution. The European Union

(EU) had its biggest expansion in terms of area, number of states, and population on May 1, 2004, with the simultaneous admissions of Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Slovakia, and Slovenia. Seven of them were former Eastern Bloc countries. Bulgaria and Romania joined the EU as part of the same phase of expansion in 2007.

From 2004 until 2012, China's supreme leader was Hu Jintao. And the first Communist Party leader from a generation younger than the republic's founders. He and his colleague Premier Wen Jiabao presided over almost a decade of constant economic growth and development, cementing China's position as a major international power. Hu wanted to construct a "Harmonious Socialist Society" via the Scientific Outlook on Development, which aimed to increase domestic socioeconomic equality. Under his leadership, the government also clamped down on social unrest, ethnic minority rallies, and dissident personalities, leading to a number of contentious events like as the turmoil in Tibet and the passage of the Anti-Secession Law. Hu pushed for "China's peaceful development" in foreign policy, embracing soft power in international relations and a corporate approach to diplomacy. During Hu's presidency, China's influence in Africa, Latin America, and other emerging areas grew.

In Latin America, the Pink Tide was a political wave and perception of a shift away from the neoliberal economic model in Latin American democracies around the beginning of the twenty-first century. The philosophy of such regimes has been variably defined as post-neoliberalism or 21st-century socialism. Hugo Chávez of Venezuela, Néstor Kirchner of Argentina, Rafael Correa of Ecuador, Evo Morales of Bolivia, and Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva of Brazil have all advocated for this type of socialism. Following its Bolivarian Revolution, Venezuela attempted to export its Bolivarianism ideology to other countries in the region, establishing and seating regional organizations such as ALBA, the Community of Latin American and Caribbean States, and Petrocaribe some pink tide administrations have been described as "anti-American" and populist, and, in the case of Venezuela and Nicaragua, dictatorial.

The Arab Spring was a wave of anti-government demonstrations, revolutions, and armed rebellions that

swept the Arab world in the early 2010s. It began in response to corruption and economic stagnation, and was influenced by the Tunisian Revolution. From Tunisia, protests spread to five other countries: Libya, Egypt, Yemen, Syria, and Bahrain, where either the ruler was deposed (Zine El Abidine Ben Ali, Muammar Gaddafi, Hosni Mubarak, and Ali Abdullah Saleh) or major uprisings and social violence occurred, including riots, civil wars, or insurgencies. Street protests were also held in Morocco, Iraq, Algeria, Lebanon, Jordan, Kuwait, Oman, and Sudan. By mid-2012, the first wave of revolutions and protests had receded, as many Arab Spring rallies were greeted with violent reactions by authorities, as well as pro-government militias, counter-demonstrators, and soldiers. The following large-scale conflicts occurred: the Syrian Civil War; the rise of ISIL, insurgency in Iraq, and the subsequent civil war; the Egyptian Crisis, coup, and subsequent unrest and insurgency; the Libyan Civil War; and the Yemeni Crisis and subsequent civil war. Some referred to the succeeding conflicts as the Arab Winter.

The management of the European debt crisis resulted in the early demise of many European national administrations and impacted the outcome of several elections. Following the early Greek legislative election in 2012, in which PASOK's popularity fell from 42.5% in 2010 to as low as 7% in some polls, the term Personification was coined to describe the decline of center-left social-democratic political parties in European and other Western countries during the 2010s, often accompanied by the rise of nationalist, left-wing, and right-wing populist alternatives. The 2017 French presidential election resulted in a major change in French politics, with far-right Marine Le Pen and political newcomer Emmanuel Macron facing off instead of the reigning parties of The Republicans and Socialists. On 22 February 2014, Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovich was deposed as a result of the Euromaidan and the Dignity Revolution, which erupted in response to his decision to reject the European Union-Ukraine Association Agreement in favor of closer ties with Russia and the Eurasian Economic Union. Ukraine's eastern and southern regions erupted in pro-Russian protests shortly after Yanukovich's fall and deportation to Russia.

On the same day, unmarked Russian forces went into Ukraine's Crimea and seized control of vital sites and infrastructure, including the Crimean Parliament, annexing the area. In April 2014, Russian separatists in eastern Ukraine declared the Donetsk People's Republic (in Ukraine's Donetsk Oblast) and the Luhansk People's Republic (in Ukraine's Luhansk Oblast), with direct Russian military involvement in the ensuing War in Donbas against Ukraine. In the United Kingdom, Conservative Prime Minister David Cameron vowed to call a referendum if his administration was re-elected as part of a campaign commitment to attract support from Eurosceptics. In 2016, his administration launched a referendum on continuing EU membership, in which people voted to leave the EU with 51.9 percent of the vote. This resulted in his resignation, his replacement by Theresa May, and four years of talks with the EU on the terms of exit and future ties, which were concluded under a Boris Johnson administration, with Conservative Party government power maintaining throughout. Donald Trump, the Republican candidate, defeated Democratic rival Hillary Clinton in the 2016 United States presidential election. His political views have been labeled populist, protectionist, isolationist, and nationalist.

Neo-nationalism has also expanded effectively throughout Asia. Chinese Communist Party general secretary Xi Jinping's concept of "Chinese Dream" has been described as an expression of new nationalism. It takes pride in the historic Chinese civilisation, embracing the teachings of Confucius and other ancient Chinese sages, and thus rejecting Party chairman Mao Zedong's anti-Confucius campaign. Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi took office in 2014 as a member of the Rashtriya Swayamseva. The conservative wave emerged in the mid-2010s in Latin America as the influence of leftist governments declined in Argentina as the conservative liberal Mauricio Macri succeeded the Peronist Cristina Fernández de Kirchner in 2015; in Brazil, there was Dilma Rousseff's impeachment process that resulted in Rousseff's departure and the rise of her Vice President Michel Temer to power in 2016; in Peru the conservative economist Pedro Pablo Kuczynski succeeded Ollanta Humala; in Chile the conservative Sebastián Piñera succeeded the socialist Michelle Bachelet in 2018 just as it was

in 2010; and in 2018 the far-right congressman Jair Bolsonaro became 38th President of Brazil. However, a series of violent protests against austerity measures and income inequality scattered throughout Latin America have also recently occurred including the 2019-2020 Chilean protests, 2019-2020 Colombian protests, 2018-19 Haitian protests, 2019 Ecuadorian protests and the 2021 Colombian protests. A resurgence of the pink tide, however, was kicked off by Mexico in 2018 and Argentina in 2019.

### CONCLUSION

Political science has evolved in recent years in response to modern challenges such as globalization, climate change, and the rise of populism. Since then, political science has flourished and spread abroad. Political science became established in Europe in the early twentieth century, with the establishment of the first political science department at the University of Paris in 1872. Political science departments may now be found at universities all around the globe. Political science changed over the twentieth century to confront new concerns, such as the development of authoritarianism and the Cold War. As a result, new subfields such as international relations and comparative politics emerged. Political scientists have also been more interested in how politics intersects with other disciplines such as economics, sociology, and psychology. Overall, political science has grown into an interdisciplinary study with a diverse set of issues and approaches. It is still crucial in understanding and developing political institutions and policies all over the globe.

### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Lawson, "World Systems Analysis: An Introduction," *Contemp. Polit. Theory*, 2006, doi: 10.1057/palgrave.cpt.9300217.
- [2] R. Vicente-Saez and C. Martinez-Fuentes, "Open Science now: A systematic literature review for an integrated definition," *J. Bus. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.12.043.
- [3] S. A. Toth, "Regime," in *Mettray*, 2019. doi: 10.7591/cornell/9781501740183.003.0003.
- [4] R. S. Cooper, "Race in biological and biomedical research," *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.*, 2013, doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a008573.
- [5] S. Pritting, "Sources: The Encyclopedia of Political Science," *Ref. User Serv. Q.*, 2011, doi: 10.5860/rusq.51n1.77.
- [6] S. F. Schram, "Race and the Making of American Political Science," *J. Interdiscip. Hist.*, 2019, doi: 10.1162/jinh\_r\_01363.
- [7] "World-systems analysis: an introduction," *Choice Rev. Online*, 2005, doi: 10.5860/choice.42-4956.
- [8] "The Encyclopedia of political science," *Choice Rev. Online*, 2011, doi: 10.5860/choice.48-4242.
- [9] N. Sambanis, "Short- and long-term effects of United Nations peace operations," *World Bank Econ. Rev.*, 2008, doi: 10.1093/wber/lhm022.

# An Overview of the Comparative Politics

Dr. Narayana Srikanthreddy

Assistant Professor, Department Of Management, Presidency University, Bangalore, India,  
Email Id-srikanthreddyn@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Comparative politics is a branch of political science that compares and analyzes various political systems, institutions, and players across nations or regions. Comparative politics seeks to uncover parallels and differences across political systems, as well as to comprehend the forces that determine these similarities and differences. Case studies, surveys, statistical analysis, and experiments are some of the approaches used by comparative politics scholars to investigate political phenomena. Political institutions, public opinion, political culture, party systems, elections, and policymaking are all issues that may be studied in comparative politics.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Comparative Politics, Comparative Law, Comparative Methods, Political Science, Political System.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

Comparative politics is a branch of political science that uses the comparative method or other empirical approaches to investigate politics both inside and across nations. This might involve concerns about political institutions, political conduct, conflict, and the causes and implications of economic growth. Comparative politics may be referred to by different titles when applied to certain disciplines of study, such as comparative governance (the comparative study of types of government).

### Definition

Comparative politics is the systematic study and comparison of the world's various political systems. It is comparative in that it seeks to explain why various political systems have parallels or differences and how developmental differences between them arose. It is systematic in the sense that it seeks trends, patterns, and regularities among various political systems. The study area examines political systems throughout the world, concentrating on topics such as democracy, globalization, and integration. Because of comparative politics, new ideas and methodologies have been applied in political science throughout the previous 40 years. Political culture, dependency theory, developmentalism, corporatism, indigenous theories of change, comparative political economics, state-society interactions, and new institutionalism are among the topics covered. Comparative politics includes researching the contrasts between presidential and

parliamentary systems, democracies and dictatorships, parliamentary systems in various nations, multi-party systems like Canada and two-party systems like the United States. Comparative politics must take place at a given moment, generally the present. A researcher cannot compare systems from various epochs; they must remain constant [1].

While traditionally, between-country comparisons were used to investigate broad themes in political science, modern comparative political science focuses on subnational comparisons. Recently, there has been a major surge in interest in subnational comparisons and the benefits they provide in comparative politics. Without subnational research, we would know substantially less about significant credible questions in political science. Subnational research makes significant methodological, theoretical, and substantive contributions to the study of politics. Subnational research reveals important advances that are sometimes disguised by a national-level emphasis. Regions inside nations where the presence of state institutions has been decreased in impact or value might be an example. The term comparative politics relates to the discipline's historical link with the comparative method, which is discussed in further detail below. According to Arend Lijphart, comparative politics has a methodological emphasis rather than a substantive one: it concentrates on "the how but does not specify the of the analysis." According to Peter Mair and Richard Rose, comparative politics is defined by a combination of a substantive focus on the study of countries' political



systems and a method of identifying and explaining similarities and differences between these countries using common concepts. The word "comparative politics" is often used to refer to "the politics of other countries," particularly in the United States [2].

This use of the phrase is debatable. Comparative politics is important because it helps people understand the nature and operation of different political systems throughout the globe. Worldwide, there are several sorts of political systems based on genuine, social, ethnic, racial, and socioeconomic history. Indeed, comparative formulations of political affiliation fluctuate from one nation to the next. For example, both India and the United States are majority-rule countries; yet, the United States has a liberal vote-based presidential system, as opposed to India's parliamentary system. Even the political decision-making measure in the United States is more various in light of the Indian popular government. The United States is led by a president, but India is led by a prime minister. Relative legislative concerns push us to understand these essential contracts and how the two countries vary despite majority control. This subject of research is important for international relations and conflict resolution. Near politics fosters international interactions to explain global legislative concerns and current winning circumstances throughout the globe. Although both are branches of political science, comparative politics investigates the reasons of international strategy as well as the impact of global ideas and frameworks on domestic political behavior and functioning [3].

### History of the Subject

Harry H. Eckstein traces the history of comparative politics back to Aristotle, with contributions from Machiavelli and Montesquieu, to Gaetano Mosca and Max Weber, Vilfredo Pareto and Robert Michels, to James Bryce - with his *Modern Democracies* (1921) - and Carl Joachim Friedrich - with his *Constitutional Government and Democracy* (1937). Two lines of thought may be traced back to Aristotle and Plato. Philippe C. Schmitter contends that the "family tree" of comparative politics has two primary traditions: one founded by Aristotle and dubbed "sociological constitutionalism"; and a second, dubbed "legal constitutionalism" and traced back to Plato. Schmitter categorizes the following academics as belonging to each tradition:

1. **Sociological Constitutionalism:** "Polybius, Machiavelli, Montesquieu, Benjamin Constant, Alexis de Tocqueville, Lorenz von Stein, Karl Marx, Moisei Ostrogorski, Max Weber, Emile Durkheim, Robert Michels, Gaetano Mosca, Vilfredo Pareto, and Herbert Tingsten." According to Schmitter, in the twentieth century, this tradition was known as "historical political sociology" and included scholars such as "Stein Rokkan, T.H. Marshall, Reinhard Bendix, Otto Kirchheimer, Seymour Martin Lipset, Juan Linz, Hans Daalder, Mattei Dogan, S.N. Eisenstadt, Harry Eckstein, and Dankwart Rustow."
2. **Legal Constitutionalism:** Some famous legal constitutionalism academics are "Léon Duguit, Georges Burdeau, James Bryce, A. Lawrence Lowell, and Woodrow Wilson." According to Schmitter, this tradition was carried on in the twentieth century by "Maurice Duverger, Herman Finer, Samuel Finer, Giovanni Sartori, Carl J. Friedrich, Samuel Beer, Jean Blondel, F.A. Hermens, and Klaus von Beyme."

### Periodization as a political science area

Gerardo L. Munck proposes the following periodization for the formation of contemporary comparative politics in the United States as a branch of political science - viewed as an academic discipline:

1. Political Science's Discipline Constitution, 1880-1920.
2. The Behavioral Revolution, from 1921 to 1966.
3. The Post-Behavioral Period (from 1967 to 1988).
4. The Fourth Scientific Revolution 1989–2005.

The rational choice theory's attempt to hegemonies the field has failed. The absence of a unified metatheory. More emphasis on causal inference and expanded use of experimental approaches. Use of observation techniques, especially qualitative approaches, will be maintained. As theory receives less emphasis, a new preoccupation with a "hegemony of methods" emerges [4], [5].

**Important research areas**

According to certain definitions, comparative politics may be traced back to Plato's Republic and Aristotle's The Politics in Greek philosophy. Comparative politics, as a contemporary sub-discipline, is comprised of research in a variety of substantive topics, including the study of: Democratic state politics, Authoritarian state politics, Distributional politics and the provision of public goods, Political terrorism and Ethnic and religious politics are examples of political identity.

While many academics, research regimes, and research institutions are defined using the aforementioned categories or focuses, it is fairly unusual for geography or national specialty to be the distinguishing factor. The distinction between comparative politics and international relations is arbitrary, since domestic activities affect international processes, and international processes shape domestic processes. Some academics have advocated for field integration. There are no "isms" in comparative politics that exist in international relations studies.

**METHODOLOGY**

While the subfield's name implies a single methodological approach (the comparative method), political scientists in comparative politics employ the same range of social scientific methods as other scientists in the field, including experiments, comparative historical analysis, case studies, survey methodology, and ethnography. Mill's Method of Difference/Most Similar Systems Design: This approach compares highly similar examples that only vary in the dependent variable, with the notion that doing so will make it simpler to locate the independent factors that explain the presence/absence of the dependent variable. Mill's Method of Similarity/Most Distinctive System Design: This approach compares extremely diverse situations, all of which have the same dependent variable in common, so that any other event that is present in all of the cases may be treated as the independent variable.

**Comparative analysis at the subnational level**

Many scholars of comparative politics have compared units within a nation since the turn of the century. Similarly, there has been a rising debate over what Richard O. Snyder refers to as the "subnational

comparative method." Comparative law is the study of the variations and similarities between various nations' legal systems. It entails the study of the many legal "systems" (or "families") that exist across the globe, such as common law, civil law, communist law, Canon law, Jewish law, Islamic law, Hindu law, and Chinese law. Even when no explicit comparison is made, it involves the description and study of foreign legal systems. In this era of internationalism, economic globalization, and democracy, the relevance of comparative law has grown immensely.

**DISCUSSION**

Although each Legal System is unique, Comparative Law allows for the categorization of Legal Systems via examinations of their similarities and differences, with Law Families being the most fundamental level of classification. The primary distinctions between Law Families may be found in the source(s) of law, the function of judicial precedents, and the creation and growth of the Legal System. They should be in connection to the nature and principles of each government: whether they constitute it, as political laws do, or if they support it, as civil institutions do. They should be in relation to the climate of each country, to the quality of its soil, to its situation and extent, to the primary occupation of the natives, whether husbandmen, huntsmen, or shepherds [6], [7]. They should be in relation to the degree of liberty that the constitution will bear; to the inhabitants' religion, to their inclinations, riches, numbers, commerce, manners, and customs. Because civil laws are dependent on political institutions and are designed for the same society, if the intention is to adopt the civil law of another country, it is necessary to first determine whether they have both the same institutions and the same political law. Sir Henry Maine, a British jurist and legal historian, was the contemporary founding figure of comparative and anthropological jurisprudence. He made forth his ideas on the formation of legal institutions in primitive civilizations and participated in a comparative assessment of Eastern and Western legal systems in his 1861 book *Ancient Law: Its Connection with the Early History of Society, and Its Relation to Modern Ideas*. This treatise, which was extensively read and influential, put comparative law in its historical perspective. The first academic course on the topic

was created in 1869 at the academic of Oxford, with Maine serving as professor. Rudolf Schlesinger, a legal academic escaping persecution in Germany, introduced comparative law to the United States. Schlesinger subsequently became a professor of comparative law at Cornell Law School, where he helped to promote the topic throughout the United States.

Comparative law is an academic topic that studies legal systems, including their fundamental aspects and how they differ, as well as how their elements interact to form a system. Several branches of comparative law have emerged, including comparative constitutional law, comparative administrative law, comparative civil law (the law of torts, contracts, property, and obligations), comparative commercial law (the law of business organizations and trade), and comparative criminal law. These studies may be classified as micro- or macro-comparative legal analysis, i.e. thorough comparisons of two nations or broad-ranging studies of multiple countries. Comparative civil law studies, for example, illustrate how private law is organized, interpreted, and applied in various systems or nations. The goals of comparative law are as follows: to have a better understanding of the current legal systems. To improve the existing legal systems.

### **Relationship to other Legal Issues**

Comparative law differs from general jurisprudence (legal theory) as well as public and private international law. It does, however, assist to enlighten all of these normative domains. Comparative law, for example, may assist international legal organizations, such as those of the United Nations System, in examining the laws of other nations in relation to their treaty commitments. When creating an approach to interpretation in a conflict analysis, comparative law would be relevant to private international law. Comparative law may contribute to legal theory by developing general-purpose categories and notions. Comparative law may also provide light on the issue of legal transplants, or the movement of law and legal institutions from one system to another. Alan Watson, a world-renowned legal researcher specialized in comparative law, invented the term "legal transplants." Furthermore, comparative law is very beneficial for sociology of law, law and economics (and vice versa) [8].

A comparison of different legal systems may reveal how different legal laws for the same issue perform in reality. In contrast, sociology of law and law and economics may assist comparative law in answering problems such as: David contended, particularly in relation to his aggregation of the Civil and Common Laws into a single family, that the antithesis between the Common Law and Civil Law systems is technical rather than ideological in origin. The antithesis between, example, Italian and American laws is of a different nature than the antithesis between Soviet, Muslim, Hindu, or Chinese laws. According to David, civil law legal systems include nations whose legal science is based on Roman law, while common law countries are dominated by judge-made law. He argued that the following traits distinguish the Western legal family from the other four:

Arminjon, Nolde, and Wolff considered that it was necessary to study the (then) contemporaneous legal systems of the globe in order to categorize them, regardless of external variables such as geography. They advocated categorizing the legal system into seven groupings, or "families," namely the: The French group also included countries that codified their law in the nineteenth or first half of the twentieth century, using the Napoleonic code civil of 1804 as a model; this includes countries and jurisdictions such as Italy, Portugal, Spain, Romania, Louisiana, and various South American states such as Brazil, Quebec, Saint Lucia, the Ionian Islands, Egypt, and Lebanon. The English group consists of England, the United States, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand, among others. Konrad Zweigert and Hein Kötz present a new, multidimensional system for classifying laws, that is, for organizing families of laws. They argue that in order to identify such families, five factors should be considered: the historical context, the distinctive style of thinking, the various institutions, the acknowledged sources of law, and the prevalent ideology. Using the aforementioned criteria, they divide the world's legal systems into six families. The Roman family, German household, Family by common law, Nordic clan, Laws of the Far East (China and Japan) family and Family law (Jewish, Muslim, and Hindu law). Zweigert and Kötz used to cite Soviet or socialist law as another family of laws in their introduction to comparative law until the second German edition. Glenn H. Patrick Glenn suggested a taxonomy of legal systems that sets

national laws within the framework of major legal traditions: Chthonic (or native) law, Talmudic legislation, Hindu law Islamic law Confucianism law, Common law Civil law, Professional organizations, American Law Library Association and International Association of Lawyers. International Association of Judicial Independence and World Peace International Association of Procedural Law American Society of Comparative Law [9], [10].

### CONCLUSION

One of comparative politics' most important contributions is its capacity to recognize patterns and trends in political behavior across nations and regions. Comparative politics research, for example, has shown that democracies have better levels of economic growth and lower levels of political violence than authoritarian governments. Comparative politics may also give insight into the forces that shape political change, such as economic growth, social movements, and technological advancements. Policymakers and practitioners should be familiar with comparative politics. Policymakers may build more effective policies that take into consideration local political realities if they understand the elements that determine political behavior in various nations. Comparative politics may also assist policymakers in identifying optimal practices from other nations that can be implemented in their own political systems. Overall, comparative politics is an essential topic of political science that assists us in understanding the similarities and variations among political systems across the globe, as well as the causes that affect them.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Tosun and B. G. Peters, "The politics of climate change: Domestic and international responses to a global challenge," *Int. Polit. Sci. Rev.*, 2021, doi: 10.1177/0192512120975659.
- [2] A. Lijphart, "Comparative Politics and the Comparative Method," *Am. Polit. Sci. Rev.*, 1971, doi: 10.2307/1955513.
- [3] A. Stepan, "A life in comparative politics: Chasing questions in five continents," *Int. Polit. Sci. Rev.*, 2016, doi: 10.1177/0192512116661936.
- [4] G. Steiner-Khamsi, "New directions in policy borrowing research," *Asia Pacific Educ. Rev.*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s12564-016-9442-9.
- [5] G. Therborn, "States, Nations, and Civilizations," *Fudan J. Humanit. Soc. Sci.*, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s40647-020-00307-1.
- [6] S. Fitzsimmons, "Evaluating the Masters of Strategy: A Comparative Analysis of Clausewitz, Sun Tzu, Mahan and Corbett," *Innov. A J. Polit.*, 2007.
- [7] C. Anckar and J. Sundberg, "Nordic Contributions to Comparative Research," *Scan. Polit. Stud.*, 2015, doi: 10.1111/1467-9477.12034.
- [8] C. Schneider, "Exploring Disability: A Sociological Introduction," *Int. Sociol.*, 2012.
- [9] S. Heydemann, "Near Middle East/North Africa Studies: Politics," in *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences: Second Edition*, 2015. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.10149-7.
- [10] D. S. Sorenson, *An introduction to the modern middle east: History, religion, political economy, politics*, Second Edition. 2018. doi: 10.4324/9780429495410.

# Political Science: The Cold War Era

Mr. Kunal Saxena

Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Email Id- drkunal@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *The Cold War was a period of extreme political tension between the United States and the Soviet Union that lasted from 1945 until the Soviet Union's demise in 1991. The Cold War was a worldwide war that had far-reaching consequences for international relations, security, and political science research. During the Cold War, political science was crucial in evaluating and comprehending the conflict's dynamics. Political scientists produced international relations theories and strategic studies to explain the motives and behaviors of the United States and the Soviet Union, as well as other participants in the international system.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Anticommunist, Cold War, Community Party, Soviet Union, World War.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

The Cold War was a period of geopolitical conflict between the United States and the Soviet Union, as well as their respective allies, the Western and Eastern Blocs. The phrase "cold war" is used since there was no large-scale warfare between the two superpowers directly, but they both backed opposing parties in important regional battles known as proxy wars. Following their temporary alliance and victory against Nazi Germany and Imperial Japan in 1945, these two superpowers engaged in an ideological and geopolitical struggle for global influence. Aside from nuclear arsenal development and conventional military deployment, the struggle for dominance was expressed through indirect means such as psychological warfare, propaganda campaigns, espionage, far-reaching embargoes, rivalry at sporting events, and the Western Bloc was led by the United States and a number of other First World nations that were generally liberal democratic but linked to a network of often.

Third World authoritarian states, the majority of which were former colonies of European powers. The Eastern Bloc was led by the Soviet Union and its Communist Party, which had an influence across the Second World and was also linked to a network of authoritarian states. The Soviet Union ran a command economy and imposed authoritarian regimes on its satellite governments. The US government backed anti-communist and right-wing governments and upheavals across the globe, while the Soviet Union

backed left-wing parties and revolutions. As virtually all colonial governments gained independence between 1945 and 1960, several of them became Third World battlegrounds during the Cold War [1].

The first phase of the Cold War started soon after World War II ended in 1945. The United States and its Western European allies tried to deepen their relationships and utilize containment strategy against Soviet influence, most notably with the founding of NATO in 1949, which was basically a defensive arrangement. In 1955, the Soviet Union responded with the Warsaw Pact, which had a similar effect on the Eastern Bloc. Because the Soviet Union already had an armed presence and political dominance over its eastern satellite states by 1955, the Pact was long considered "superfluous." Although nominally a "defensive" alliance, the Pact's primary function was to safeguard the Soviet Union's hegemony over its Eastern European satellites, with the Pact's only direct military actions being invasions of its own member states to prevent them from seceding. The United States and the Soviet Union battled for influence in Latin America, the Middle East, and the decolonizing countries of Africa, Asia, and Oceania.

Following the Cuban Missile Crisis, a new phase started, with the Sino-Soviet divide complicating ties inside the communist domain, leading to a series of border clashes, while France, a Western Bloc power, began to seek more autonomy of action. The Soviet Union invaded Czechoslovakia to put down the 1968 Prague Spring, while the United States was torn apart by the civil rights movement and resistance to the

Vietnam War. In the 1960s and 1970s, a worldwide peace movement grew among individuals all over the globe. Protests against nuclear weapons testing and for nuclear disarmament took occurred, as did major anti-war demonstrations. By the 1970s, both sides had begun to make provisions for peace and security, ushering in an era of détente marked by the Strategic Arms Limitation Talks and the United States' establishment of ties with the People's Republic of China as a strategic counterbalance to the Soviet Union. In the second half of the 1970s, a number of self-proclaimed Marxist-Leninist regimes emerged in developing nations, including Angola, Mozambique, Ethiopia, Cambodia, Afghanistan, and Nicaragua.

Détente was broken towards the end of the decade with the start of the Soviet-Afghan War in 1979. Another era of high tension occurred in the early 1980s. The US strengthened diplomatic, military, and economic pressures on the Soviet Union, which was already experiencing economic stagnation. Mikhail Gorbachev, the new Soviet leader, implemented the liberalizing reforms of glasnost ("openness", c. 1985) and perestroika ("reorganization", 1987), and terminated Soviet participation in Afghanistan in 1989. Pressures for national sovereignty mounted throughout Eastern Europe, and Gorbachev declined to continue military supporting communist regimes [2].

With the exception of Romania and Afghanistan, the collapse of the Iron Curtain after the Pan-European Picnic and a peaceful wave of uprisings ousted nearly all of the Eastern Bloc's Marxist-Leninist governments in 1989. Following an attempted coup attempt in August 1991, the Communist Party of the Soviet Union lost control of the nation and was outlawed. This resulted in the Soviet Union's official breakup in December 1991, as well as the fall of communist regimes across much of Africa and Asia. The Russian Federation succeeded the Soviet Union, while many of the other republics emerged from the breakup of the USSR as completely independent post-Soviet states. The United States remained the world's lone superpower. The Cold War and its events left a lasting impact. It is often mentioned in popular culture, particularly in connection with espionage and the possibility of nuclear war. See International Relations Since 1989 for further information.

### **The Origins of Phrase**

At the close of World War II, English writer George Orwell coined the phrase "cold war" in his article "You and the Atomic Bomb," which was published in the British newspaper Tribune on October 19, 1945. Contemplating a society under danger of nuclear war, Orwell considered James Burnham's forecasts of a divided world, writing: Looking at the globe as a whole, the trend for many decades has been toward the reintroduction of slavery rather than anarchy. Although James Burnham's theory has received much attention, few people have considered its ideological implications that is, the kind of worldview, beliefs, and social structure that would likely prevail in a state that was both unconquerable and in a perpetual state of "cold war" with its neighbors. Orwell said in The Observer on March 10, 1946, "After the Moscow conference last December, Russia began to make a 'cold war' on Britain and the British Empire." On 16 April 1947, Bernard Baruch, a prominent counselor to Democratic presidents, used the word for the first time to define the unique post-war geopolitical rivalry between the Soviet Union and the United States. The speech, authored by writer Herbert Bayard Swope, said, "Let us not be deceived: we are today in the midst of a cold war." Newspaper columnist Walter Lippmann popularized the phrase with his book The Cold War. When questioned about the origins of the word in 1947, Lippmann traced it back to a French term from the 1930s, la guerre froide.

### **Revolution in Russia**

While most historians place the Cold War's beginnings in the immediate aftermath of World War II, others say that it started with the 1917 October Revolution in the Russian Republic, when the Bolsheviks ousted the Russian Provisional Government. The British, French, and Russian Empires had formed the main Allied Powers from the commencement of World War I, and the United States joined them as a self-styled Associated Power in April 1917. After the Bolsheviks took control, the murderous Red Terror was launched to crush any resistance, both perceived and real. In December, the Bolsheviks agreed an armistice with the Central Powers, but war resumed in February 1918. In March, the Soviet Union withdrew from the war and signed the Brest-Litovsk Treaty. As a consequence, German forces rushed over the frontiers.

The Allies responded by imposing an economic blockade on the new Russian regime. In the eyes of some Allies, Russia was now assisting Germany in winning the war by freeing up a million German soldiers for the Western Front and relinquishing much of Russia's food supply, industrial base, fuel supplies, and communications with Western Europe [3].

Britain gave money and personnel to the White movement, a loose confederation of anti-Bolshevik militias, in 1918. This approach was pushed by Winston Churchill, a staunch anti-communist. A lengthy and violent Civil War occurred between the Reds and the Whites, beginning in 1917 and concluding with the Reds' triumph in 1923. It involved foreign intervention, the murder of the old Emperor and his family, and the 1921 famine, which killed around five million people. Soviet Russia attempted to re-conquer all newly independent republics of the former Empire, with little success. Estonia, Finland, Latvia, and Lithuania all repulsed Soviet invasions, but the Red Army captured Ukraine, Belarus (as a consequence of the Polish-Soviet War), Armenia, Azerbaijan, and Georgia.

After the war, the American Relief Administration, led by US President Herbert Hoover, sent massive amounts of food to Europe. To alleviate the devastation caused by the Soviet government's war communism policies in the Russian SFSR, the ARA's director in Europe, Walter Lyman Brown, began negotiating with the Russian People's Commissar for Foreign Affairs, Maxim Litvinov, in Riga, Latvia (at the time not yet annexed by the USSR). On August 21, 1921, an agreement was struck, and on December 30, 1921, Brown and People's Commissar for Foreign Trade Leonid Krasin signed an extra implementation agreement. Under the Russian Famine aid Act of late 1921, the United States Congress provided \$20,000,000 for aid. Hoover despised Bolshevism and believed that American assistance would show the superiority of Western capitalism and so help to limit the development of communism. The ARA employed 300 Americans, over 120,000 Russians, and fed 10.5 million people daily at its height. Colonel William N. Haskell oversaw the company's Russian activities. From November 1921 through June 1923, the ARA Medical Division worked to combat the typhus outbreak that was devastating Russia. The ARA's famine relief activities in Russia were conducted

concurrently with much smaller Mennonite, Jewish, and Quaker famine relief initiatives.

The ARA's activities in Russia were terminated on June 15, 1923, when it was revealed that Russia under Lenin had resumed grain exports. Western nations proceeded to isolate the Soviet regime diplomatically. Lenin argued that Russia was encircled by a "hostile capitalist encirclement," and he saw diplomacy as a tool to keep Soviet opponents divided. He founded the Comintern, an organization that promoted sister revolutions across the globe. It failed everywhere; it failed spectacularly when it attempted to spark revolutions in Germany, its province of Bavaria, and Hungary. These failures prompted Moscow to turn inward. Leaders of American foreign policy continue to believe that the Soviet Union, created in 1922 by Soviet Russia, was a hostile challenge to American principles. Republican Secretary of State Charles Evans Hughes refused recognition, telling labor union leaders that "those in control of Moscow have not given up their original purpose of destroying existing governments wherever they can do so throughout the world." During President Calvin Coolidge's presidency, Secretary of State Frank B. Kellogg warned that the Kremlin's international agency, the Communist International (Comintern), was aggressively planning subversion against other nations. By 1924, the Division of Eastern European Affairs at the State Department was controlled by Robert F. Kelley, a committed anti-communist who taught a generation of experts like George Kennan and Charles Bohlen [4].

Unlike the United States, Britain and other Western governments conducted trade with and sometimes recognized the fledgling Soviet Union. Outside of Washington, there was some American support for renewed ties, particularly in terms of technology. Henry Ford, who believed that international trade was the best way to avoid war, used his Ford Motor Company to build a truck industry and introduce tractors into Russia. In 1930, architect Albert Kahn was appointed as a consultant for all industrial building in the Soviet Union. By 1933, the American business sector and newspaper editors were pressing for diplomatic recognition. In November 1933, President Franklin D. Roosevelt invoked presidential power to restore ties. However, there was little movement on the Tsarist obligations Washington

wanted Moscow to repay. Trade expansion expectations were unrealistic. Historians Justus D. Doenecke and Mark A. Stoler write, "Both nations were soon disillusioned by the accord. "From 1933 until 1936, Roosevelt appointed William Bullitt as ambassador. Bullitt came in Moscow with high aspirations for Soviet-American ties, but upon closer study, his opinion of the Soviet leadership plummeted. Bullitt was openly antagonistic to the Soviet regime towards the conclusion of his service, and he remained an ardent anti-communist for the remainder of his life.

### **Second World War**

In the late 1930s, Joseph Stalin collaborated with Foreign Minister Maxim Litvinov to promote popular fronts with capitalist parties and governments to oppose fascism, despite the fact that their primary enemy was the so-called "social fascism" of rival socialist parties, which helped pave the way for the rise of the Nazis in Germany. Unlike the agreements signed by Britain and France, the Soviet Union's agreement with Germany, the Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact (signed on August 23, 1939), included a secret protocol that paved the way for the Soviet invasion and occupation of Eastern European states. The pact enabled the Soviet occupation of Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia, Bessarabia, Northern Bukovina, and the Herts region, and eastern Poland.

Stalin authorized the invasion of Finland in late November 1939, after diplomatic efforts failed to persuade the Republic of Finland to move its border 25 kilometers (16 miles) back from Leningrad. The Soviet Union was excluded from the League of Nations on December 14, 1939, for invading Finland. In June 1940, the Soviet Union militarily seized Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania. Many historians see the Battle of Stalingrad as a critical turning point in World War II. Germany violated the Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact and invaded the Soviet Union on June 22, 1941, launching what is known as the Great Patriotic War in Russia and several other post-Soviet republics. At the Battle of Moscow, the Red Army defeated the allegedly unstoppable German Army. The Battle of Stalingrad, which lasted from late 1942 to early 1943, handed Germany a heavy blow from which they never completely recovered and served as a watershed moment in the war. Following the Battle of Stalingrad, Soviet troops advanced across Eastern Europe to Berlin, where Germany surrendered in

1945. Though operational cooperation between the United States and the Soviet Union was noticeably less than that between other allied powers, the United States nonetheless provided the Soviet Union with massive quantities of weapons, ships, aircraft, rolling stock, strategic materials, and food through the Lend-Lease program. Total U.S. deliveries through Lend-Lease amounted to \$11 billion [5].

Serious disagreements arose at the Potsdam Conference, which began in late July 1945, following Germany's surrender, over the future development of Germany and the rest of Central and Eastern Europe. The Soviets pressed their Yalta demand for \$20 billion in reparations to be taken from Germany occupation zones. The Americans and British refused to set a dollar amount for reparations, but they did allow the Soviets to remove some industry from their zones. Furthermore, the participants' growing hostility and bellicose language served to confirm their suspicions about each other's hostile intentions and to entrench their positions. The Truman Doctrine and Marshall Plan policies resulted in billions of dollars in economic and military help for Western Europe, Greece, and Turkey. The Greek military won its civil war with US assistance. In the 1948 elections, the Italian Christian Democrats, led by Alcide De Gasperi, beat the formidable Communist-Socialist coalition.

All major powers engaged in espionage, employing a wide range of spies, double agents, moles, and new technologies such as telephone cable tapping. The Soviet KGB ("Committee for State Security"), the bureau in charge of foreign espionage and internal surveillance, was renowned for its effectiveness. The most famous Soviet operation involved its atomic spies delivering critical information from the United States' Manhattan Project, leading the USSR to detonate its first nuclear weapon in 1949, four years after the American detonation and much sooner than expected. A massive network of informants throughout the Soviet Union was used to monitor dissent from official Soviet politics and morals.

According to historian Robert Louis Benson, "Washington's forte was 'signals' intelligence the procurement and analysis of coded foreign messages." leading to the Venona project or Venona intercepts, which monitored the communications of Soviet intelligence agents. Moynihan wrote that the Venona project contained "overwhelming proof of the



activities of Soviet spy networks in America, complete with names, dates, places, and deeds."The Venona project was kept highly secret even from policymakers until the Moynihan Commission in 1995. Despite this, the decryption project had already been betrayed and dispatched to the USSR by Kim Philby and Bill Weisband in 1946, as was discovered by the US by 1950. Nonetheless, the Soviets had to keep their discovery of the program secret, too, and continued leaking their own information, some of which was still useful to the American program.

According to Moynihan, even President Truman may not have been fully informed of Venona, which may have left him unaware of the extent of Soviet espionage. Secret Soviet atomic spies penetrated the Manhattan Project at several periods throughout WWII, contributing significantly to the rise of tensions that led to the Cold War. In addition to traditional espionage, Western intelligence agencies paid special attention to debriefing Eastern Bloc defectors. According to Edward Jay Epstein, the CIA understood that the KGB used "provocations," or fake defections, to embarrass Western intelligence and establish Soviet double agents. As a consequence, the CIA forced East Bloc defectors to undergo a counterintelligence examination before being recruited as a source of information from 1959 to 1973. Active measures were "clandestine operations designed to further Soviet foreign policy goals," consisting of disinformation, forgeries, leaks to foreign media, and the channeling of aid to militant groups. Retired KGB Major General Oleg Kalugin, former head of Foreign Counter Intelligence for the KGB (1973-1979), described active measures as "the heart and soul of the KGB [6]." The Soviets established Cominform in September 1947 to impose orthodoxy within the international communist movement and tighten political control over Soviet satellites through coordination of communist parties in the Eastern Bloc. Cominform suffered an embarrassment the following June, when the Tito-Stalin split forced its members to expel Yugoslavia, which remained communist but adopted a non-aligned position and began accepting financial aid from the United States. Aside from Berlin, the status of Trieste was at stake. Until the split between Tito and Stalin, the Western and Eastern blocs battled each other head on. Along with capitalism and communism, Italians and Slovenes, monarchists and republicans,

and war victors and losers often clashed irreconcilably. The neutral buffer state Free Territory of Trieste, established with the United Nations in 1947, was divided and disbanded in 1954 and 1975, owing to the détente between the West and Tito.

### **Berlin Airlift and Blockade**

The United States and Britain merged their western German occupation zones into "Bizonia" (1 January 1947, later "Trizonia" with the addition of France's zone, April 1949). As part of the economic rebuilding of Germany, in early 1948, representatives of a number of Western European governments and the United States announced an agreement for a merger of western German areas into a federal governmental system. In addition, in accordance with the Marshall Plan, they began to re-industrialize and rebuild the West German economy, including the introduction of a new Deutsche Mark currency to replace the old Reichsmark currency that the Soviets had debased. The US had secretly decided that a unified and neutral Germany was undesirable, with Walter Bedell Smith telling General Eisenhower "in spite of our announced position, we really do not want nor intend to accept German unification on any terms that the Russians might agree to, even though they seem to meet most of our requirements."

Shortly after, Stalin imposed the Berlin Blockade (24 June 1948 – 12 May 1949), one of the Cold War's first major crises, preventing Western food, materials, and supplies from reaching West Germany's exclave of West Berlin. Despite Soviet threats, the United States (primarily), Britain, France, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, and several other countries began the massive "Berlin airlift," supplying West Berlin with food and other provisions. The Soviets launched a public relations effort against the policy shift. East Berlin communists attempted to disrupt the Berlin municipal elections (as they had done in 1946), which were held on 5 December 1948 and produced an 86.3% turnout and an overwhelming victory for non-communist parties. The results effectively divided the city into East and West, with the latter comprising US, British, and French sectors. 300,000 Berliners demonstrated and urged the international airlift to continue, and US Air Force pilot Gail Halvorsen created "Operation Vittles," which supplied candy to German children. The Airlift was a logistical as well as a political and psychological success for the West;

it firmly linked West Berlin to the United States. In 1952, Stalin repeatedly suggested a plan to unite East and West Germany under a single government elected in UN-supervised elections provided the new Germany stayed out of Western military alliances, but the Western countries rejected this idea. Some sources question the proposal's sincerity [7].

#### **NATO and Radio Free Europe's beginnings**

The North Atlantic Treaty of April 1949 was signed by Britain, France, the United States, Canada, and eight other Western European countries, establishing the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO). That August, the first Soviet atomic device was detonated in Semipalatinsk, Kazakh SSR. Following Soviet refusals to participate in a German rebuilding effort set forth by western European countries in 1948 the US, Britain, and France spearheaded the media in the Eastern Bloc was a state entity, totally dependent on and subordinate to the communist party. Print media was typically owned by political organizations, most notably the local communist party. Soviet radio broadcasts used Marxist rhetoric to attack capitalism, emphasizing themes of labor exploitation, imperialism, and war-mongering. Along with BBC and Voice of America broadcasts to Central and Eastern Europe, a major propaganda effort begun in 1949 was Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, dedicated to the peaceful demise of the communist system in the Eastern Bloc. Radio Free Europe attempted to achieve these goals by serving as a surrogate home radio station, an alternative to the controlled and party-dominated domestic press in the region. The United States, acting through the CIA, funded a long list of projects to counter communist appeal among intellectuals in Europe and the developing world. The CIA also covertly sponsored a domestic propaganda campaign known as Crusade for Freedom.

#### **Rearmament of Germany**

West Germany's rearmament was completed in the early 1950s. Its major advocate was West German chancellor Konrad Adenauer, and its biggest opponent was France. Washington had the deciding power. It was enthusiastically backed by the Pentagon (the military leadership of the United States) and mildly opposed by President Truman; the State Department was neutral. The start of the Korean War in June 1950 altered the equation, and Washington now provided

full backing. This included appointing Dwight D. Eisenhower as commander of NATO forces and deploying additional American soldiers to West Germany. West Germany made a firm commitment not to build nuclear weapons. Fears of a resurgence of German militarism compelled the new military to operate within an alliance framework, under NATO command. In 1955, Washington secured full German membership in NATO. In May 1953, Lavrentiy Beria, then in a government post, made an unsuccessful proposal to allow the reunification of a neutral Germany to prevent West Germany's incorporation into NATO, but his efforts were cut short after he was executed several months later.

#### **The Vietnam War**

Under President John F. Kennedy, US troop levels in Vietnam increased under the Military Assistance Advisory Group program from just under a thousand in 1959 to 16,000 in 1963. South Vietnamese President Ngo Dinh Diem's heavy-handed crackdown on Buddhist monks in 1963 prompted the US to back a deadly military coup against Diem. The Gulf of Tonkin Resolution gave President Lyndon B. Johnson broad authority to increase US military presence, including the deployment of ground combat units for the first time and an increase in troop levels to 184,000. Soviet leader Leonid Brezhnev responded by reversing Khrushchev's policy of disengagement and increasing aid to the North Vietnamese, hoping to entice the North from its pro-Chinese stance. The USSR, on the other hand, discouraged further escalation of the war, providing just enough military assistance to tie up American forces. From this point forward, the People's Army of Vietnam (PAVN), also known as the North Vietnamese Army (NVA), engaged in more conventional warfare with US and South Vietnamese forces [8].

The Tet Offensive of 1968 proved to be a watershed moment in the conflict. Despite years of American guidance and assistance, South Vietnamese troops were unable to repel the communist advance, and the job was assigned to US soldiers instead. Tet demonstrated that the end of US engagement was not in sight, raising domestic cynicism of the war and giving birth to what became known as the Vietnam Syndrome, a widespread aversion to American military involvements in other countries. Nonetheless, activities continued to transcend international borders:

North Vietnam employed neighboring territories of Laos and Cambodia as supply routes, which were frequently bombarded by US troops. At the same time, liberalism triumphed in American internal politics in 1963-1965. According to Joseph Crespino, a historian: Cold War concerns were at the root of a number of progressive political achievements in the postwar period, according to twentieth-century historiography: a high progressive marginal tax rate that helped fund the arms race and contributed to broad income equality; bipartisan support for far-reaching civil rights legislation that transformed politics and society in the American South, which had long given the lie to America's egalitarian ethos; The list could go on and on.

NATO's cohesiveness was tested early in its existence, with a crisis developing under France's presidency of Charles de Gaulle. De Gaulle objected to the United States' significant participation in the organization and what he saw as a unique connection between the United States and the United Kingdom. In a memorandum sent to President Dwight D. Eisenhower and Prime Minister Harold Macmillan on September 17, 1958, he argued for the establishment of a tripartite directorate that would put France on an equal footing with the US and the UK, as well as the expansion of NATO's coverage to include geographical areas of interest to France, most notably French Algeria, where France was waging a counter-insurgency and sought NATO assistance. He removed France from NATO's military formations and evicted NATO soldiers from French territory in 1966.

Finland, professing to be neutral, occupied a middle ground between the Western nations and the Soviet Union. The YYA Treaty (Finno-Soviet Pact of Friendship, Cooperation, and Mutual Assistance) 286 provided the Soviet Union significant clout in Finnish internal affairs, which was subsequently coined by the West German press as "Finlandization," which means "to become like Finland." This meant, among other things, that the Soviet adaptation extended to mass media editors, triggering powerful forms of self-control, self-censorship (including the prohibition of anti-Soviet books), and pro-Soviet views. The majority of the media and political elites altered their opinions to reflect the principles that the Soviets were considered to embrace and approve of. Only after Mikhail Gorbachev became Soviet leadership in 1985

did the Finnish media begin to criticize the Soviet Union more openly. When the Soviet Union permitted non-communist governments to enter power in Eastern Europe, Gorbachev urged that they turn to Finland as a model [9].

The episode of Finlandization served as a warning for West German conservative leaders, particularly Bavarian Prime Minister Franz Josef Strauss, concerning how a large country directs its much smaller neighbor's internal affairs and the neighbor's independence becomes formal. During the Cold War, Finlandization was seen as a danger that had to be forewarned not just in Bavaria, but also by Western intelligence agencies. To combat Finlandization, CIA-funded research institutes and media companies published propaganda books and newspaper articles that denigrated Finnish neutrality policy and its pro-Soviet President Urho Kekkonen; this was one factor in allowing East-West espionage on Finnish soil between the two great powers. However, unlike most other nations bordering the Soviet Union, Finland preserved capitalism. Despite the fact that being a neighbor to the Soviet Union sometimes resulted in overly cautious foreign policy, Finland developed closer cooperation with the other Nordic countries and declared itself even more neutral in superpower politics, though support for capitalism was even more widespread in later years.

#### **Czechoslovakia is invaded**

The Prague Spring was a period of political liberalization in Czechoslovakia in 1968. An "Action Program" of reforms included increased press freedom, speech freedom, and movement freedom, as well as an economic emphasis on consumer goods, the possibility of a multiparty government, limitations on the power of the secret police, and potential withdrawal from the Warsaw Pact. In response to the Prague Spring, the Soviet Army invaded Czechoslovakia on August 20, 1968, along with most of their Warsaw Pact allies. The invasion was followed by a wave of emigration, with an estimated 70,000 Czechs and Slovaks initially fleeing, with the total eventually reaching 300,000. The invasion sparked intense protests from Yugoslavia, Romania, China, and Western European countries.

**Doctrine of Brezhnev**

Brezhnev outlined the Brezhnev Doctrine in September 1968, one month after the invasion of Czechoslovakia, during a speech at the Fifth Congress of the Polish United Workers' Party. He claimed the right to violate the sovereignty of any country attempting to replace Marxism-Leninism with capitalism. Brezhnev declared during his speech. When anti-socialist forces attempt to redirect the growth of a socialist nation toward capitalism, it becomes not just an issue for the country in question, but a problem and worry for all socialist countries. The theory arose from the failings of Marxism-Leninism in countries like as Poland, Hungary, and East Germany, which faced a deteriorating quality of living in contrast to the prosperity of West Germany and the rest of Western Europe.

**The rise of the Third World**

The US took a more hardline stance on Latin America under the Lyndon B. Johnson administration, which came to power after the assassination of John F. Kennedy. In 1964, the Brazilian military overthrew President Joo Goulart's government with US backing. In late April 1965, the US sent some 22,000 troops to the Dominican Republic in an intervention codenamed Operation Power Pack into the Dominican Civil War between su The OAS also sent soldiers to the conflict through the mostly Brazilian Inter-American Peace Force. Héctor Garca-Godoy served as provisional president until conservative former president Joaquin Balaguer defeated non-campaigning Juan Bosch in the 1966 presidential election.

Activists for Bosch's Dominican Revolutionary Party were violently harassed by Dominican police and armed forces. Suharto of Indonesia attends the burial of five generals killed in the 30 September Movement on October 2, 1965. In Indonesia, staunch anti-communist General Suharto seized power from his predecessor Sukarno in an effort to construct a "New Order." From 1965 to 1966, with the assistance of the United States and other Western governments, the military massacred over 500,000 members and sympathizers of the Indonesian Communist Party and other leftist organizations, and detained hundreds of thousands more in prison camps throughout the country under extremely inhumane conditions. According to a top-secret CIA report, the massacres

"rank as one of the worst mass murders of the 2nd century [10]."

Johnson deployed some 575,000 troops in Southeast Asia to defeat the NLF and their North Vietnamese allies in the Vietnam War, escalating the scale of American intervention in the ongoing conflict between Ngô nh Dim's South Vietnamese government and the communist National Front for the Liberation of South Vietnam (NLF) insurgents opposing it, but his costly policy weakened the US economy and sparked domestic anti-war protests, leading to the US withdrawal by 1972. South Vietnam was overrun by North Vietnam in 1975 without American backing; the US's image suffered as a consequence, as much of the world perceived the events in Vietnam as the loss of the world's most powerful superpower at the hands of one of the world's poorest countries.

The Middle East remained a source of disagreement. Egypt, which received the majority of its arms and economic aid from the Soviet Union, was a difficult client, with the reluctant Soviet Union feeling obligated to assist in both the 1967 Six-Day War (with advisers and technicians) and the War of Attrition (with pilots and aircraft) against pro-Western Israel. According to historian Charles R. H. Tripp, the treaty upended "the US-sponsored security system established as part of the Cold War in the Middle East, and it appeared that any enemy of the Baghdad regime was a potential ally of the United States." In response, the US covertly financed Kurdish rebels led by Mustafa Barzani during the Second Iraqi-Kurdish War; the Kurds were defeated in 1975, leading to the forcible. The Ogaden War in East Africa stemmed from a territorial dispute between Somalia and Ethiopia over the Ogaden area.

Somali soldiers took the Ogaden in June 1977 and started advancing inland towards Ethiopian strongholds in the Ahmar Mountains. Both countries were client states of the Soviet Union; Somalia was led by self-proclaimed Marxist military leader Siad Barre, and Ethiopia was ruled by the Derg, a cabal of military generals loyal to pro-Soviet Mengistu Haile Mariam, who declared the Provisional Military Government of Socialist Ethiopia in 1975. The counteroffensive was planned at the command level by Soviet advisers attached to the Ethiopian general staff, and was bolstered by the delivery of millions of dollars' worth of sophisticated Soviet arms. Approximately 11,000

Cuban troops spearheaded the primary effort, after receiving hurried training on some of the newly delivered Soviet weapons systems from East German instructors.

In Chile, Socialist Party candidate Salvador Allende won the presidential election in 1970, becoming the first democratically elected Marxist to lead a country in the Americas. The CIA targeted Allende for removal and worked to undermine his domestic support, contributing to a period of unrest that culminated in General Augusto Pinochet's coup d'état on September 11, 1973. Pinochet took control as a military dictator, Allende's economic reforms were reversed, and leftist opponents were executed or imprisoned in internment camps under the DINA. With the exception of China and Romania, socialist states severed ties with Chile. The Pinochet regime would go on to be a key participant in Operation Condor, an international campaign of political assassination and state terrorism organized by right-wing military dictatorships in South America's Southern Cone and secretly supported by the US government.

On 24 April 1974, the Carnation Revolution succeeded in ousting Marcello Caetano and Portugal's right-wing Estado Novo government, sounding the death knell for the Portuguese Empire. Independence was hastily granted to a number of Portuguese colonies, including Angola, where the disintegration of colonial rule was followed by a violent civil war. There were three rival militant factions competing for power in Angola: the People's Movement for the Liberation of Angola (MPLA), the National Union for the Total Independence of Angola (UNITA), and the National Liberation Front of Angola (FNLA). While all three had socialist leanings, the MPLA was the only party with close ties to the Soviet Union. Its adherence to the concept of a Soviet one-party state alienated it from the FNLA and UNITA, which began portraying themselves as anti-communist and pro-Western in orientation. When the Soviets began supplying the MPLA with arms, the CIA and China offered substantial covert aid to the FNLA and UNITA. The MPLA eventually requested direct military support from Moscow in the form of ground troops, but the Soviets declined, offering to send advisers but no combat personnel. Cuba was more forthcoming and began amassing troops in

Angola to assist the MPLA. By November 1975, there were over a thousand Cuban soldiers in the country. The persistent buildup of Cuban troops and Soviet weapons allowed the MPLA to secure victory and blunt an abortive intervention by Zairean and South African troops, which had deployed in a belated attempt to assist the FNLA and UNITA.

During the Vietnam War, North Vietnam utilized Cambodian border territories as military bases, which Cambodian President Norodom Sihanouk accepted in order to maintain Cambodia's neutrality. Following Sihanouk's deposition in March 1970 by pro-American general Lon Nol, who ordered the North Vietnamese to leave Cambodia, North Vietnam attempted to overrun the entire country following negotiations with Nuon Chea, the second-in-command of the Cambodian communists (dubbed the Khmer Rouge) fighting to overthrow the Cambodian government. Sihanouk fled to China with the establishment of the GRUNK in Beijing. After seizing power and distancing himself from the Vietnamese, pro-China Khmer Rouge leader Pol Pot massacred 1.5 to 2 million Cambodians in the killing fields, accounting for roughly a quarter of the Cambodian population (an event commonly referred to as the Cambodian genocide).

Martin Shaw described these atrocities as "the purest genocide of the Cold War era." Although the invasion succeeded in deposing Pol Pot, the new regime would struggle to win international legitimacy outside of the Soviet Bloc. Despite prior worldwide outrage at the Pol Pot regime's heinous human rights crimes, members of the Khmer Rouge were admitted to the UN General Assembly, with strong backing from China, Western powers, and ASEAN member countries. Cambodia would get embroiled in a guerilla war led from refugee camps on the Thai border. Following the defeat of the Khmer Rouge, Cambodia's national restoration would be severely hindered, and Vietnam would face a retaliatory Chinese onslaught.

### **Reconciliation between China and the United States**

Tensions along the Sino-Soviet border reached a peak in 1969 as a result of the Sino-Soviet split, and US President Richard Nixon decided to use the conflict to shift the balance of power in the Cold War towards the West. The Chinese had sought improved relations with the Americans in order to gain an advantage over the

Soviets as well. Nixon accomplished a surprising reconciliation with China in February 1972, coming to Beijing and meeting with Mao Zedong and Zhou Enlai. At the time, the USSR had about nuclear parity with the US; meanwhile, the Vietnam War undermined America's influence in the Third World and chilled ties with Western Europe. Although indirect combat between Cold War nations lasted until the late 1960s and early 1970s, tensions began to soften.

#### **Relations deteriorated in the late 1970s**

In the 1970s, the KGB, led by Yuri Andropov, persecuted prominent Soviet dissidents such as Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn and Andrei Sakharov for harshly criticizing the Soviet leadership. Indirect conflict between the superpowers continued during this period of détente in the Third World, particularly during political crises in the Middle East, Chile, Ethiopia, and Angola. Nixon announced in 1973 that his administration was committed to pursuing most favored nation trade status with the USSR, which Congress challenged in the Jackson-Vanik Amendment. The US had long linked trade with the Soviet Union to its foreign policy toward the Soviet Union and, particularly since the early 1980s, to Soviet human rights policies. The Jackson-Vanik Amendment, included to the 1974 Trade Act, connected the USSR's receipt of most-favored-nation status to the freedom of persecuted Soviet Jews to leave. Because the Soviet Union denied Jewish refuseniks the opportunity to emigrate, the President's power to grant the Soviet Union most-favored country trade designation was limited. Although President Jimmy Carter attempted to limit the arms race with a SALT II agreement in 1979, his efforts were hampered by other events that year, including the Iranian Revolution and the Nicaraguan Revolution, both of which ousted pro-US governments, and his retaliation against the Soviet coup in Afghanistan in December.

#### **The Second Cold War (1979-1985)**

The phrase "new Cold War" refers to the late 1970s and early 1980s time of intense reawakening of Cold War tensions and hostilities. Tensions between the major powers rose dramatically, with both sides becoming more militant. According to Diggins, "Reagan went all out to fight the second cold war, by supporting counterinsurgencies in the third world."

According to Cox, "the intensity of this 'second' Cold War was as great as its duration was short." By the time the comparatively young Mikhail Gorbachev became General Secretary in 1985, the Soviet economy was stagnant and faced a sharp drop in foreign currency earnings as a result of the 1980s' downward slide in oil prices. These issues prompted Gorbachev to look into ways to revive the ailing state. After an ineffective start, Gorbachev announced an agenda of economic reform known as perestroika, or restructuring, in June 1987. Perestroika relaxed the production quota system, allowed cooperative ownership of small businesses, and paved the way for foreign investment. These policies were designed to shift the country's resources away from expensive Cold War military obligations and into more productive sections of the civilian sector. Despite initial skepticism in the West, the new Soviet leader proved to be committed to reversing the Soviet Union's deteriorating economic condition rather than continuing the arms race with the West. Partly to combat internal opposition to his reforms from party cliques, Gorbachev simultaneously introduced glasnost, or openness, which increased freedom of the press and the transparency of state institutions.

#### **DISCUSSION**

In response to the Kremlin's military and political concessions, Reagan agreed to renew talks on economic issues and the reduction of the arms race. The first summit was held in November 1985 in Geneva, Switzerland. At one point, the two men, accompanied only by an interpreter, agreed in principle to reduce each country's nuclear arsenal by half. The talks were going well until the conversation turned to Reagan's planned Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI), which Gorbachev sought to scrap. Reagan declined, but the third meeting (Washington meeting (1987), December 8-10, 1987) resulted in a breakthrough with the signing of the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty (INF). The INF pact banned all nuclear-armed, ground-launched ballistic and cruise missiles with ranges of 500 to 5,500 kilometers (310 to 3,420 miles), as well as associated infrastructure.

Reagan delivers his "Tear down this wall!" address in front of the Brandenburg Gate on June 12, 1987. During 1988, it became clear to the Soviets that oil and

gas subsidies, as well as the cost of maintaining massive troop levels, represented a significant economic drain. Furthermore, the security advantage of a buffer zone was recognized as irrelevant, and the Soviets officially declared that they would no longer intervene in the affairs of satellite states in Central and Eastern Europe. In 1989, Soviet troops withdrew from Afghanistan without accomplishing their goals. Later that year, the Berlin Wall, the Inner German border, and the Iron Curtain crumbled. At the Malta Summit on December 3, 1989, Gorbachev and Bush proclaimed the Cold War to be finished. Gorbachev consented to the US-proposed Treaty on the Final Settlement with Regard to Germany in February 1990 and signed it on September 12, 1990, clearing the way for German reunification. When the Berlin Wall fell, Gorbachev's "Common European Home" vision started to take form. The two former foes collaborated in the Gulf War against Iraq (August 1990-February 1991). Gorbachev and George H. W. Bush signed the START I weapons limitation deal during the last summit in Moscow in July 1991.

The next decade was characterized by two developments: the more visible breakdown of the Soviet Union's economic and political systems, and the patchwork efforts at reform to halt that trend. Beyond Oil author Kenneth S. Deffeyes contended that the Reagan administration urged Saudi Arabia to cut oil prices to the point where the Soviets couldn't make a profit selling their oil, and , however, did not endure long. When they took control, Yuri Andropov was 68 years old and Konstantin Chernenko was 72; both died within two years. In order to prevent a third short-lived leader, the Soviets moved to the next generation and chose Mikhail Gorbachev in 1985. He instituted perestroika, or fundamental reforms in the economy and party leadership. After decades of official suppression, his glasnost doctrine restored public access to knowledge. Gorbachev also worked to bring the Cold War to a conclusion. The Soviet Union ended its war in Afghanistan in 1988 and started to evacuate its soldiers.

The next year, Gorbachev declined to intervene in the domestic affairs of Soviet satellite governments, paving the path for the 1989 Revolutions. The Soviet Union's pause at the Pan-European Picnic in August 1989, in particular, put in motion a nonviolent chain reaction, at the conclusion of which the Eastern Bloc

fell. The Iron Curtain between the West and Soviet-occupied parts fell with the fall of the Berlin Wall and the pursuit of reunification by East and West Germany. By 1989, the Soviet alliance system was on the verge of collapse, and the communist leaders of the Warsaw Pact nations were losing power due to a lack of Soviet military support. Grassroots movements, such as Poland's Solidarity movement, quickly gained traction with large public bases. The Pan-European Picnic in Hungary in August 1989 sparked a nonviolent movement that the authorities of the Eastern Bloc could not put down.

It was the greatest influx of refugees from East Germany since the Berlin Wall's construction in 1961, and it eventually led to the breakdown of the Iron Curtain. The picnic's sponsors, Otto von Habsburg and Hungarian Minister of State Imre Pozsgay, regarded the scheduled gathering as a chance to put Mikhail Gorbachev to the test. The Austrian chapter of the Paneuropean Union, led by Karl von Habsburg at the time, distributed thousands of leaflets inviting GDR vacationers in Hungary to a picnic near the border at Sopron. With the large evacuation during the Pan-European Picnic, the ensuing reluctant actions of East Germany's governing Socialist Unity Party and the Soviet Union's non-interference breached the dams. Tens of thousands of East Germans were now making their way to Hungary, which was no longer ready to keep its borders entirely closed or to compel its border forces to use violent force. On the one hand, this produced conflict among Eastern European nations; on the other hand, it was evident to the Eastern European people that governments no longer had absolute power.

The communist regimes of Poland and Hungary were the first to negotiate the holding of competitive elections in 1989. Mass demonstrations deposed entrenched communist authorities in Czechoslovakia and East Germany. Bulgarian and Romanian communist governments also fell, the latter as a consequence of a violent rebellion. Attitudes had shifted enough that US Secretary of State James Baker hinted that the US would not be averse to Soviet action in Romania on behalf of the opposition in order to avert violence. The tidal wave of change culminated in November 1989 with the fall of the Berlin Wall, which signified the downfall of European communist regimes and effectively ended Europe's Iron Curtain

barrier. The 1989 revolutionary wave swept across Central and Eastern Europe, peacefully overthrowing all Soviet-style Marxist-Leninist states: East Germany, Poland, Hungary, Czechoslovakia, and Bulgaria; Romania was the only Eastern-bloc country to violently overthrow its communist regime and execute its head of state.

### **The disintegration of the Soviet Union**

At the same time, the Soviet republics began legal steps toward potentially declaring sovereignty over their territories, citing the freedom to secede in Article 72 of the USSR constitution. On 7 April 1990, a law was passed allowing a republic to secede if more than two-thirds of its residents voted for it in a referendum. In what became known as the 'War of statutes,' several of these legislatures passed legislation that contradicted Union statutes. The Russian SFSR held a freshly elected Congress of People's Deputies in 1989. Boris Yeltsin was appointed as its chairman. On June 12, 1990, the Congress reaffirmed Russia's sovereignty over its territory and passed legislation that tried to supplant several Soviet regulations. Following Sijdis's overwhelming victory, Lithuania proclaimed its independence restored on March 11, 1990, claiming the illegality of the Soviet occupation of the Baltic republics. Soviet soldiers tried to thwart the independence by smashing public rallies in Lithuania (Bloody Sunday) and Latvia (The Barricades), resulting in the deaths or injuries of countless citizens. These acts, however, merely strengthened international sympathy for the secessionists.

On March 17, 1991, a referendum for the preservation of the USSR was conducted in nine republics (the others boycotted the vote), with the majority of the people in those republics opting for the preservation of the Union in the shape of a new federation. Gorbachev received a slight boost as a result of the vote. The New Union Treaty, which would have transformed the nation into a much looser Union, was agreed to by eight republics in the summer of 1991. The ratification of the pact, however, was halted by the August Coup, an attempted coup d'état by hardline government and KGB personnel seeking to undo Gorbachev's reforms and reinstate central government authority over the republics. After the attempt failed, Russian President Yeltsin was hailed as a hero for his resolute measures, thus ending Gorbachev's rule. The balance of power

shifted considerably in favor of republics. Following Lithuania's lead in 1990, Latvia and Estonia proclaimed quick restoration of complete independence in August 1991. Gorbachev resigned as general secretary in late August, and the party's operations were halted indefinitely, thus ending its authority. By the autumn, Gorbachev had lost his ability to influence events outside of Moscow, and he was being challenged even inside the city by Boris Yeltsin, who had been elected President of Russia in July 1991. US President George H. W. Bush addressed his feelings, saying, "The biggest thing that has happened in the world in my life, in our lives, is this: By the grace of God, America won the Cold War." Later that month, Gorbachev resigned as Communist Party General Secretary, and Russian President Boris Yeltsin ordered the confiscation of Soviet property. Gorbachev clung to power as President of the Soviet Union until the USSR dissolved on December 25, 1991. Fifteen states emerged from the Soviet Union, with the Russian Federation, by far the largest and most populous (which also founded the Soviet state with the October Revolution in Petrograd), taking full responsibility for all of the USSR's rights and obligations under the United Nations Charter, including financial obligations. As a result, Russia assumed the Soviet Union's UN membership, permanent membership on the Security Council, nuclear stockpile, and control over the armed forces; Soviet embassies abroad became Russian embassies. Bush and Yeltsin met in February 1992, declaring a new era of "friendship and partnership."

### **Decommunization**

In 1996, Stephen Holmes of the University of Chicago suggested that decommunization failed almost everywhere after a short active time. Following the implementation of lustration, the desire for scapegoats became relatively low, and former communists were elected to top governmental and other administrative posts. According to Holmes, the only significant example was former East Germany, when hundreds of former Stasi informers were dismissed from public jobs. Almost every household has individuals affiliated with the state after 45-70 years of communist control. Following the initial urge to "root out the reds," it became clear that large punishment is inappropriate, and finding just a few guilty is hardly fair. For many residents, the misdeeds of the



communist past are "old news" because of the severity of post-communist economic challenges. Decommunization is seen to be a power play by elites. Because it is difficult to dislodge the social elite, a totalitarian state is required to disenfranchise the "enemies of the people" swiftly and effectively, and a need for normality trumps a desire for punitive justice. Few individuals have a completely clean slate and are therefore ready to assume roles requiring substantial skill. In comparison to the other former constituents of the Eastern Bloc and the Soviet Union, decommunization in Russia has been limited to half-measures, if at all. Notable anti-communist measures in the Russian Federation include the prohibition of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union (and the establishment of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation), as well as the renaming of some Russian cities. Despite the fact that Leningrad and Sverdlovsk were renamed, the areas named after them are still officially known as Leningrad and Sverdlovsk oblasts. Nostalgia for the Soviet Union is gradually increasing in Russia. Communist symbols continue to be an important part of the rhetoric used in state-controlled media, as banning them in other countries is seen as "sacrilege" and "a perverse idea of good and evil" by the Russian foreign ministry. The process of decommunization in Ukraine, a neighboring post-Soviet state, was met with harsh criticism by Russia, which regularly dismisses Soviet war crimes. Decommunization in Ukraine, on the other hand, began during and after the fall of the Soviet Union in 1991. Following the triumph of the Revolution of Dignity in 2014, the Ukrainian government adopted legislation outlawing communist symbols.

On 15 May 2015, President of Ukraine Petro Poroshenko signed a set of laws that began a six-month period for the removal of communist monuments (excluding World War II monuments) and renaming of public places named after communist-related themes. At the time, this meant that 22 cities and 44 villages were set to get new names. Municipal governments had the authority to implement this until 21 November 2015; On 24 July 2015, the Ministry of the Interior stripped the Communist Party of Ukraine, the Communist Party of Ukraine (renewed), and the Communist Party of Workers and Peasants of their right to vote in elections, stating that it would continue the court actions that began in July 2014 to end the

registration of communist parties in Ukraine. By 16 December 2015, these three parties had been banned in Ukraine; the Communist Party of Ukraine appealed the ban to the European Court of Human Rights.

### **Influence**

The Cold War continues to have an impact on global events. The post-Cold War world is considered unipolar, with the United States as the sole remaining superpower. The Cold War defined the United States' political role after World War II by 1989, the United States had military alliances with 50 countries, with 526,000 troops stationed abroad, with 326,000 in Europe (two-thirds of which were in West Germany), and 130,000 in Asia (primarily Japan and South Korea). US military spending totaled an estimated \$8 trillion throughout the course of the Cold War. Furthermore, approximately 100,000 Americans perished in the Korean and Vietnam Wars. Although Soviet deaths are difficult to determine, the financial cost for the Soviet Union was significantly larger as a proportion of gross national product than that borne by the United States. Millions died in the superpowers' proxy wars around the world, most notably in eastern Asia. Most of the proxy wars and subsidies for local conflicts ended with the Cold War; interstate wars, ethnic wars, revolutionary wars, and refugee and displaced persons crises have all declined sharply in the post-Cold War years.

However, the Cold War's aftermath is not believed to be complete. Many of the economic and social tensions that were used to drive Cold War rivalry in Third World countries remain intense. The disintegration of state power in some countries formerly dominated by communist regimes resulted in fresh civil and ethnic wars, notably in the former Yugoslavia. The end of the Cold War brought in an era of economic prosperity and a rise in the number of liberal democracies in Central and Eastern Europe, although in other regions of the globe, such as Afghanistan, independence was followed by state collapse.

### **CONCLUSION**

During the Cold War, one important topic of study was armaments control and disarmament. Political scientists studied the origins and repercussions of the United States-Soviet Union weapons race and devised

methods to reduce the danger of nuclear war. The study of international organizations such as the United Nations was another prominent topic of research during the Cold War period. Political scientists investigated the function of these groups in encouraging international cooperation and resolving international problems. During the Cold War, new subfields of political science emerged, such as international political economy and comparative politics. Political scientists investigated how economic systems and political institutions influenced political behavior and results all around the globe. Overall, political science was crucial in evaluating and comprehending Cold War dynamics. As scientists continue to evaluate the effects of the Cold War on international relations and political systems across the globe, the insights and ideas established during this time continue to affect political science research and practice today.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Heymann and A. Dahan Dalmedico, "Epistemology and Politics in Earth System Modeling: Historical Perspectives," *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*. 2019. doi: 10.1029/2018MS001526.
- [2] P. Bilgin and A. D. Morton, "Historicising representations of 'failed states': Beyond the cold-war annexation of the social sciences?," *Third World Q.*, 2002, doi: 10.1080/01436590220108172.
- [3] D. H. Bearce and D. C. Tirone, "Foreign aid effectiveness and the strategic goals of donor governments," *J. Polit.*, 2010, doi: 10.1017/S0022381610000204.
- [4] S. W. Usselman and P. N. Edwards, "The Closed World: Computers and the Politics of Discourse in Cold War America," *Technol. Cult.*, 1997, doi: 10.2307/3106985.
- [5] F. Yildirimtürk Bayraktar, "Ethnic Conflict Movements: The Case Study Of Bosnia And Herzegovina," *Anadolu ve Balk. Araştırmaları Derg.*, 2021, doi: 10.32953/abad.799418.
- [6] D. Schauz, "What is Basic Research? Insights from Historical Semantics," *Minerva*, 2014, doi: 10.1007/s11024-014-9255-0.
- [7] B. Kuklick, "Armed with Expertise: The Militarization of American Social Research during the Cold War," *J. Cold War Stud.*, 2021, doi: 10.1162/jcws\_r\_01021.
- [8] P. Erickson, "Mathematical models, rational choice, and the search for cold war culture," *ISIS*, 2010, doi: 10.1086/653105.
- [9] Max Weber, *The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism* Max Weber is the one undisputed canonical figure in. 2013.
- [10] H. Münkler, "The New Wars," in *The SAGE Handbook of Political Science*, 2020. doi: 10.4135/9781529714333.n81.

# End of Bipolarity in the Political Science History

Mr. Anil Gowda

Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India  
Email Id-anilbgowda@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *In political science, the end of bipolarity refers to the fall of the Soviet Union and the end of the Cold War in the late 1980s and early 1990s. This event has far-reaching consequences for international relations and the study of political science. With the demise of bipolarity, the globe transitioned from a bipolar system controlled by the US and the Soviet Union to a unipolar one dominated by the US. This transformation has far-reaching consequences for the study of international relations and the balance of power among states.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Cold War, End Bipolarity, Great Power, International System, Soviet Union.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

In the twentieth century, the two dominant countries were the United States and the Soviet Union. Following WWII, the two nations emerged as worldwide powerhouses. Thus, the Cold War started. And the dissolution of the USSR meant the end of bipolarity. The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) was established after the 1917 Russian Socialist Revolution. The socialist beliefs and a more equitable society than capitalism drove the revolution. Following WWII, the Soviet army seized control of the East European nations liberated from Nazi forces. The economic and political systems of the Soviet Union evolved. The end of bipolarity also saw the birth of new nations on the world stage.

### The former Soviet Union

Except for the United States, the Soviet economy progressed faster than the rest of the globe. In contrast, the Soviet system grew more bureaucratic and totalitarian. It made life quite tough for those who lived there. In the arms race, the Soviet Union could match the US on occasion, but only at great cost [1], [2].

### Gorbachev and the Soviet Union's Demise

Mikhail Gorbachev, General Secretary of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union since 1985, intended to alter the system. His economic and political reform tactics (openness) were perestroika

(restructuring) and glasnost (openness). His policies, however, were later criticized. A coup was launched in 1991, supported by Communist Party hardliners. The people preferred freedom to the Communist Party's old-style rule. Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus, the USSR's three largest countries, announced the Soviet Union collapsed in December 1991. Capitalism and democracy were chosen as the pillars of post-Soviet states.

### The Causes and Consequences of the Soviet Union's Disintegration

Several causes contributed to the Soviet Union's demise, including intrinsic defects in Soviet political and economic systems that failed to meet people's expectations. The Soviet Union's economy slowed. A large portion of the Soviet economy's resources were spent on maintaining a nuclear and military weapons. The Soviet Union, too, went inactive as a result of pervasive corruption, a failure to enable more transparency in administration, and the concentration of authority over a large region. Some members of society were unhappy with Gorbachev's moves. Gorbachev's reforms were perceived to be advancing at a snail's pace. Another element in the downfall of the USSR was the rise of nationalism and a desire for autonomy inside certain republics, particularly Russia and the Baltic republics. It led to the cessation of Cold War hostilities. The relative importance of ideas and institutions varied as the balance of power in international politics altered. The demise of the Soviet

Union cleared the way for the emergence of a host of new nations. Each of these nations has its own set of goals and options. Many new players joined the international system, each with its own identity, goals, and economic and political obstacles.

### **Shock Treatment**

The IMF and World Bank affected the transition to a democratic capitalist system from an authoritarian socialist one in Russia, Central Asia, and East Europe, which became known as 'Shock Therapy.' The fall of Communism precipitated this transformative period. It was required to make a comprehensive transition to a capitalist economy, which meant completely destroying all infrastructure built during the Soviet period. Shock treatment also necessitated a dramatic change in the outward orientation of these economies. It included severing existing trade links between Soviet bloc nations [3].

### **The Effects of Shock Therapy**

Shock treatment wreaked havoc on economies and the rule of the people. The value of Russia's currency, the 'Ruble,' has collapsed. People lost all of their money as a result of the high inflation rate. The government reduced subsidies, forcing many people into poverty. The middle classes were pushed to the periphery of society. The establishment of democratic institutions did not get the same level of attention or importance that economic change demanded. The majority of these economies, notably Russia's, started to recover in 2000, 10 years after independence. The revival was fueled by the export of natural resources like as oil, natural gas, and minerals. Tensions and Conflicts in the Former Soviet Republics. Most former Soviet nations endured tensions and conflicts, as well as civil wars and insurgencies. Two Russian republics, Chechnya and Dagestan, suffered violent separatist movements. Tajikistan was immersed in an almost ten-year civil war until 2001. In the area, there were multiple sectarian conflicts. Central Asia has likewise evolved into a battlefield for foreign powers and oil corporations. Czechoslovakia was divided, with Czechs and Slovaks becoming independent nations. Yugoslavia broke apart, with many provinces declaring independence, notably Croatia, Slovenia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, and Serbia.

### **The Post-Communist World of India**

India has positive ties with all post-communist nations. Russia remains India's most significant partnership. India-Russia ties are an important aspect of India's foreign policy. Both nations recognize the significance of a multipolar international order. Russia helped India with Kashmir, oil supply, Central Asian access, and managing its ties with China. This relationship will benefit Russia since India is the country's second-largest weapons market. Both nations have collaborated on a number of scientific initiatives. The breakup of the USSR signaled the end of bipolarity. The globe was split into two powers in the twentieth century. After WWII, the Cold War began, and the globe quickly divided into two camps, with some on the side of the United States and others on the side of the Soviet Union. However, with the end of bipolarity and the dissolution of the USSR, significant worldwide shifts occurred. China's growth is an unusual illustration of the result. The fall of the USSR allowed the US greater power to climb to global dominance.

### **DISCUSSION**

Any of the numerous ways in which power is allocated within the international system is referred to as polarity in international relations. It characterizes the characteristics of the international system at any particular moment. In general, three kinds of systems are distinguished: unipolarity, bipolarity, and multipolarity for three or more centers of power. The system type is entirely determined by the distribution of power and influence among nations in a region or internationally. Scholars are divided on whether bipolarity or unipolarity is more likely to deliver stable and peaceful results. Kenneth Waltz and John Mearsheimer are among those who claim that bipolarity produces significantly greater stability, but John Ikenberry and William Wohlforth suggest that unipolarity has a stabilizing effect. According to certain experts, such as Karl Deutsch and J. David Singer, multipolarity is the most stable structure. Under the conditions of international anarchy, one state has a majority of power and confronts no rival nations, resulting in unipolarity. A unipolar state is not the same as an empire or hegemon that can exert influence over all other nations' activities. Scholars have challenged the long-term viability and

peaceability of unipolarity. According to William Wohlforth, unipolarity is durable and peaceful because it reduces the likelihood of hegemonic rivalry (because no state is powerful enough to challenge the unipole) and it lowers the salience and stakes of balance of power politics among the major states, thereby lowering the likelihood that attempts at balances of power lead to major war. Wohlforth bases his case on hegemonic stability theory and opposes the balance of power theory [4], [5].

With no big power to curb its adventurism, the US will weaken itself by abusing its international authority. "Wide latitude" in "policy choices" will enable the US to behave arbitrarily based on "internal political pressure and national ambition." Carla Norrlöf believes that the United States' unipolarity is robust and durable owing to a combination of three factors. The American dollar's role as the world's preeminent reserve currency. American commercial power. American military dominance. The United States profits disproportionately from its hegemonic role. Other governments do not oppose US hegemony since many of them profit from the US-led system, and developing an alternative global order has major coordination challenges.

According to Nuno P. Monteiro, unipolarity is prone to conflict, both between the unipole and other states and solely among other states. Monteiro backs this up by stating that "the United States has been at war for thirteen of the twenty-two years since the Cold War's end." In other words, the first two decades of unipolarity, which account for less than 10% of US history, account for more than 25% of the country's entire time at war. "According to Kenneth Waltz, unipolarity is "the least durable of all international configurations." Second, even if the US behaves benevolently, governments would strive to balance against it since power imbalance requires it: States in a self-help system are less concerned with other states' intentions and more concerned with other states' capabilities. "Unbalanced power leaves weaker states feeling uneasy and gives them reason to strengthen their positions," Waltz adds.

In a 2009 research, Martha Finnemore argues that, contrary to popular belief, unipolarity has not given the US full freedom to do anything it wants, and that unipolarity has proved to be extremely difficult for the US. The grounds for this include that unipolarity

entails not just the unipole's material dominance, but also a social system through which the unipole maintains its position via legitimation and institutionalization. In order to gain legitimacy from the other participants in the international system, the unipole must offer other actors some authority. The unipole gets legitimacy and defends its authority via the establishment of institutions, but these institutions also imply a transfer of power away from the unipole. Yuan-kang Wang argues in a 2021 study based on the experiences of Ming China (1368-1644) and Qing China (1644-1912) that the durability of unipolarity is contingent on the ability of the unipole to sustain its power advantage and potential challengers to increase their power without provoking a military response from the unipole. Numerous philosophers, including William Gladstone, Michel Chevalier, K'ang Yu-wei, Georges Vacher de Lapouge, H. G. Wells in *Anticipations* (1900), and William Thomas Stead, projected US supremacy in the twentieth century [6].

In a series of seminal essays, liberal institutionalist John Ikenberry contends that the United States purposefully established an international system after World War II that maintained US hegemony. Realist forecasts of power balance, he believes, did not bear fruit because the United States engaged in strategic restraint after WWII, persuading smaller governments that it was more interested in collaboration than dominance. Strategic restraint by the United States permitted weaker nations to participate in the formation of the postwar international order, limiting the United States' ability to utilize total power advantages. Ikenberry observes that, while the US could have unilaterally engaged in unrestrained power projection, it chose instead to "lock in" its advantage long after it had reached its zenith by establishing an enduring institutional order that gave weaker countries a voice, reduced great power uncertainty, and mitigated the security dilemma.

According to Ikenberry, the liberal foundation of US hegemony a transparent democratic political system has made it simpler for other nations to accept the postwar order. "American hegemony is reluctant, open, and highly institutionalized or, in a word, liberal" and "short of a large-scale war or a global economic crisis, the American hegemonic order appears immune to would-be hegemonic challengers."

Michael Beckley contends that American supremacy is significantly overstated since power indices sometimes fail to account for GDP per capita in the united governments in comparison to other ostensibly strong governments such as China and India. Barry Posen suggested in 2011 that unipolarity was on the decline and that the globe was transitioning toward multipolarity. John Mearsheimer stated in 2019 that the international order was transitioning from unipolar to multipolar.

Bipolarity is a power distribution in which two states have a disproportionate amount of power. Spheres of influence and alliance networks have typically emerged around each pole in bipolarity. During the Cold War, for example, most Western and capitalist governments would be influenced by the United States, whereas most Communist states would be influenced by the Soviet Union. In his seminal *Theory of International Politics*, Kenneth Waltz stated that bipolarity likely to be the most stable because the two great powers would engage in quick reciprocal adjustment, preventing unintentional escalation and reducing the likelihood of power imbalances arising. Dale Copeland has argued that bipolarity increases the danger of conflict when power disparity or divergence occurs [7], [8].

Bipolarity may be seen in the 18th century between the conclusion of the War of the Spanish Succession (1701-1715) and the Seven Years' War (1754-1763), and between the United States and the Soviet Union during the Cold War (1947-1991). In contrast to the bipolar era of 1945 to 1962, a "polycentric" phase arose between 1963 and 1988, according to Sullivan and Donnelly. De Tocqueville predicted a great-power bipolarity comparable to the Cold War in 1830. Multipolarity is a power distribution in which more than two nation-states have almost equal power. The Concert of Europe, which took place between the Napoleonic Wars and the Crimean War, was an example of peaceful multipolarity (the major powers of Europe met on a regular basis to discuss international and domestic matters), as was the Interwar era. World War I, World War II, the Thirty Years War, the Warring States era, the Three Kingdoms period, and the tripartite partition between the Song/Liao/Jin/Yuan dynasties are all examples of wartime multipolarity. Classical realist theorists such as Hans Morgenthau and E. H. Carr argue that

multipolar systems are more stable than bipolar systems because great powers can gain power through alliances and petty wars that do not directly challenge other powers; this is not possible in bipolar systems, according to classical realists.

According to neorealists, multipolar systems are more unstable and conflict-prone because maintaining alliance structures is more difficult, and there is a larger likelihood of misjudging the intentions of other nations. According to Thomas Christensen and Jack Snyder, multipolarity promotes instability and conflict escalation through "chain-ganging" (allies are drawn into unwise wars provoked by alliance partners) and "buck-passing" (states that do not face an immediate proximate threat do not balance against the threatening power in the hope that others bear the cost of balancing against the threat). Multipolarity does not ensure multilateralism and might be an impediment to it. According to Kemal Derviş, a collapse in unipolarity causes a crisis in multilateralism; it is feasible to resurrect multilateralism in a multipolar system, but it is more endangered and the framework to do so is not completely formed. Larger nations may negotiate "mega-regional" accords more readily than smaller ones in a multipolar world. When there are numerous competing great powers, smaller nations may be excluded from such accords. Despite the fact that multipolar systems generate regional hegemonies around 'poles' or great powers, this might diminish economic interdependence within regions, at least in areas without a great power. Furthermore, since multipolar systems tend to favor regional hegemonies or confined orders, agreements are created inside these restricted orders rather than worldwide. Mearsheimer, on the other hand, forecasts the preservation of a thin international order inside multipolarity, which includes certain multilateral accords [9], [10].

## CONCLUSION

Political scientists started to examine the rise of new players in the international system, such as China and India, and how these players might change the global balance of power. They also investigated the rise of new security issues, such as terrorism and non-state actors, and devised new theories and techniques for dealing with them. The collapse of bipolarity spawned new fields of study in political science, such as globalization, democracy, and human rights. Political

scientists started to investigate how these global patterns shaped political systems and result all around the globe. Overall, the end of bipolarity represented a substantial transition in political science and international affairs research. It gave rise to new players, new security issues, and new fields of study, and it continues to have an impact on political science research and practice today.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. V. Yefremenko, "A double thucydides trap donald trump's presidency and new bipolarity," *Russ. Glob. Aff.*, 2020, doi: 10.31278/1810-6374-2020-18-3-76-97.
- [2] R. A. Mashelkar, "Impact of science, technology and innovation on the economic and political power," *AI Soc.*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s00146-015-0639-y.
- [3] C. Collet, "Minority candidates, alternative media, and multiethnic america: Deracialization or toggling?," *Perspect. Polit.*, 2008, doi: 10.1017/S1537592708081875.
- [4] J. Baer, "Who, why and how: Assessing the legitimacy of secession," *Swiss Polit. Sci. Rev.*, 2000, doi: 10.1002/j.1662-6370.2000.tb00297.x.
- [5] I. L. Horowitz, "Relativists and Absolutists: Grand Strategies in a World of Fractured Norms," *Society*, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s12115-012-9618-9.
- [6] "Sports Globalisation, the State and the Problem of Governance," in *The Commercialisation of Sport*, 2021. doi: 10.4324/9780203494264-14.
- [7] R. Šribar, "Intervention in Science Degradation: Understanding the Work of Stigma," *Monit. ISH*, 2017, doi: 10.33700/1580-7118.19.1.125-145(2017).
- [8] T. Rupprecht, "Socialist high modernity and global stagnation: A shared history of Brazil and the Soviet Union during the Cold War," *J. Glob. Hist.*, 2011, doi: 10.1017/S174002281100043X.
- [9] M. Lachowicz, H. Leszczyński, and K. A. Topolski, "Self-organization with small range interactions: Equilibria and creation of bipolarity," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2018.09.050.
- [10] A. Schütz, "Imperatives without imperator," *Law Crit.*, 2009, doi: 10.1007/s10978-009-9053-2.

# Alternative Centers of Power in the International Politics

Dr. Ramalingam Mageshkumar

Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Email Id-mageshkumar@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Aside from the United States, numerous other centers of power in international politics have emerged in recent years. These alternative centers of power are nations or areas with substantial economic, military, or diplomatic clout on the world arena. With the world's second-largest economy, China has emerged as a key global force. It has made considerable expenditures in infrastructure development, signed trade treaties, and increased its military capabilities. China's expanding influence is challenging Western supremacy and causing upheavals in global power relations. Despite the Soviet Union's demise, Russia remains an important actor in world affairs. It has a powerful military, significant energy resources, and a permanent place on the United Nations Security Council. Russia has attempted to expand its influence in areas such as Eastern Europe, the Middle East, and Central Asia.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Coercive Power, Economic Power, Economic Community, European Union, United States.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

The bipolar structure of international politics came to an end with the breakup of the Soviet Union in 1991. America rose to prominence as a world power. The rest of the world started to recognize that other centers of political and economic power may constrain America's predominance. The European Union (EU) and the Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN) have emerged as important influences in global politics today.

### The Evolution of the European Union

After the Second World War, Europe's dominance came to an end. The Cold War, on the other hand, aided in altering the European economy in the following ways: The United States provided financial assistance to European nations in order to stimulate their economies. The Organisation for European Economic Cooperation (OEEC) was created in 1948 as part of the Marshall Plan to assist Western European countries. The United States also founded NATO, which developed a collective security organization. The establishment of the Council of Europe in 1949 was a significant step toward attaining political unity. In 1957, the European Economic Community was established. In 1992, the European Union was formed as a consequence of the establishment of the European

Parliament and the disintegration of the Soviet bloc. The EU has begun to operate like a country. Despite the failure of the EU Constitution, it has its own flag, anthem, and currency [1].

### European Union Limitations

The EU has had a difficult time expanding its areas of cooperation. This is due to two major factors: Reasons that have limited the EU's activity. There are several objections about admitting additional nations in the EU. People in several nations are opposed to the EU gaining powers formerly held by their governments.

### Political Science

With a GDP of more than \$12 trillion in 2005, the EU is the world's biggest economy, slightly larger than the US. Its currency, the Euro, may pose a challenge to the dominance of the US dollar. Its proportion in global commerce is three times that of the United States. As a result, the EU may be forceful in trade issues with the United States and China. Because of its economic dominance, the EU has been able to exert influence on its neighbors, as well as Asian and African countries. It is a significant player in international organizations such as the World Trade Organization.

### The EU as a Political Power

The EU has two permanent members on the UN Security Council. Furthermore, it has a large number



of non-permanent members on the United Nations Security Council (UNSC). As a result, it has been able to influence US policy, such as the present US stance on Iran's nuclear program. Its diplomacy has been successful in discussions with China over human rights and environmental damage. The EU's combined armed forces are the world's second-biggest. Its overall defense spending is second only to that of the United States. Britain and France, both EU members, have nuclear arsenals with around 550 nuclear weapons. (Please keep in mind that there have been discussions of Britain leaving the EU.) It is also the second most significant source of space and communication technologies.

### **The EU's Foreign Policy and Defense Capabilities**

EU member states have their own foreign and defense policy, which often contradict one another. For example, although Britain supported the US invasion of Iraq, several other EU members, including France and Germany, condemned the American position. In certain regions of Europe, there is also a profound 'Euroskepticism' regarding the EU's integrationist ambition. ASEAN, unlike the EU, does not want supranational structures and organizations. The 'ASEAN Way' is an informal, non-confrontational, and cooperative mode of contact. However, ASEAN members believe that respect for national sovereignty is fundamental. ASEAN developed an ASEAN community in 2003, based on three pillars: ASEAN Security Community, ASEAN Economic Community, and ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community [2].

### **ASEAN Security Cooperation**

It was founded on the principle that territorial conflicts should not escalate into military conflict. The members pledge to promote the objectives of peace, collaboration, neutrality, and noninterference. The ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF), founded in 1994, coordinates security and foreign policy issues among member states. ASEAN is mostly a business organization. Although its economy is smaller than that of the United States, the European Union, and Japan, it is expanding at a considerably quicker rate. The ASEAN Economic Community aims to establish shared markets and industrial bases to aid the region's social and economic growth. ASEAN has prioritized the establishment of a free trade zone for capital, labor, and services. America and China have already begun

talks on free trade with it. ASEAN member nations are shown on a map. It has grown into a significant regional organization because its goal 2020 is to play a major role in the world community, and this vision is based on ASEAN encouraging its member nations to settle issues via discussions. ASEAN was instrumental in bringing the Cambodian war and the East Timor issue to a close.

### **ASEAN and India**

During the Cold War, India paid little attention to ASEAN. However, the rising economic importance of ASEAN has drawn her attention to it. India has inked free trade agreements with two ASEAN members: Singapore and Thailand. India is also attempting to clinch an FTA with ASEAN. China has a powerful economy, a large population, vast territory and natural resources, and political clout. China is anticipated to overtake the United States as the world's biggest economy by 2040. China saw tremendous economic success after its 1978 reforms. China is the leader of East Asian development due to its economic integration and political influence in the area.

### **Chinese Economic Growth**

In 1949, China was liberated from foreign occupation. China formed the People's Republic of China under Mao Tse Tung's leadership, adopting a communist economic model. The narrative of its ascension to economic power: China did not establish any economic contacts with the capitalist world since it emerged as a communist country; it used its own resources and was dependent on Soviet economic assistance during the early period after its independence. China established state-owned heavy industries from capital and agricultural profits. Due to a lack of foreign cash, China opted to swap indigenous products for imports. This increased job prospects in the nation and guaranteed residents' social wellbeing. Its economy started to flourish, but it was insufficient to fulfill the requirements of its rising population. Significant reforms in economic policy in the 1970s ended China's political and economic isolation, and the nation established ties with the United States in 1972. Deng Xiaoping introduced China's 'open door' policy and economic reforms in 1978 [3].

China gradually expanded its economy. Agriculture was privatized in 1982, and industry was privatized in 1998, with all trade restrictions removed in Special

Economic Zones (SEZs), which attracted international investors to set up businesses. New economic reforms helped China break out of its slump by increasing agricultural and industrial output significantly. The establishment of SEZs enhanced the country's foreign direct investment (FDI). China's WTO participation bolstered the economy even further. Huge foreign currency reserves are now assisting China in making significant investments in other nations. A cartoon of the Great Wall and the Dragon, two icons widely associated with China. The cartoon illustrates to the globe China's development as a strong economic power.

### **Political Science**

Prior to the arrival of Western imperialism, India and China were two major powers in the area. Despite the fact that the two countries were neighbors at the time, there was little political and cultural contact between them. As a result, Indians and Chinese were unfamiliar with one another. Both countries were close after gaining freedom from foreign domination. There was optimism that both nations would affect the world's future. For a short time, the phrase 'Hindi-Chini bhai-bhai' was popular. Military rivalry between the two nations over border concerns, on the other hand, became more prominent in the 1950s. China's takeover of Tibet in 1950, as well as boundary disputes, resulted in major disagreements between the two countries. A border confrontation between India and China in 1962 exacerbated the two nations' ties. After 1976, bilateral ties started to improve as China's policies became more pragmatic and less dogmatic. A round of negotiations to address the border problem began in 1981 as well. Sino-Indo ties changed significantly after the Cold War. Because both see themselves as emerging economic and global powers, their relationships include strategic and economic implications.

Relations between the two nations improved during Rajiv Gandhi's visit to China in 1988. Bilateral commerce between the two nations has expanded from \$338 million in 1992 to more than \$18 billion in 2006. China's expanding military assistance and Pakistan's nuclear development are often seen as unfriendly to Indian interests, yet this issue is unlikely to spark confrontation or a full-fledged war between the two nations. After China's takeover of Tibet and the border confrontation in 1962, relations between India and

China deteriorated. Diplomatic visits, increased transportation and communication, and shared economic interests will help both nations develop a friendlier and stable relationship [4].

### **Japan as an Alternative Power Center**

Some analysts think Japan has the potential to be another alternate center of power. Despite its limited natural resources, Japan emerged as a prosperous Asian country years after defeat in WWII. It is now the world's second biggest economy and the only Asian member of the G-8. Despite spending barely 1% of GDP on defense, Japan has the world's fourth highest military budget. It is the UN's second biggest donor, accounting for over 20% of the total budget. It has had a security partnership with the United States since 1951. Japan may become an alternate center of power in the globe if it establishes strategic partnerships with other great Asian states.

### **DISCUSSION**

Power is defined in social science and politics as the social production of an effect that determines the capacities, actions, beliefs, or conduct of actors. Power does not exclusively refer to the threat or use of force (coercion) by one actor against another, but can also be exerted through diffuse means (such as institutions). The word authority is often used to describe power that is deemed to be legal or socially acceptable by the social system. It may be seen as evil or unfair; but, it can also be viewed as beneficial and as something inherited or given for the sake of achieving humanistic goals that will serve, move, and empower people. In a now-classic research (1959), social psychologists John R. P. French and Bertram Raven created a schema of power sources to analyze how power works (or does not function) in a certain relationship. According to French and Raven, power differs from influence in the following way: power is the condition of things that exists in a particular connection, A-B, such that a given influence effort by A over B increases the likelihood of A's desired change in B.

Power, when seen in this light, is inherently relative - it is dependent on the precise understandings A and B apply to their connection, and it involves B's perception of a trait in A that would inspire B to change in the manner A wishes. To achieve the desired

result, A must draw on the 'base' or combination of bases of power suited to the connection. Using the incorrect power basis might have unforeseen consequences, such as reducing A's own power. French and Raven suggest that there are five major kinds of such traits, but additional lesser categories are not excluded. Further foundations have subsequently been established, most notably by Gareth Morgan in his 1986 book, *Images of Organization*.

### **Legitimate authority**

Legitimate power, sometimes known as "positional power," is the authority that a person has as a result of his or her relative position and tasks within an organization. Legitimate power is official authority entrusted to the job bearer. It is generally accompanied by numerous power symbols such as a uniform, a title, or a commanding physical position. Power may be stated in simple terms as ascending or descending. A company's boss uses downward power to influence subordinates in order to achieve organizational objectives. Subordinates affect the choices of their boss or leaders when a corporation has upward power [5].

### **Referent authority**

Individuals with referent power have the capacity to draw people and establish loyalty. It is built on the power holder's charm and interpersonal abilities. A person may be respected for a particular personal attribute, and this adoration generates the possibility of interpersonal influence. In this case, the person in control wishes to identify with these personal characteristics and derives gratification from being an approved follower. Patriotism and nationalism contribute to an intangible kind of referent power. Soldiers, for example, engage in battles to protect the honor of their nation. This is the second least evident but most effective power. Advertisers have historically utilized sports personalities' referent power for product endorsements, for example. The charismatic appeal of the sports star allegedly leads to acceptance of the endorsement, even though the individual may have little real credibility outside of the sports arena. Abuse is possible when someone who is likable but lacks integrity and honesty rises to power, putting them in a position to gain personal advantage at the expense of the group's position. Referent power alone is unstable and insufficient for a leader seeking longevity and

respect. However, when paired with other sources of strength, it may assist a person in achieving enormous success.

Expert power is the power derived by an individual from his or her talents or expertise and the organization's demand for those skills and knowledge. This form of power, unlike the others, is generally extremely precise and confined to the specific field in which the specialist is educated and certified. People prefer to listen to them when they have knowledge and abilities that allow them to analyze a problem, provide solutions, apply sound judgment, and overall outperform others. People prefer to trust and respect experts when they display their competence. Their thoughts will have greater value as subject matter experts, and others will turn to them for leadership in that field.

The degree to which a person can provide others a reward of some type, such as benefits, time off, desired presents, promotions, or increases in income or responsibility, is determined by the power wielder's capacity to deliver valued tangible rewards. This capability is evident, yet it is also useless if exploited. People who misuse reward authority may become pushy or be chastised for being overly open or "moving things too quickly." If others anticipate to be rewarded for doing what someone wants, they are more likely to do it. The issue with this power structure is that the rewarder may not have as much control over the rewards as is necessary. Supervisors seldom have entire authority over wage raises, and managers often do not have complete power over all activities: even a business CEO need authorization from the board of directors for certain decisions. When a person exhausts available incentives or the prizes are not viewed as having enough perceived worth by others, their power diminishes. One of the drawbacks of employing incentives is that they typically need to be larger each time to have the same motivating impact: even then, if rewards are provided frequently, individuals might grow satisfied with the reward, causing it to lose its power.

In terms of cancel culture, mass ostracization is a "upward power," while policies for policing the internet against these processes as a pathway for creating due process for dealing with conflicts, abuses, and harm done through established processes is known as "downward power." The use of coercive power is

the use of negative influences. It includes the authority to demote employees or withhold other benefits. The desire for valuable rewards, or the fear of losing them, may secure those in power's compliance [6].

Coercive power is the most visible but least effective type of power since it instills animosity and resistance in those who are subjected to it. Threats and punishment are popular compulsion methods. The use of coercive power includes implying or threatening that someone would be dismissed, demoted, denied privileges, or given unwanted duties. Extensive use of coercive power in an organizational environment is seldom suitable, and depending on these types of power alone will result in a very cold, impoverished style of leadership. This is a sort of power that is widely observed in the fashion business, and it is referred to as "glamorization of structural domination and exploitation" in industry-specific literature.

Power is a perception in the sense that some individuals have objective power yet struggle to influence others. People who employ power signals and act decisively and aggressively are viewed as powerful by others. Some individuals become influential while without displaying outwardly strong conduct. Power occurs in relationships. The difficulty here is often one's relative power in compared to one's partner. Partners in intimate and rewarding relationships often affect one other in different fields at different times. Power is often associated with a battle for resources. Power battles become more fierce and lengthy when resources become scarce and valuable. According to the scarcity theory, individuals have the greatest influence when the resources they possess are scarce or in high demand. However, only when a limited resource is prized inside a relationship can it rise to power.

The Principle of Least Interest and Dependence Power: The person with the least to lose in the connection has more power. Those who are reliant on their relationship or spouse are less strong, particularly if they know their partner is uncommitted and may leave them. The quality of alternatives, according to interdependence theory, refers to the sorts of connections and possibilities that individuals may have if they were not in their present relationship. According to the principle of least interest, if there is a difference in the strength of good sentiments between couples, the one who feels the most positive

has a power advantage. The degree of relational power and interest in connection have an inverse relationship. Power as Enabling or Disabling: Power has the ability to enable or disable. According to research, persons are more likely to have a long-lasting impact on others when they exhibit authoritative conduct that indicates social competence rather than intimidation. Personal power protects against pressure and undue influence from others, as well as situational stress. People who speak with self-assurance and expressive, composed demeanor are more likely to achieve their objectives and sustain positive connections. When power leads to negative communication habits, it may be crippling. This may result in the chilling effect, in which the less powerful person frequently hesitates to express discontent, as well as the demand withdrawal pattern, in which one person makes demands and the other gets defensive and withdraws. Both impacts have a detrimental impact on relationship satisfaction [7].

According to the prerogative concept, the partner with greater power has the authority to set and violate the rules. Powerful individuals can defy conventions, break relationship rules, and control encounters without facing the same consequences as weak ones. These activities may strengthen the strong person's reliance power. Furthermore, the more powerful individual has the authority to govern both verbal and nonverbal relationships. They are more likely than less powerful individuals to begin talks, shift subjects, interrupt others, initiate contact, and stop dialogues. Game theory, which has its roots in the Walrasian theory of rational choice, is increasingly being employed in a variety of fields to assist examine power dynamics. Keith Dowding provides one rational choice definition of power in his book *Power*. Human people or groups may be modeled as 'actors' in rational choice theory, choosing from a 'choice set' of potential actions in order to attempt to accomplish desired results. The 'incentive structure' of an actor consists of (its views about) the costs associated with various actions in the option set, as well as the likelihoods that alternative actions would result in desirable outcomes. Social power is an actor's capacity to influence the incentive structures of other actors in order to achieve results. This paradigm may be used to describe a broad variety of social interactions in which actors have influence over others. A 'powerful' actor, for example, may remove alternatives from another's decision set;

modify the relative costs of actions; change the chance that a particular action would result in a given outcome; or simply change the other's perceptions about its incentive structure. This framework, like other power models, is agnostic about the use of "coercion." A threat of violence, for example, might alter the expected costs and advantages of certain choices, as can a financial punishment in a 'voluntarily accepted' contract, or even a pleasant offer. The Italian writer Antonio Gramsci emphasized the function of ideology in producing cultural hegemony, which becomes a tool for supporting the authority of capitalism and the nation-state in the Marxist tradition. Drawing on Niccol Machiavelli's *The Prince* to explain why there had been no Communist revolution in Western Europe, despite claims of one in Russia, Gramsci conceptualized hegemony as a centaur with two sides. The beast symbolized the more traditional, tangible picture of power, power via coercion, power through raw force, whether physical or economic. But, he claimed, capitalist hegemony was much more dependent on the front end, the human face, which projected authority via "consent." This power was missing in Russia, allowing for a revolution. However, capitalism had succeeded in exerting consensual authority in Western Europe, particularly in Italy, by persuading the working classes that their interests were the same as those of capitalists. A revolution had therefore been prevented.

While Gramsci emphasizes the importance of ideology in power systems, Marxist-feminist authors like Michele Barrett emphasize the function of ideologies in praising the joys of family life. The utilization of women as a "reserve army of labor" is a famous example of this point of view. Women are expected to undertake male jobs during combat, but the roles are readily reversed after the conflict. As a result, according to Barrett, the elimination of capitalist economic connections is essential but insufficient for women's freedom. Eugen Tarnow explores the influence hijackers have over airline passengers and makes parallels with military power. He demonstrates that power over an individual may be enhanced by the presence of a group. If the group obeys the leader's directives, the leader's influence over an individual increases significantly, but if the group does not obey, the leader's power over an individual is zero [8].

According to Michel Foucault, true power is always based on the ignorance of its actors. The dispositif (machine or apparatus) is not managed by a single person, group, or actor, but power is distributed within the apparatus as effectively and discreetly as possible, ensuring its agents accomplish whatever is required. Because of this behavior, power is unlikely to be discovered and stays elusive to 'logical' study. Foucault quotes a text ostensibly written by political economist Jean Baptiste Antoine Auget de Montyon, entitled *Recherches et considérations sur la population de la France* (1778), but which turns out to be written by his secretary Jean-Baptiste Moheau (1745-1794) and by emphasizing biologist Jean-Baptiste Lamarck, who constantly refers to milieus as a plural adjective and sees into the milieu as nothing more than water, air. According to Foucault, this milieu (both artificial and natural) appears as a target of intervention for power, which is radically different from previous notions of sovereignty, territory, and disciplinary space interwoven into from a social and political relations that function as a species (biological species).

#### **Stewart Clegg**

Stewart Clegg proposes another three-dimensional model with his "circuits of power" theory. This model likens the production and organizing of power to an electric circuit board consisting of three distinct interacting circuits: episodic, dispositional, and facilitative. These circuits operate at three levels, two are macro and one is micro. The episodic circuit is the micro level and is constituted of irregular exercise of power as agents address feelings, communication, conflict, and resistance in day-to-day interrelations. The outcomes of the episodic circuit are both positive and negative. The dispositional circuit is constituted of macro level rules of practice and socially constructed meanings that inform member relations and legitimate authority. The facilitative circuit is constituted of macro level technology, environmental contingencies, job design, and networks, which empower or disempower and thus punish or reward, agency in the episodic circuit. All three independent circuits interact at "obligatory passage points" which are channels for empowerment or disempowerment.

#### **Galbraith**

In *The Anatomy of Power* (1983), John Kenneth Galbraith (1908-2006) summarizes the types of power

as "condign" (based on force), "compensatory" (through the use of various resources), or "conditioned" (the result of persuasion); and the sources of power as "personality" (individuals), "property" (power-wielders' material resources), and/or "organizational".

**Mr. Gene Sharp**

Gene Sharp, an American political science professor, argues that power ultimately rests on its foundations, and that a political regime retains power because people accept and follow its demands, rules, and policies. Sharp references Étienne de La Boétie's understanding. Sharp's central theme is that power is not monolithic; that is, it does not derive from some intrinsic quality of those in power. For Sharp, political power, the power of any state - regardless of its particular structural organization - ultimately derives from the subjects of the state. His fundamental belief is that any power structure depends on the subjects' obedience to the orders of the ruler(s). His work is said to have influenced the toppling of Slobodan Miloevi, the Arab Spring of 2011, and other peaceful revolutions [9].

**Mr. Björn Kraus**

Björn Kraus deals with the epistemological perspective upon power regarding the question about possibilities of interpersonal influence by developing a special form of constructivism (named relational constructivism). Instead of focusing on the valuation and distribution of power, he asks first and foremost what the term can describe at all. Coming from Max Weber's definition of power, he realizes that the term of power has to be split into "instructive power" and "destructive power. More precisely, instructive power means the chance to determine the actions and thoughts of another person, whereas destructive power means the chance to diminish the opportunities of another person. How significant this distinction really is, becomes evident by looking at the possibilities of rejecting power attempts: Rejecting instructive power is possible – rejecting destructive power is not. By using this distinction, proportions of power can be analyzed in a more sophisticated way, helping to sufficiently reflect on matters of responsibility. This perspective permits to get over a "either-or-position" (either there is power, or there isn't), which is common especially in epistemological discourses about power

theories, and to introduce the possibility of a "as well as-position".

**Categories that are not marked**

The concept of unmarked categories is thought to have originated in feminism. Rather than looking at social difference by focusing on what or whom is perceived to be different, theorists who use the concept of unmarked categories insist that one must also look at how whatever is "normal" comes to be perceived as unremarkable, and what effects this has on social relations. According to the idea of unmarked categories, when the cultural practices of people who occupy positions of relative power or can more easily exercise power seem obvious, they tend not to be explicitly articulated and therefore are perceived as default or baseline practices against which others are evaluated as different, deviant, or aberrant. The unmarked category becomes the standard against which to measure everything else. For example, it is posited that if a protagonist's race is not indicated, most Western readers will assume the protagonist is white; if a sexual identity is not indicated, it will be assumed the protagonist is heterosexual; if the gender of a body is not indicated, it is assumed to be male; if no disability is indicated, it will be assumed the protagonist is able-bodied. These assumptions do not, however, mean the unmarked category is superior, preferable, or more "natural," nor that the practices associated with the unmarked category require less social effort to enact [10].

**CONCLUSION**

India boasts the world's second-most people and one of the fastest-growing economies. It has nuclear weapons and is becoming more involved in regional and global issues. Through measures such as the "Act East" strategy and membership in international organizations, India wants to establish itself as a major power. As South America's biggest nation and a member of the BRICS group of growing economies, Brazil has acquired clout in global politics. It has a strong agricultural industry, abundant natural resources, and has participated in regional security projects. Brazil hopes to increase its worldwide influence by actively participating in international forums. These nations have economic, military, or geopolitical clout in their particular areas and help to

shape regional dynamics. It is critical to recognize that the global distribution of power is complicated and ever-changing. The growth of alternative centers of power contributes to a more multipolar international order, putting a challenge to the conventional dominance of a few Western nations. The degree to which these alternative centers of power may threaten or transform the present global order, however, is still being debated.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Zhang, "China and the struggle for legitimacy of a rising power," *Chinese J. Int. Polit.*, 2015, doi: 10.1093/cjip/pov008.
- [2] A. Wahlrab, "Review Of: Routledge Handbook of Transformative Global Studies," *J. World-Systems Res.*, 2021, doi: 10.5195/jwsr.2021.1055.
- [3] R. Shilliam, "White world order, Black Power politics: the birth of American International Relations. By Robert Vitalis," *Int. Aff.*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/1468-2346.12640.
- [4] I. A. Istomin and A. A. Baykov, "Alliances at the Service of Hegemony: Deconstruction of the Military Domination Toolbox," *P. (Russian Fed.)*, 2020, doi: 10.17976/JPPS/2020.06.02.
- [5] A. Ba, "Institutional divergence and convergence in the Asia-Pacific? ASEAN in practice and in theory," *Cambridge Rev. Int. Aff.*, 2014, doi: 10.1080/09557571.2014.889082.
- [6] D. B. Malysheva, "Post-Soviet Central Asia in the Focus of Interests of Major Asian States (2019-2020)," *Outlines Glob. Transform. Polit. Econ. law*, 2021, doi: 10.23932/2542-0240-2021-14-2-5.
- [7] R. M. Álvarez González, "The sources of legal knowledge," *Bol. Mex. Derecho Comp.*, 2014, doi: 10.1016/s0041-8633(14)70500-9.
- [8] W. Korpi, "The Democratic Class Struggle," in *The Democratic Class Struggle*, 2019. doi: 10.4324/9780429441714-2.
- [9] I. Scoones, "The politics of global assessments: The case of the International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development (IAASTD)," *J. Peasant Stud.*, 2009, doi: 10.1080/03066150903155008.
- [10] N. Busse, "Constructivism and Southeast Asian security," *Pacific Rev.*, 1999, doi: 10.1080/09512749908719277.

# An International Organization; History their Function and Expansion

Ms. Pramoda Hedge

Assistant Professor, Master in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Email Id-pramodah@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** A formal association of sovereign nations or other organizations that collaborate to accomplish common objectives and handle common difficulties is known as an international organization. These groups often have a defined structure, rules, and procedures, and they operate via a variety of processes such as diplomacy, collaboration, and collective action. International organizations are classified into three types: intergovernmental organizations (IGOs), non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and international financial institutions. Examples of important international organizations include World Health Organization (WHO), United Nations (UN), and the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

**KEYWORDS:** International Organization, International Law, Red Cross, World Health, World Bank.

---

## INTRODUCTION

An international organization or international organization (see spelling variations), also known as an intergovernmental organization or an international institution, is a stable set of norms and rules intended to govern the behavior of states and other actors in the international system. Organizations may be established by treaty or be an instrument governed by international law and possessing its own legal personality, such as the United Nations, the World Health Organization, or the World Trade Organization. The United Nations (UN), the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), the Council of Europe (COE), the International Labour Organization (ILO), and the International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL) are notable examples [1].

### Terminology

International organizations are frequently referred to as intergovernmental organizations (IGOs) to distinguish them from international non-governmental organizations (INGOs), which are NGOs that work on a global scale. International charitable organizations such as the World Organization of the Scout Movement, the International Committee of the Red Cross, and Médecins Sans Frontières are examples, as are lobbying groups representing multinational

businesses' interests. IGOs are formed by a treaty that serves as the group's charter. Treaties are made when the legitimate representatives (governments) of numerous nations ratify them, giving the IGO worldwide legal personality. Intergovernmental organizations play an essential role in international law. Pitman B. Potter defined international organization as "an association or union of nations established or recognized by them for the purpose of realizing a common end" in 1935. On the one hand, he differentiated between bilateral and multilateral organizations, and on the other, customary or conventional organizations.

In a legal sense, intergovernmental organizations should be separated from mere groups or coalitions of governments, such as the G7 or the Quartet. Such groupings or alliances do not have a constituent document and merely exist as task groups. Treaties and intergovernmental organizations must also be differentiated. Many treaties (for example, the North American Free Trade Agreement or the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade prior to the formation of the World Trade Organization) do not establish an independent secretariat and instead rely on the parties for administration, such as by establishing a joint committee. Other treaties built a piece of administrative machinery that was not judged to have been accorded binding legal authority. Multilateralism is a larger notion in which interactions among three or



more nations are arranged according to certain ideals they share.

### **Types and functions**

The role, membership, and membership requirements of intergovernmental organizations varied. They have varying purposes and scopes, which are often defined in the treaty or charter. Some IGOs arose in response to a demand for a neutral platform for discussion or negotiation in order to settle issues. Others were formed to carry out mutual interests with unified goals such as maintaining peace through conflict resolution and improved international relations, promoting international cooperation on issues such as environmental protection, human rights, social development (education, health care), humanitarian aid, and economic development. Some are more comprehensive in scope (for example, the United Nations), while others may have subject-specific mandates (for example, INTERPOL, the International Telecommunication Union, and other standards bodies). The following are examples of common types [2].

**Worldwide or global organizations** — These include the United Nations (UN) and its specialized agencies, the World Health Organization, the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), the World Bank, and the International Monetary Fund (IMF). It also includes globally operating intergovernmental organizations that are not UN agencies, such as the Hague Conference on Private International Law, a globally operating intergovernmental organization based in The Hague that seeks the progressive unification of private international law; the International Criminal Court, which adjudicates crimes defined under the Rome Statute; and the CGIAR (formerly the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research). Cultural, linguistic, ethnic, religious, or historical organizations: Examples include the Commonwealth of Nations, Arab League, Organisation internationale de la Francophonie, Community of Portuguese Language Countries, Organization of Turkic States, International Organization of Turkic Culture, Organization of Islamic Cooperation, and Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS).

**Economic organizations** focused on macroeconomic policy goals: Some are committed to free trade and the lowering of trade barriers, such as the World Trade

Organization. The World Trade Organization and the International Monetary Fund are both organizations. Others are more concerned with international development. There are also international cartels, such as OPEC. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) was established as an organization focusing on economic policy. The Bank of the South is an example of a newly founded economic IGO.

**Educational groups** concentrated on tertiary education. EUCLID University was established as a university and umbrella organization committed to sustainable development in signatory nations; United Nations University conducts research on important global issues of interest to the United Nations, its Peoples, and Member States. Organizations for Health and Population based on shared perceptions of health and population objectives. These are created to address such difficulties jointly, such as the intergovernmental population and development collaboration Partners in Population and Development.

**Regional organizations** are available to members from a single continent or area of the globe. The Community of Latin American and Caribbean States (CLACS), the Council of Europe (CoE), the European Union (EU), the Eurasian Economic Union (EAEU), the Energy Community, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS), the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe, the African Union (AU), the Organization of American States (OAS), the Association of Caribbean States (ACS), and the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) are all included in this category

### **Organizations at the regional level**

Membership in regional organizations such as the European Union, African Union, NATO, and ASEAN is restricted owing to reasons such as geography or political regimes. To join the European Union (EU), nations must meet certain requirements, including being European, having a liberal-democratic political system, and having a capitalist economy. The Central Commission for Navigation on the Rhine, established by the Congress of Vienna in 1815, is the oldest regional institution [3], [4].

**Participation and participation**

A state may opt to join an intergovernmental organization for a variety of reasons. However, there are several reasons why membership may be denied. In the case of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), participation in the free trade agreement helps the economies of the parties. Because of their participation, Mexican enterprises, for example, have stronger access to US markets. Membership in IGOs such as the European Union provides a significant rise in political clout for smaller nations such as Portugal and Belgium, who do not have much political weight on the international scene. IGOs are also useful for countries with greater power, such as France and Germany, since the country gains influence in the internal affairs of smaller countries while increasing other nations' dependency on themselves in order to maintain loyalty. Membership in an IGO such as NATO provides member nations with security advantages. This gives a forum for political disagreements to be settled. It has been seen that member nations have a higher level of democracy and that democracies endure longer. As treaties are formed requiring cooperation from all member nations, membership often results in a loss of state sovereignty. Often, membership does not provide a significant enough benefit to justify participation in the organization.

**Immunities and privileges**

Intergovernmental organizations are granted privileges and immunities in order to guarantee their independence and effectiveness. They are specified in the treaties that give rise to the organization (for example, the United Nations Convention on the Privileges and Immunities and the Agreement on the Privileges and Immunities of the International Criminal Court), which are usually supplemented by additional multinational agreements and national regulations (for example, the International Organizations Immunities Act in the United States). As a result, the organizations are insulated from the jurisdiction of national courts. Certain privileges and immunities are also specified in the 1975 Vienna Convention on the Representation of States in Their Relations with International Organizations of a Universal Character, which has yet to be signed by 35 states and thus is not yet in force.

Legal responsibility is expected to be enforced via internal intergovernmental organization legal mechanisms and access to administrative courts rather than by national jurisdiction. Many court cases in which private parties attempted to pursue claims against international organizations have gradually revealed that alternative dispute resolution methods are required, as states have fundamental human rights obligations to provide plaintiffs with access to court in view of their right to a fair trial.<sup>77</sup> Otherwise, the organizations' immunities may be called into question in national and international courts. Such secrecy has been criticized as a lack of openness. In this regard, immunity from national jurisdiction necessitates that reasonable alternative means are available to effectively protect employees' rights; in this context, a first instance Dutch court considered a 15-year estimated duration of proceedings before the Administrative Tribunal of the International Labor Organization to be excessive [5].

**Agencies of the United Nations and Related Organizations**

The United Nations focuses on five main areas: "maintaining peace and security, protecting human rights, delivering humanitarian aid, supporting sustainable development, and upholding international law". UN agencies, such as the UN Relief and Works Agency, are widely regarded as international organizations in their own right. Furthermore, the United Nations has Specialized Agencies, which are organizations within the United Nations System that have member states (often nearly identical to UN Member States) and are governed independently by them; examples include international organizations that predate the UN, such as the International Telecommunication Union and the Universal Postal Union, as well as organizations created after the UN, such as the World Health Organization. A few UN special agencies are highly centralized in policy and decision-making, while others are decentralized; for example, directors and managers of country-based programs or missions may determine what they wish to undertake in the field.

The UN agencies are assigned a range of responsibilities depending on their areas of expertise and interests. The UN agencies give many types of help to low- and middle-income nations, and this aid would be an excellent resource for developing-country

development programs. The UN must protect any kind of human rights violation, and within the UN system, some specialized agencies, such as the International Labor Organization (ILO) and the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), work in the human rights protection fields. The UN agency, ILO, is attempting to end any kind of discrimination in the work field and child labor; after that, this agency promotes fundamental labor rights and to make laborers safe and secure.

### **History**

The origins of IGOs can be traced back to the Congress of Vienna of 1814-1815, which was an international diplomatic conference to reconstitute the European political order after the French Emperor Napoleon's downfall. States then became the main decision makers who preferred to maintain their sovereignty as of 1648 at the Westphalian treaty that ended Europe's 30 years' war. The International Telecommunication Union (formed in 1865) was the earliest and oldest international organization, having been created by a treaty and establishing a permanent secretariat with worldwide membership. The League of Nations was the first general international organization, founded on 10 January 1920 with the primary mission of maintaining world peace after World War I. The United Nations followed this model after World War II, signing on 26 June 1945, in San Francisco, at the conclusion of the United Nations Conference on International Organization, and entering into force on 24 October 1945. Regional Councils such as ICES and the Mediterranean Science Commission (CIESM), as well as continental blocs such as the European Union (EU), African Union (AU), East African Community (EAC), and Multinational Corporations (MNCs) such as SHELL, are examples of IGOs.

### **Expansion and development**

This may be linked to globalization, which strengthens and encourages cooperation among and within governments and has also made it easier for IGOs to flourish as a consequence of greater international interactions. This may be observed economically, politically, militarily, and domestically. IGOs obtain both material and non-material resources for economic success. IGOs also promote political stability inside and across states. Military alliances are created by defining similar standards in order to safeguard the

members' security in the face of external threats. Finally, the creation has spurred authoritarian governments to evolve into democracies in order to have an effective and internal governance. According to some estimates, the number of IGOs in the globe has climbed from fewer than 100 in 1949 to about 350 in 2000 [6], [7].

### **DISCUSSION**

An international organization has members from more than one country. Some multinational organizations, such as businesses, are quite huge. Others are modest and focused on a single goal, such as species conservation. Intergovernmental organizations are common in many international organizations. Intergovernmental organizations are formed when many countries join forces to establish an international body. There are around 300 intergovernmental organizations worldwide. The United Nations (UN) is the most well-known and biggest international organization. At the conclusion of World War II in 1945, countries tried to avert future hostilities. They established the United Nations. The primary purpose of the UN is to maintain peace. It carries out peacekeeping operations by deploying delegates to warring nations or areas. Currently, the UN maintains peacekeeping operations all around the globe.

UN forces monitor local and regional conflicts in South Sudan, Mali, and the Central African Republic. On the Mediterranean island of Cyprus, UN forces monitor the buffer zone between Greek and Turkish claims to the island. The United Nations (UN) includes various specialized sections, including the World Health Organization (WHO). It is also linked to the World Bank. WHO is in charge of offering guidance on worldwide health concerns, defining standards, and providing information to countries in order for them to make choices. For example, during the 2009 swine flu pandemic, WHO took the lead. It monitored the spread of the flu, recommended who should receive immunizations, and advised individuals on how to prevent becoming ill. The World Bank is a bank for countries, not for individuals. The World Bank is divided into two categories. The International Development Association, for example, makes loans to the world's poorest nations. The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, on the other hand, makes loans to underdeveloped nations.

Among other things, the UN has organizations dedicated to culture (the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)), justice and law (the International Court of Justice (ICJ)), and refugees (the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)). Each subgroup has a distinct headquarters location. The headquarters of the United Nations is located in New York City, New York, United States. The headquarters of the World Health Organization is in Geneva, Switzerland. The World Bank is headquartered in Washington, D.C., USA. The International Court of Justice is located in the Dutch city of The Hague. The majority of the world's nations are members of the UN and its subdivisions.

Many nations create multi-national regional groups for military, economic, or political goals. The North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), for example, includes the United States, Canada, numerous European nations, and Turkey. NATO is a defense group, which means that these states have agreed to help one another in times of crisis. Trade is the foundation of other international organizations. The Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) is an organization of 12 oil-exporting countries. Many members of OPEC are from the Middle East, including Saudi Arabia, the world's biggest oil exporter. However, African countries like Nigeria and South American countries like Venezuela are also members of OPEC. OPEC members meet on a regular basis to discuss matters involving oil use and pricing [8].

### **Corporations**

Some multinational organizations function only for financial gain. Toyota, the world's biggest automobile manufacturer, is an international business, sometimes known as a multinational corporation. Its headquarters are located in Tokyo, Japan. Toyota has manufacturing plants all throughout the globe, including the United States, China, and South Africa. Despite the fact that Toyota's CEO is in charge of the whole firm, Toyota hires managers and employees from the areas where its plants are situated. Toyota makes and sells automobiles in several nations throughout the globe. The firm must promote in a number of different languages. When constructing a plant or marketing a product, multinational firms such as Toyota must regard local culture and customs. Coca-Cola

(headquartered in Atlanta, Georgia, USA), De Beers (headquartered in London, UK), and Adidas (headquartered in Herzogenaurach, Germany) are three more big international firms.

### **Nonprofits**

Several well-known charitable organizations are global in scope. Nonprofit organizations do not attempt to profit from their operations. Nonprofit organizations often have a focus or a common interest, such as the environment, humanitarian relief, or entertainment. The National Geographic Society is a 501(c) It was founded in 1888 and is based in Washington, D.C. It is one of the world's biggest nonprofit scientific and educational institutes. The purpose of National Geographic is to "illuminate and protect the wonders of our world." International study and exploration are also supported by the National Geographic Society. It has supported or financed over 15,000 initiatives. The expedition to the South Pole by Robert Peary and Matthew Henson in 1905; the exploration of the ancient Incan city of Machu Picchu, Peru, in 1913; and the discovery of the Titanic's final resting place by Robert Ballard in 1985 are among the most famous expeditions associated with the National Geographic Society. Other multinational organizations have a more narrow focus.

The International Olympic Committee (IOC) is a non-profit organization situated in Lausanne, Switzerland. The International Olympic Committee (IOC) organizes and governs the Summer and Winter Olympics. The International Olympic Committee (IOC) is made up of administrators, sports authorities, and former athletes from all around the globe. The Red Cross distributes food and other relief to individuals and communities in need. The headquarters of the International Red Cross is in Geneva, Switzerland. The International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement is the official name of the organization. Members of the Red Crescent are largely from Muslim nations. The Red Crystal, shaped like a diamond, commemorates the organization's Israeli members.

The Red Cross is undoubtedly the most well-known humanitarian organization in the world. It assists disaster survivors, such as those affected by the disaster Katrina, which struck the United States Gulf Coast in 2005. For example, the Red Cross assisted in providing refuge for Hurricane Katrina victims whose houses were damaged. The Red Cross also assisted

survivors of the 2010 earthquake in Haiti. It helped establish areas where survivors could trace lost family members and provided medical treatment to those wounded in the earthquake [9], [10].

#### **Additional International Organizations**

Organized religion is perhaps the most well-known sort of worldwide organization that does not cleanly fall into one of the three categories. Religion may have an immediate impact on governance. The Israeli government, for example, promotes Jews and Judaism all throughout the globe. Jews from other countries have the legal right to return to Israel, which allows them to enter and acquire citizenship. Jews have immigrated to Israel from places as varied as Russia, Ethiopia, and Mexico. Organized religion may also have an indirect impact on governments. Catholic priests and bishops, for example, do not often seek for political office. Their impact on their congregations, though, may be significant. The pope leads Catholics, with headquarters in Vatican City, which is bordered by Rome, Italy. The Catholic Church is operated in many respects like a multinational enterprise. For Catholics all over the globe, there is a comparable framework for religious events (such as Mass) and organization (such as the way dioceses are split). Local priests, nuns, and bishops collaborate with their congregations to improve the lives of their people. This is comparable to how a worldwide firm arranges its employees in various nations.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The World Health Organization (WHO) is a United Nations specialized organization in charge of coordinating worldwide efforts to improve public health and battle illness. Amnesty International is a non-governmental organization that works for human rights and supports justice and freedom worldwide. It undertakes research, campaigns, and advocacy to bring human rights violations to light and promote social justice. The International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) is a non-governmental humanitarian organization that strives to protect and aid victims of armed war and other violent circumstances. It offers medical help, aids in family reunions, and advocates adherence to international humanitarian law. International organizations play an important role in global governance, encouraging collaboration and

tackling cross-national difficulties. They offer a forum for governments to collaborate on matters of mutual interest, establish norms and standards, and encourage information and expertise exchange. However, these groups may confront difficulties such as a lack of finances, political pressures, and issues about their efficacy and accountability.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] N. M. Avshenyuk, V. I. Berezan, N. M. Bidyuk, and M. P. Leshchenko, "Foreign Experience and Ukrainian Realities of Mass Open Online Courses Use In International Education Area," *Inf. Technol. Learn. Tools*, 2018, doi: 10.33407/itlt.v68i6.2407.
- [2] M. A. Gil Martínez and Y. V. Vorontsova, "Research of the peculiarities of enterprises' work organization in the intercultural space," *Upravlenie*, 2021, doi: 10.26425/2309-3633-2021-9-1-92-100.
- [3] A. Filiz, "The Company Secretary within the Corporate Governance Framework.," *Univ. St. Gall. Bus. Diss.*, 2013.
- [4] B. T. Luciano, *Parliamentary agency and regional integration in europe and beyond: The logic of regional parliaments.* 2021. doi: 10.4324/9781003142201.
- [5] M. L. Summar, F. Endo, and S. Kölker, "On the creation, utility and sustaining of rare diseases research networks: Lessons learned from the Urea Cycle Disorders Consortium, the Japanese Urea Cycle Disorders Consortium and the European registry and network for intoxication type metabolic diseases," *Mol. Genet. Metab.*, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.ymgme.2014.09.002.
- [6] S. Marshall, "Using Mixed Methods to Study Labour Market Institutions: The Case of Better Factories Cambodia," *Soc. Leg. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0964663917749288.
- [7] H. Cox and S. Metcalfe, "The Borneo Company Limited: origins of a nineteenth-century networked multinational," *Asia Pacific Bus. Rev.*, 1998, doi: 10.1080/13602389812331288294.
- [8] S. Marshall, "Using Mixed Methods to Study Labour Market Institutions," *Soc. Leg. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0964663917749288.
- [9] C. De Cesari, "Cultural heritage beyond the 'state': Palestinian heritage between nationalism and transnationalism.," *Diss. Abstr. Int. Sect. A Humanit. Soc. Sci.*, 2009.
- [10] F. Ğensoy, "To Examine Sustainable Development Through The Historical Applications of Foundations The Concept of Waqf," *2nd Int. Symp. Sustain. Dev. June 8-9 2010, Sarajevo.*, 2010.

# A Brief Overview of the Security in Contemporary World

Dr. Yagnamurthy Raja

Assistant Professor, Master in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Email Id-narasimharaja@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *In today's world, security is a complicated and diverse notion that incorporates a broad variety of difficulties and challenges. It extends beyond conventional definitions of military security to include economic, political, social, and environmental aspects. Here are some important characteristics of security in the modern world: National Security, Non-traditional Security difficulties, Human security, Global Security Governance, and Contemporary security.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Cold Ware, Human Rights, Non-Traditional, National Security, Nuclear Weapons.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

We commonly come across the terms 'security' and 'national security' while reading about international affairs. Do we understand what these phrases mean? They are often used to halt debate and discussion. We hear that a problem is a security concern that is critical to the country's well-being. The inference is that it is too significant or confidential to be freely argued and discussed. We witness movies where everything about 'national security' is murky and harmful. Security seems to be something that is beyond of the regular citizen's purview. This cannot be the situation in a democracy. As citizens in a democracy, we need to understand the concept of security better. It provides two contrasting perspectives on security and emphasizes the significance of remembering the many settings or conditions that influence our perception of security. At its most fundamental, security entails the absence of risks. Human existence and the vitality of a nation are both threatened. Does this imply that every danger is a security threat? Every time a person leaves his or her home, there is some danger to their survival and way of life. If we adopted such a wide perspective of what is dangerous, our world would be overrun by security challenges [1].

Surely, there are huge and tiny risks to almost every value we cherish. Can all of these dangers be included into a security understanding? Every time another nation acts or fails to do anything, it may harm one's own country's essential ideals. Every time someone is

robbed on the street, the security of regular people going about their everyday lives is jeopardized. We would be paralyzed, though, if we adopted such a broad perspective of security: wherever we looked, there would be threats. So we get to the conclusion that security only refers to highly grave threats—threats that may jeopardize basic values so severely that they would be irreparably ruined if we did nothing to address the problem. Having said that, we must accept that security is still a shaky concept. For example, have cultures always had the same view of security? It would be astonishing if they did, given how much has changed in the world around us. And, at any point in history, do all of these things. Do all civilizations share the same idea of security? Again, it would be incredible if 650 million individuals, spread over almost 200 nations, had the same understanding of security! To begin, divide the numerous definitions of security into two categories: conventional and non-traditional views of security [2].

When we read or hear about security, we usually refer to conventional, national security ideas of security. Military threats provide the biggest threat to a nation, according to conventional wisdom. Another nation is posing a threat to the essential ideals of sovereignty, independence, and territorial integrity by threatening military action. Military activity also puts regular civilians' lives at peril. It is improbable that just troops would be injured or killed during a battle. Ordinary men and women are often made targets of war in order to weaken their support for the fight. When faced with the threat of war, a government has three basic options:

surrender; deter the other side from attacking by promising to raise the costs of war to an unacceptable level; or defend itself when war does break out in order to deny the attacking country its objectives and turn back or defeat the attacking forces entirely.

Governments may opt to surrender when faced with war, but they will not publicize this as the country's policy. As a result, security policy is concerned with both avoiding conflict (deterrence) and restricting or ending war (defense). Traditional security strategy includes a third component known as the balance of power. When nations look around, they see that certain countries are larger and stronger than others. This hints to who could be a problem in the future. A neighboring nation, for example, may not declare that it is planning for an assault. There may be no evident rationale for the assault. However, the fact that this nation is very strong indicates that it will become such at some time in the future.

They are aware of the power balance between their nation and other countries. They try hard to preserve a favorable balance of power with other nations, particularly those nearby, with whom they disagree, or with whom they have previously clashed. Building increasing one's military force is a vital aspect of preserving a balance of power, but economic and technical might are equally crucial since they serve as the foundation for military power [3].

Alliance formation is a fourth and related component of conventional security strategy. An alliance is a group of governments that work together to discourage or protect against military assault. Most alliances are established in written treaties and are founded on a very clear understanding of who the danger is. Countries join alliances in order to improve their effective strength in comparison to another nation or alliance. Alliances are formed based on national interests and may shift when those interests shift. For example, in the 1980s, the US aided Islamic militants in Afghanistan against the Soviet Union, but subsequently targeted them when Al Qaeda a group of Islamic militants commanded by Osama bin Laden launched terrorist attacks on America on September 11, 2001.

According to the conventional view of security, the majority of dangers to a country's security originate beyond its boundaries. This is because the international system is a cruel arena with no central

authority capable of restraining behavior. The danger of violence inside a nation is managed by a recognized central authority - the government. There is no recognized central authority in international politics that stands above everyone else. It is tempting to believe that the United Nations is or might become such an authority. However, the UN is a creation of its members and has power only to the degree that the membership permits it to have authority and obeys it. As a result, in global politics, each nation must be accountable for its own security.

As a result, traditional security must also be concerned with internal security. The reason it is not given as much weight is because after WWII, it seemed that domestic security was more or less ensured for the world's most powerful nations. We already said that it is important to pay attention to circumstances and situations. While internal security was clearly one of governments' priorities historically, there was a context and scenario following WWII in which internal security did not appear to matter as much as it had in the past. After 1945, the unified States and the Soviet Union looked to be unified and could look forward to peace inside their boundaries. Most European nations, notably the strong Western European countries, faced no substantial challenges from within-border parties or communities. As a result, these nations concentrated largely on dangers from outside their boundaries.

Again, we emphasize circumstances and situations. We know that the time after WWII was known as the Cold War, in which the US-led Western alliance battled the Soviet-led Communist alliance. Above importantly, the two coalitions dreaded a military conflict between them. Furthermore, certain European nations continued to be concerned about violence in their colonies from colonized people seeking independence. Just think of the French fighting in Vietnam in the 1950s or the British battling in Kenya in the 1950s and early 1960s. As the colonies gained independence beginning in the late 1940s, their security worries were often identical to those of the European countries. Some newly independent nations, such as the European powers, joined Cold War coalitions.

They had to be concerned about the Cold War turning hot and dragging them into hostilities against neighbors who might have joined the opposing side in

the Cold War, against the leaders of the alliances (the United States or Soviet Union), or against any of the US and Soviet Union's other partners. The Cold War between the two superpowers was responsible for almost one-third of all conflicts since World War II. The majority of these conflicts took place in the Third World. Just as European colonial authority's dreaded violence in the colonies, some colonial people worried that after independence, their former colonial masters in Europe would attack them. As a result, they needed to prepare to protect themselves against an attack [4]. In two respects, the security concerns confronting newly independent Asian and African nations differed from those confronting Europe. For one thing, the fledgling nations faced armed war with neighboring countries. For another thing, they had to be concerned about internal military war. These nations faced dangers not just from outside, mostly from neighbors, but also from inside. Many newly independent nations began to dread their neighbors much more than they did the United States, the Soviet Union, or previous colonial powers. They fought for boundaries and territory, or control of people and populations, or both of things at the same time.

Internally, the new governments were concerned about separatist groups seeking to create separate countries. External and internal dangers converged at times. A neighbor may assist or provoke an internal separatist movement, causing tensions between the two neighboring nations. Internal wars currently account for more than 95 percent of all military conflicts worldwide. The number of civil conflicts increased twelvefold between 1946 and 1991, the highest increase in 200 years. As a result, external battles with neighbors and internal warfare constituted a severe threat to the fledgling republics' stability.

Traditional security recognizes the possibility of collaboration in reducing violence. These constraints apply to both the aims and the methods of conflict. It is now virtually unanimously agreed that nations should only go to war for the appropriate reasons, principally self-defense or protection against genocide. War must also be circumscribed in terms of the methods used. Noncombatants, as well as unarmed and surrendering combatants, shall not be killed or injured by armies. They should not be too violent. In any event, force should be employed only after all other options have been exhausted. Traditional

security perspectives do not exclude new types of collaboration. The three most crucial are disarmament, armaments control, and trust building. Disarmament necessitates the elimination of certain types of weaponry by all governments.

The 1972 Biological Weapons Convention (BWC) and the 1992 Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC), for example, prohibited the manufacturing and possession of these weapons. The BWC was signed by over 155 states, while the CWC was signed by 181 states. Both conferences featured all of the world's leading powers. But since the superpowers the United States and the Soviet Union — did not want to give up the third sort of weapon of mass destruction, nuclear weapons, they sought arms control. Arms control governs the acquisition and development of weapons. In 1972, the Anti-ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty attempted to prevent the United States and the Soviet Union from deploying ballistic missiles as a protective shield to launch a nuclear assault. While it allowed both nations to deploy a very limited number of defense systems, it prevented them from mass-producing such systems. The United States and the Soviet Union signed a number of additional arms control accords, including the Strategic Arms Limitation Treaty II (SALT II) and the Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START).

The Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) of 1968 was an arms control treaty in the sense that it regulated nuclear weapon acquisition: countries that had tested and manufactured nuclear weapons before 1967 were allowed to keep them, while those that had not were required to give up the right to acquire them. The NPT did not eliminate nuclear weapons; rather, it restricted the number of nations that might possess them. Traditional security recognizes trust development as a strategy of preventing violence. Building confidence is a process in which governments communicate ideas and information with their adversaries. They communicate their military objectives and, to a certain extent, their military plans. This is done to show that they are not preparing a surprise strike. They also provide information regarding the kind of troops they have, as well as where those forces are deployed. In a nutshell, confidence building is a procedure aimed to prevent adversaries from going to war due to misunderstanding or misinterpretation. Traditional notions of security are primarily focused with the use



or threat of military force. Force is both the primary danger to security and the primary way of attaining security in conventional security [5].

Non-traditional ideas of security cover a broad variety of risks and hazards impacting human survival in addition to military concerns. They begin by challenging the accepted definition of security. In doing so, they also call into question the other three parts of security: what is being guarded, from what types of threats, and the security strategy. We mean 'security for whom?' when we say referent. The referent in the conventional security notion is the state, with its territory and political institutions. The referent is broadened in non-traditional concepts. When we question, 'Who needs security?' Nontraditional security advocates respond, "Not just the state, but individuals or communities, or indeed all of humanity." Non-traditional security perspectives have been dubbed "human security" or "global security." Human security is more concerned with the protection of individuals than with the protection of nations. Human security and state security should be and often are synonymous. However, safe states may not always imply secure individuals. Protecting civilians against foreign assault is a fundamental requirement for individual security, but it is far from adequate. Indeed, throughout the previous century, more individuals have been born.

Human security advocates all agree that the fundamental purpose is to safeguard persons. However, there are disagreements over which risks persons should be safeguarded against. Proponents of the 'narrow' definition of human security concentrate on violent threats to people, or, as former UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan puts it, "the protection of communities and individuals from internal violence." Proponents of the 'wide' idea of human security contend that hunger, illness, and natural catastrophes kill considerably more people than war, genocide, and terrorism combined. They propose that human security policy should safeguard individuals from both these risks and violence. In its widest sense, the human security agenda includes economic security and "threats to human dignity." To put it another way, the broader definition emphasizes 'freedom from desire' and 'freedom from fear', respectively [6].

Worldwide security evolved in the 1990s in reaction to the worldwide character of dangers such as global

warming, international terrorism, and health epidemics such as AIDS and avian flu, among others. No nation can handle these issues on its own. In certain cases, one nation may be forced to carry a disproportionate share of the burden of a global issue, such as environmental deterioration. A 1.5-2.0 meter increase in sea level, for example, would flood 20% of Bangladesh, inundate the majority of the Maldives, and endanger almost half of Thailand's population. Because these are global issues, international collaboration is essential, but difficult to obtain. Non-traditional notions of human security and global security center on the shifting nature of security threats. Some of these dangers will be discussed in the section below. Terrorism is defined as political violence that purposefully and indiscriminately attacks people. International terrorism includes persons or territories from many countries. Terrorist organizations use force or the threat of force to alter a political environment or situation that they do not like. Civilian targets are often targeted to terrorize the public and utilize public dissatisfaction as a weapon against national governments or other parties in conflict. Terrorists often hijack aircraft or place explosives in trains, cafés, and markets. And similarly congested areas. Terrorism has received increased attention after the terrorist assault on the World Trade Center in New York on September 11, 2001, despite the fact that it is not a new phenomenon. Historically, the majority of terrorist attacks have happened in the Middle East, Europe, Latin America, and South Asia.

## DISCUSSION

Human rights have been divided into three categories. The first category includes political rights such as free speech and assembly. The economic and social rights are the second category. The rights of colonized peoples or ethnic and indigenous minorities are the third category. While there is general agreement on this categorization, there is no consensus on which set of rights should be designated universal, nor on what the international community should do. Iraq's invasion of Kuwait, Rwanda's genocide, and the Indonesian military's slaughter of civilians in East Timor have all sparked discussion over whether the UN should interfere to end human rights violations. Some claim that the UN Charter authorizes the international community to use force in defense of human rights.

Others claim that the strong nations' national interests will influence which cases of human rights breaches the UN will investigate. Another cause of insecurity is global poverty. The world population, which is now 650 billion, will rise to 700 to 800 billion in 25 years and may ultimately reach 900 to 1000 billion. Currently, India, China, Pakistan, Nigeria, Bangladesh, and Indonesia account for half of global population increase. Population in the world's poorest nations is predicted to quadruple in the next 50 years, while population in many wealthier countries is expected to fall. Affluent states or affluent social groups benefit from high per capita income and moderate population increase, while poor states and poor social groups benefit from low income and fast population growth.

This imbalance leads to the global divide between Northern and Southern nations. Disparities within the South have also widened, as a few nations have succeeded to reduce population growth and boost wages while others have not. For example, the majority of the world's violent conflicts currently take place in Sub-Saharan Africa, which is also the world's poorest area. At the turn of the twenty-first century, this region's battles killed more people than the rest of the globe combined. Poverty in the South has also resulted in large-scale migration to the North in search of a better life, particularly greater economic possibilities. This has caused worldwide political squabbles [7].

International law and customs distinguish between migrants (those who leave their native nations freely) and refugees (those fleeing conflict, natural catastrophe, or political persecution). States are typically expected to take refugees, but are not required to admit migrants. While refugees leave their native country, persons who have abandoned their homes but stay inside national boundaries are referred to as "internally displaced people." An example of an internally displaced population is Kashmiri Pandits who fled the turmoil in the Kashmir Valley in the early 1990s. Because wars and armed conflicts in the South have resulted in millions of migrants seeking safe haven, the global refugee map nearly precisely matches the world conflicts map. From 1990 to 1995, 70 states were engaged in 93 conflicts that killed over 55 lakh people. As a consequence, individuals, families, and, in some cases, whole communities have

been compelled to move owing to widespread fear of violence or the loss of livelihoods, identities, and living surroundings. An examination of the relationship between conflicts and refugee movement reveals that, throughout the 1990s, all but three of the 60 refugee flows coincided with an internal armed conflict.

Health epidemics including HIV/AIDS, avian flu, and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) have spread swiftly throughout nations due to migration, commerce, tourism, and military actions. The success or failure of one nation in restricting the spread of these illnesses influences infections in other countries. By 2003, an estimated 4 crore individuals worldwide were afflicted with HIV/AIDS, with two-thirds of them in Africa and half in South Asia. In the late 1990s, new pharmacological regimens drastically reduced the mortality rate from HIV/AIDS in North America and other industrialised nations. However, these therapies were too costly to assist impoverished places such as Africa, where it has shown to be a key cause in dragging the region further into poverty. Other new and poorly understood illnesses have appeared, such as the ebola virus, Hantavirus, and hepatitis C, while ancient diseases such as TB, malaria, dengue fever, and cholera have evolved into drug-resistant versions that are difficult to treat. Animal epidemics have significant economic consequences. Since the late 1990s, Britain has lost billions of dollars in revenue due to a mad-cow disease epidemic, and bird flu has shut down supply of chicken exports from numerous Asian nations. Such epidemics highlight the rising interconnectedness of governments, rendering boundaries less significant than in the past and emphasizing the need of international collaboration.

Extending the idea of security does not imply that we may include any kind of sickness or suffering within its scope. If we do this, the idea of security risks losing its consistency. Everything has the potential to become a security risk. To qualify as a security concern, an issue must have a minimal common condition, such as endangering the very life of the referent (a state or group of people), even if the particular form of this threat varies. For example, the Maldives may feel threatened by global warming because a large portion of its territory may be submerged by rising sea levels, whereas HIV-AIDS poses a serious threat to Southern African countries, with one in every six adults infected

(one in every three in Botswana, the worst case). In 1994, the Tutsi tribe in Rwanda threatened extinction when the opposing Hutu tribe slaughtered over five lakhs of its members in a couple of weeks. This demonstrates that non-traditional security perceptions, like conventional security conceptions, fluctuate depending on local environment.

We can see that dealing with many of these unconventional security concerns requires collaboration rather than military conflict. Military action may have a role to play in combatting terrorism or upholding human rights (although even here, there are limits to what force can do), but it is difficult to see how force would assist relieve poverty, regulate migration and refugee flows, and control diseases. Indeed, in most circumstances, the deployment of armed force would exacerbate the situation. Developing tactics that incorporate international collaboration is much more successful. Cooperation may be bilateral (between two nations), regional, continental, or global in nature. It would all rely on the nature of the danger and nations' willingness and capacity to react. Cooperative security may also involve a variety of other international and national players, including international organizations (the United Nations, the World Health Organization, the World Bank, the IMF, and so on), nongovernmental organizations (Amnesty International, the Red Cross, private foundations and charities, churches and religious organizations, trade unions, associations, social and development organizations), businesses and corporations, and great personalities (e.g., Nelson Mandela).

As a final resort, cooperative security may necessitate the use of force. The world community may have to legitimize the use of force in dealing with regimes who murder their own people or disregard the plight of their people who are ravaged by poverty, sickness, and disaster. It may be forced to accept the use of force against foreign terrorists and those who harbor them. Non-traditional security is significantly better when the international community sanctioned and used force collectively rather than when an individual country decided to employ force on its own.

India has faced both conventional (military) and non-traditional security challenges from both inside and beyond its boundaries. Its security approach consists of four major components, which have been deployed

in various combinations from time to time. Because India has been embroiled in hostilities with its neighbors — Pakistan in 1947-48, 1965, 1971, and 1999; and China in 1962 — the first component was to develop its military capability. Because it is surrounded by nuclear weapons, I am relieved to learn that my nation has nuclear weapons. But I'm not sure how it helps me and my family feel safer. Security in the Modern World with 113 armed nations in South Asia, India's choice to undertake nuclear tests in 1998 was defended by the Indian government as a means of ensuring national security. In 1974, India conducted its first nuclear test.

The second component of India's security policy has been to enhance international norms and institutions in order to safeguard its security interests. Jawaharlal Nehru, India's first Prime Minister, advocated for Asian unity, decolonization, disarmament, and the UN as a platform for international conflict resolution. India also taken steps to establish a global and non-discriminatory non-proliferation framework in which all nations have the same rights and duties in relation to weapons of mass destruction (nuclear, biological, and chemical). It advocated for a more egalitarian New International Economic Order (NIEO). Most crucially, it employed non-alignment to help carve out a zone of peace outside of the two superpowers' bloc politics. India has joined the 160 nations that have signed and approved the 1997 Kyoto Protocol, which sets a framework for lowering greenhouse gas emissions to combat global warming. In support of cooperative security measures, Indian soldiers have been dispatched overseas on UN peacekeeping deployments [8]–[10].

The third component of India's security policy focuses on addressing security concerns inside the nation. Several insurgent organizations, including those from Nagaland, Mizoram, Punjab, and Kashmir, have attempted to split away from India on several occasions. India has attempted to maintain national unity by implementing a democratic political system that enables diverse communities and groups of people to openly express their complaints and share political power.

Finally, there has been an endeavor in India to grow its economy in such a manner that the great majority of inhabitants are pulled out of poverty and suffering, and massive economic inequities are not permitted to

remain. The endeavor was not entirely successful; we remain a very impoverished and unequal nation. However, democratic politics provides venues for poor and disadvantaged individuals to express themselves. There is increasing demand for democratically elected governments to combine economic growth with human development. Thus, democracy is more than simply a political ideal; it is also a means of increasing security. More about the accomplishments and failings of Indian democracy in this regard may be found in the textbook on Indian politics since independence.

### CONCLUSION

The international community is faced with ethical quandaries when it comes to intervening in circumstances of grave human rights abuses. The Duty to Protect idea holds that the international community has a duty to protect people, even inside sovereign nations, from genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing, and crimes against humanity. Technological advances have both good and bad security effects. While they provide potential for economic development and enhanced quality of life, they also pose hazards such as cyber warfare, artificial intelligence weaponization, and the spread of weapons of mass destruction. In today's environment, security necessitates a multifaceted and comprehensive strategy. Tackling both classic and non-traditional security concerns, fostering human security, enhancing global governance institutions, and tackling the core causes of insecurity are all part of it. Collaboration, collaboration, and conversation among states are essential for constructing a safer and more stable world.

### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Legrand and D. Stone, "Governing global policy: what IPE can learn from public policy?," *Policy Soc.*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/14494035.2021.1975218.
- [2] J. Micić, S. Denda, and M. Popescu, "The significance of the risk-related challenges in tourist destination choice," *J. Geogr. Inst. Jovan Cvijic SASA*, 2019, doi: 10.2298/IJGI1901039M.
- [3] F. G. C. Timur and Y. M. Yani, "FACING ISIS RETURNING FOREIGN TERRORIST FIGHTERS: INDONESIA PERSPECTIVE," *J. Pertahanan*, 2019, doi: 10.33172/jp.v5i2.553.
- [4] T. F. P. D. Pereira, "Reflecting on drug tourism and its future challenges," *Eur. J. Tour. Hosp. Recreat.*, 2020, doi: 10.2478/ejthr-2020-0007.
- [5] H. Starr, "Geopolitics and Conflict," *Source J. Territ. Marit. Stud.*, 2015.
- [6] M. Gibson, *The feeding of nations: Redefining food security for the 21st century*. 2016. doi: 10.5860/choice.50-0354.
- [7] P. J. R. Macaulay, O. L. Steer, and L. R. Betts, "Factors leading to cyber victimization," in *Emerging Cyber Threats and Cognitive Vulnerabilities*, 2020. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-816203-3.00001-0.
- [8] A. A. Ghaemi, "A cyber-physical system approach to smart city development," 2017. doi: 10.1109/ICSGSC.2017.8038587.
- [9] G. M. Gerhart, A.-F. Musah, and J. 'Kayode Fayemi, "Mercenaries: An African Security Dilemma," *Foreign Aff.*, 2001, doi: 10.2307/20050127.
- [10] M. Sebe, "Romania's Stance in the Issue of the Refugees Crisis: Preliminary Observations," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2016, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2711356.

# Importance of the Environment and Natural Resources

Dr. Vinay Muddu

Professor, Master in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Email Id-muddu.vinay@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *The environment and natural resources are essential components of modern security and have emerged as major issues of concern in global politics. Here are some significant environmental and natural resource issues from the perspective of security: climate Change, Water Scarcity, Energy Security, Natural Resource Management, Environmental Degradation, and Illegal Resource Exploitation are all issues that must be addressed.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Common Property, Climate Change, Developing Nations, Natural Resource, United Nations.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

This chapter investigates the rising importance of environmental and resource challenges in global politics. It compares some of the most significant environmental movements against the background of environmentalism's increasing visibility since the 1960s. The global commons and common property resources are also evaluated. We also briefly explore India's position in current environmental issues. A short discussion of the geopolitics of resource rivalry follows. Finally, we notice indigenous peoples' views and concerns from the periphery of current international politics. We have discussed 'world politics' in a fairly limited sense in this book: wars and treaties, the rise and fall of state power, the relationship between governments that represent their countries in the international arena, and the role of inter-governmental organizations. We broadened the scope of international politics to include topics such as poverty and diseases. That may not have been a difficult step to take, since we all believe that governments are in charge of managing issues. In that way, they are part of global politics.

Around the globe, cultivable land is rarely growing, while a significant amount of current agricultural land is losing fertility. Grasslands have been overgrazed, and fisheries have been overfished. Water sources have been greatly depleted and polluted, significantly limiting food production. According to the United Nations Development Programmer's Human

Development Report 2016, 663 million people in developing countries lack access to clean water and 2.4 billion lack access to sanitation, resulting in the deaths of more than three million children per year. Natural forests, which assist to stabilize the climate, control water supplies, and house the majority of the world's biodiversity on land, are being chopped down, and people are being displaced. The deterioration of habitat in species-rich places contributes to the ongoing loss of biodiversity. A gradual decrease in the overall quantity of ozone in the Earth's stratosphere (known colloquially as the ozone hole) presents a serious threat to ecosystems and human health. Globally, coastal pollution is also on the rise. Although the open sea is typically clean, shoreline seas are Politics in woods, water, and atmosphere! So, what isn't political? Thousands of people have been forced to flee their homes around the Aral Sea because the poisonous waters have completely devastated the fishing business. The maritime sector and its associated operations have ceased to exist. Rising salt concentrations in the soil have resulted in poor agricultural yields. Numerous research have been carried out.

As a result of land-based activities, the environment is getting more degraded. If uncontrolled, extensive human settlement in coastal zones across the world would worsen the quality of the marine environment. You could wonder whether we're not talking about 'natural phenomena' that belong in geography rather than politics. But consider it again. If different governments take actions to combat the kind of

environmental deterioration outlined above, these challenges will have political ramifications. The majority of them are of such magnitude that no one government can adequately solve them. As a result, they must get involved in "world politics." In a broader sense, environmental and natural resource issues are political. Who is responsible for environmental degradation? Who bears the cost? And who is in charge of taking remedial action? Who gets to utilize how much of the Earth's natural resources? All of this raises the question of who has how much authority.

As a result, they are fundamentally political issues. Although environmental problems have a long history, worry over the environmental repercussions of economic expansion became more politicized beginning in the 1960s. The Club of Rome, a worldwide think group, issued Limits to Growth in 1972, dramatizing the impending depletion of Earth's resources against the background of rapidly expanding global population. worldwide organizations, such as the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), started convening worldwide conferences and encouraging thorough research in order to achieve a more coordinated and effective response to environmental issues. Since then, the environment has emerged as a critical topic in international politics. The rising importance of environmental concerns in global politics was firmly established in June 1992 at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. This was also referred to as the Earth Summit. Collect news clippings on stories relating the environment and politics in your own community. Five years previously, the Brundtland Report, Our Common Future, had cautioned that conventional patterns of economic growth were not long-term sustainable, particularly in light of the South's expectations for additional industrial development [1].

At the Rio Summit, it was clear that the affluent and industrialized nations of the First World, known as the "global North," were pursuing a different environmental agenda than the impoverished and developing countries of the Third World, known as the "global South." Unlike the Northern states, which were worried about ozone depletion and global warming, the Southern states were eager to discuss the link between economic growth and environmental management. The Rio Summit generated treaties on

climate change, biodiversity, and forestry, as well as a list of development practices known as 'Agenda 21'. However, it left significant divisions and challenges unaddressed. There was agreement on the need of balancing economic expansion with environmental stewardship. This kind of development is frequently referred to as "sustainable development." The challenge was determining how this was to be accomplished. Some opponents have claimed that Agenda 21 is skewed toward economic expansion rather than environmental protection. Consider some of the difficult problems in global environmental politics.

'Commons' are resources that are shared by a community rather than owned by anybody. This might be a "community center," a "common room," a park, or a river. Similarly, are there distinct points of view from which wealthy and poor nations agree to safeguard the Earth. These are referred to as the res communist humanities, or world commons. The earth's atmosphere, Antarctica (see Box), the ocean bottom, and outer space are among them. Cooperation on global commons is difficult. Many groundbreaking agreements have been made, including the 1959 Antarctic Treaty, the 1987 Montreal Protocol, and the 1991 Antarctic Environmental Protocol. A significant challenge underlying all ecological concerns is the difficulty in reaching agreement on shared environmental goals based on ambiguous scientific facts and time limits. In that way, the discovery of the ozone hole above Antarctica in the mid-1980s exposed both the possibility and the perils of addressing global environmental concerns. Similarly, the history of outer space as a global commons demonstrates that North-South inequities heavily impact administration of these domains. The essential concern here, as with the earth's atmosphere and ocean bottom, is technology and industrial growth. This is significant because the rewards of exploitative actions in space are far from equal for current and future generations [2].

As previously stated, the North and South have different approaches to the environment. The affluent nations of the North want to examine the current environmental situation and hold everyone equally accountable for ecological protection. The developing nations of the South believe that most of the world's environmental deterioration is the result of industrial growth conducted by affluent countries. If they have

created more devastation, they must accept greater responsibility for repairing the harm immediately. Furthermore, developing nations are in the process of industrialization and should not be subjected to the same constraints as industrialized ones. Thus, the particular demands of developing nations must be considered in the establishment, implementation, and interpretation of international environmental law regulations. This concept, known as the notion of 'shared but differentiated obligations,' was endorsed in the Rio Declaration at the Earth Summit in 1992.

According to the relevant section of the Rio Declaration, "States shall cooperate in the spirit of global partnership to conserve, protect, and restore the health and integrity of the Earth's ecosystem." States have shared but diverse obligations in light of the various contributions of global environmental deterioration. The developed countries recognize their responsibility in the international pursuit of sustainable development in light of the pressures their societies place on the global environment and the technological and financial resources they command." The 1992 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) also provides that the parties should act to protect the climate system "on the basis of equity and in accordance with their common but differing interests." It was also agreed that developing-country per capita emissions are still quite low.

As a result, China, India, and other developing nations were excused from the Kyoto Protocol's provisions. The Kyoto Protocol is an international agreement that establishes objectives for developed nations to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Certain gases, such as carbon dioxide, methane, hydrofluorocarbons, and others, are thought to be at least partially responsible for global warming, or the increase in global temperature that might have disastrous repercussions for life on Earth. The Kyoto Protocol was signed in 1997 in Kyoto, Japan, on the basis of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change principles. Common property symbolizes the group's common property. The underlying norm here is that group members have both rights and obligations regarding the nature, amounts of use, and upkeep of a specific resource. Many village communities in India, for example, have established members' rights and obligations through mutual agreement and decades of

experience. A combination of causes, including privatization, agricultural intensification, population expansion, and ecological degradation, has resulted in a reduction in the size, quality, and availability of common property to the poor in most of the globe. The institutional framework for managing the holy groves on state-owned forest land fulfills the definition of a common property regime. Sacred groves have historically been nurtured by local groups throughout South India's forest belt.

India's Position on Environmental Issues in August 2002, India signed and ratified the 1997 Kyoto Protocol. India, China, and other emerging nations were exempted from the Kyoto Protocol's restrictions since their contribution to greenhouse gas emissions during the industrialization era (which is thought to be driving today's global warming and climate change) was insignificant. However, detractors of the Kyoto Protocol point out that India and China, together with other emerging nations, will be among the top contributors to greenhouse gas emissions sooner or later. At the G-8 summit in June 2005, India pointed out that developing nations' per capita emission rates are a minuscule fraction of those in the rich world. Following the idea of shared but differentiated duties, India believes that the developed nations have the primary duty for reducing emissions since they have accumulated emissions over a long period of time. India's international negotiating stance is mainly based on historical responsibility principles inherent in the UNFCCC. This admits that rich nations are responsible for the majority of historical and present greenhouse gas emissions, and underscores that 'economic and social development are the primary and overriding goals of the developing country parties' [3].

As a result, India is apprehensive of recent UNFCCC debates on imposing enforceable commitments on fast industrializing countries (such as Brazil, China, and India) to cut greenhouse gas emissions. This, according to India, goes against the spirit of the UNFCCC. It also does not seem fair to put limitations on India given the country's increase in per capita carbon emissions by 2030 is anticipated to be less than half of the global average of 3.8 tonnes in 2000. Emissions in India are expected to increase from 0.9 tonnes per capita in 2000 to 1.6 tonnes per capita in 2030. Through a variety of programs, the Indian government is already contributing to global efforts. In

India, for example, the National Auto-fuel Policy requires cleaner fuels for cars. The 2001 Energy Conservation Act describes steps to enhance energy efficiency. Similarly, the 2003 Electricity Act promotes the usage of renewable energy. Recent trends in natural gas imports and pushing the deployment of clean coal technology demonstrate that India is making genuine efforts. The government also intends to create a National Biodiesel Mission, which will use around 11 million hectares of land to manufacture biodiesel by 2011-2012.

On October 2, 2016, India ratified the Paris Climate Agreement. And India boasts one of the world's greatest renewable energy programs. India conducted an evaluation of the implementation of the Rio Earth Summit accords in 1997. One of the primary results was that there had been no real progress in terms of transferring new and extra financial resources and ecologically sound technologies to developing countries on favorable conditions. India believes that rich nations must take urgent steps to give poor countries with financial resources and clean technology to allow them to achieve their current UNFCCC obligations. India believes that the SAARC nations should have a single stance on significant global environmental concerns so that the region's voice is heard more loudly.

We have examined how governments have responded to the problem of environmental deterioration on a global scale. However, some of the most important answers to this problem have come from organizations of environmentally aware volunteers working in various regions of the globe, rather than from governments. Some of them function on a global scale, while the majority work on a local scale. These environmental movements are among the world's most dynamic, diversified, and powerful social movements today. New forms of political activity emerge or are recreated inside social movements. These movements generate new ideas and long-term perspectives of what we should and should not do in our personal and communal lives. Here are a few instances of how variety is vital in modern environmental initiatives. South American forest movements confront immense pressures in Mexico, Chile, Brazil, Malaysia, Indonesia, continental Africa, and India, to name a few instances. Despite three decades of environmental action, forest destruction in the Third World continues

at an alarming pace. The devastation of the world's last great woods has intensified in the previous decade [4]. The minerals business is one of the world's most powerful industries. Through global economic liberalization, a substantial number of South Asian nations are now reopening to MNCs. The extraction of earth, the use of chemicals, the polluting of streams and land, the clearing of native vegetation, and the relocation of populations, among other causes, continue to elicit criticism and opposition in many areas of the world. In the Philippines, for example, a wide network of parties and organizations battled against the Western Mining Corporation (WMC), an Australian-based multinational corporation. Much of the company's criticism in its home nation, Australia, is based on anti-nuclear feelings and campaigning for the fundamental rights of Australian indigenous peoples.

Another set of movements is participating in the fight against mega-dams. Every nation where a mega-dam is being constructed will almost certainly have an environmental movement opposing it. Anti-dam movements are increasingly pro-river groups seeking more sustainable and equitable management of river systems and valleys. The battle to safeguard the Franklin River and its surrounding forests in Australia began the first anti-dam movement in the North in the early 1980s. This was both an anti-dam and a wilderness and forest movement. There is now a surge in mega-dam construction throughout the South, from Turkey to Thailand to South Africa, and from Indonesia to China. India has experienced some of the most prominent anti-dam and pro-river campaigns. One of the most well-known of these movements is the Narmada Bachao Andolan. It is worth noting that the most important common philosophy among anti-dam and other environmental initiatives in India is nonviolence.

It is all about who gets what, when, where, and how. Some of the primary methods and motivations for worldwide European power growth have been resources. They have also been the site of inter-state conflict. Western geopolitical thought regarding resources has been dominated by the nexus of commerce, war, and power, with abroad resources and marine navigation at the center. Because maritime power was founded on the availability of lumber, naval timber supply became a top issue for major



European nations beginning in the 17th century. During both World Wars, the crucial need of guaranteeing an unbroken supply of key commodities, particularly oil, was clearly understood. Throughout the Cold War, the North's industrialized nations used a variety of tactics to secure a consistent supply of resources.

These included the deployment of armed personnel near extraction sites and along communication routes, the stockpiling of key resources, measures to back up sympathetic governments in producing nations, and assistance to multinational corporations and favorable international accords. Traditional Western strategic thinking remained focused on supply access, which the Soviet Union might disrupt. The Western domination of oil in the Gulf and critical minerals in Southern and Central Africa was of special significance. After the Cold War ended and the Soviet Union disintegrated, the security of supply continues to be a concern for government and commercial choices about various minerals, particularly radioactive elements. Nonetheless, oil remains the most significant resource in global strategy. For most of the twentieth century, the world economy depended on oil as a portable and necessary fuel. Because of the enormous riches linked with oil, political fights to control it erupt, and the history of petroleum is also the history of war and struggle. Nowhere is this more evident than in West Asia and Central Asia. West Asia, particularly the Gulf area, contributes to over 30% of world oil output. However, it possesses over 64% of the world's known reserves and is therefore the only area capable of meeting any significant increase in oil demand. Saudi Arabia has a quarter of the world's total reserves and is the only producer [5].

Only Saudi Arabia has more known reserves than Iraq. And, since significant areas of Iraqi land have yet to be completely investigated, there is a good likelihood that real reserves are far bigger. The countries that use this petroleum, including the United States, Europe, Japan, and, increasingly, India and China, are situated far from the area. Water is another critical resource that is pertinent to the worldwide (lower riparian) state's objection to pollution, excessive irrigation, or dam building by an upstream (upper riparian) state, all of which may reduce or deteriorate the quality of water accessible to the downstream state. Force has been used by states to safeguard or seize freshwater

resources. Examples of violence include incidents in the 1950s and 1960s between Israel, Syria, and Jordan over efforts by each side to divert water from the Jordan and Yarmuk Rivers, as well as more recent threats between Turkey, Syria, and Iraq over the building of dams on the Euphrates River. Several studies have shown that nations that Share Rivers – and many do – are engaged in armed confrontations with one another.

The topic of indigenous people combines environmental, resource, and political concerns. The United Nations defines indigenous populations as the descendants of peoples who lived on a country's current territory until people of a different culture or ethnic origin arrived from other parts of the globe and defeated them. Indigenous peoples now follow their own social, economic, and cultural norms and traditions rather than the institutions of the nation of which they are now a part. There are 20 lakh indigenous peoples in the Philippines' Cordillera area, 10 lakh Mapuche people in Chile, six lakh tribal people in Bangladesh's Chittagong Hill Tracts, 35 lakh North American natives, 50,000 Kuna residing east of the Panama Canal, and ten lakh Small Peoples of the Soviet North. Indigenous people, like other social movements, talk about their problems, agendas, and rights.

Indigenous voices in global politics advocate for indigenous peoples' full inclusion in the global society. Indigenous people live in Central and South America, Africa, India (known as Tribals), and Southeast Asia. Why don't we hear more about indigenous peoples and their movements? Is the media hostile to them? Over thousands of years, the Polynesian, Melanesian, and Micronesian peoples occupied present-day Oceania Island nations (including Australia and New Zealand). They urge governments to accept indigenous nations' continued existence as permanent communities with distinct identities. Indigenous peoples all throughout the globe use the expression "since time immemorial" to allude to their continuing occupation of the areas from whence they originated. Indigenous nations' worldviews on land and the range of living systems sustained by it are startlingly similar, regardless of geographical location.

The most evident danger to indigenous people's existence is the loss of land, which also entails the loss of an economic resource base. Can political

sovereignty be realized without the need for physical survival? In India, the term 'indigenous people' typically refers to the country's Scheduled Tribes, who account for about 8% of the population. With the exception of a few tiny bands of hunters and gatherers, most indigenous people in India rely mostly on land agriculture for livelihood. They had unrestricted access to as much land as they could farm for ages, if not millennia. Only after the introduction of British colonial administration were territories formerly occupied by Scheduled Tribe groups vulnerable to external influences. Despite having constitutional protection in political representation, they have not reaped many of the fruits of the country's prosperity. They have, in reality, paid a high price for development since they are the single greatest group of people displaced by different development initiatives since independence. For far too long, indigenous populations' rights have been ignored in local and international affairs. Growing worldwide ties among indigenous leaders from throughout the globe created a feeling of shared concern and experience during the 1970s. In 1975, the World Council of Indigenous Peoples was established. The Council was the first of 11 indigenous non-governmental organizations to be granted UN consultative status.

### DISCUSSION

Natural resources, which are drawn from the environment and frequently processed or produced to generate the final goods and services that we produce and consume, are used in both consumption and production. This includes metals and minerals needed to make things like steel for buildings, aluminum for automobiles, copper for electrical devices, and many other rare-earth minerals required to make electronics like smartphones. The farming that provides our food and beverages, as well as the clothing we wear, is heavily reliant on natural resources such as land, soil, and water, as well as ecosystem services such as pollination. The energy used to power equipment, industries, processing facilities, transportation, and the consumption of many goods and services is also generated by the combustion of fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and gas. Even services that do not create tangible goods, such as banking, education, healthcare, and telecommunications, depend on

infrastructure, technology, and energy that are developed and powered by natural resources.

Globally, the quantity of materials utilized in production and consumption is increasing, and the pace at which resources are taken is exceeding both population and economic expansion, implying that we are utilizing more materials less effectively. If current trends continue, global resource extraction will grow by 110% by 2060. Unsustainable consumption and production practices not only deplete natural resources via the use of material inputs, but also have environmental consequences at every step of a product or service's lifespan, including extraction, processing, manufacture, consumption, and waste disposal.

These environmental consequences of unsustainable consumption and production are driving the three contemporary planetary crises: climate change, biodiversity loss, and pollution. Climate change is one of the most well-known environmental consequences of unsustainable production and consumption, and it is mostly caused by the use of fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and gas to provide the energy that drives economic activity. This energy is used for mining and industrial farming heavy machinery; factories for processing and manufacturing products; trucks, ships, and planes for transporting products; energy related to consuming products and services; and energy to power the necessary disposal and treatment of waste leftover from production and consumption [6].

Climate change is also induced by the extraction and manufacturing of certain materials, which may emit greenhouse gases as a consequence of chemical processes such as those employed in the manufacture of steel for buildings and infrastructure. Food and agricultural product production is also a major source of greenhouse gases, due to the use of nitrogen-containing fertilizers, as well as the raising of livestock, which excrete methane, and the clearing of land for farming and grazing cattle, which reduces the amount of carbon that can be captured and stored by trees and vegetation and increases the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere.

The growing quantity of land required for production and consumption, such as agriculture, raw material extraction, forestry, or structures and infrastructure, necessitates clearing the ground and eliminating all natural trees and plants. This affects the richness of plant life on that site and also impacts animal and

insect life due to habitat loss. The repercussions of biodiversity loss are catastrophic, already threatening the life-sustaining systems of food, water, and air on which all living beings on Earth rely. Pollution caused by unsustainable production and consumption is also inflicting significant damage to the earth's life-supporting systems of food, water, and air, affecting both human health and the health of the planet. While waste in the form of packaging or discarded items is a huge problem that hurts both marine and terrestrial life, pollution does not occur just at the end of a product's or service's existence. Pollution occurs at every step of a product's or service's value chain, including raw resource extraction, processing and manufacture, distribution, and consumption.

The results of unsustainable use and production might have a rebound effect, reducing the quality and quantity of accessible natural resources even more. For example, unsustainable fertilizer usage in farming may reduce the quality of soil and water required for future farming and fishing. These environmental consequences are also inextricably linked and influence one another; for example, pollution adds to climate change, and both climate change and pollution worsen biodiversity loss. The usage of natural resources and the environmental implications of unsustainable consumption and production have socioeconomic ramifications for people all around the globe. Natural resource depletion and environmental devastation may endanger livelihoods, particularly for the world's more than one billion farmers, resulting in food and economic insecurity as well as nutritional difficulties. In addition to nutrition, pollution in land, air, and water from unsustainable use and production causes substantial health concerns, particularly among the poor.

The scarcity of natural resources and the livelihoods that rely on them is also a key cause of conflict and war, which may jeopardize human rights, wreak havoc on the environment, ruin livelihoods, and affect human health. These socioeconomic consequences of unsustainable consumption and production are felt unequally over the globe, deepening inequality. The poorest people are the most directly reliant on natural resources for their livelihoods, and they are the most vulnerable to damage to these resources and environmental effects, with the fewest resources and help to deal with the repercussions.

## CONCLUSION

The consequences of environmental changes, such as natural catastrophes and climate change, may result in displacement and migration. Environmental refugees are people who have been compelled to flee their homes because of environmental reasons. Large-scale population shifts may strain resources, intensify existing conflicts, and threaten social and political stability. To address environmental and natural resource concerns, a comprehensive and integrated strategy is required. International collaboration, sustainable development practices, conservation initiatives, investment in clean technology, and the promotion of resilience and adaptation measures are all part of it. Recognizing the links between the environment, natural resources, and security is critical for constructing a more sustainable and safe future.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Pereira, "Environmental issues and international relations, a new global disorder," *J. Ecosyst. Ecography*, 2015.
- [2] R. Fletcher, "The economics of biodiversity: the Dasgupta review," *J. Polit. Ecol.*, 2021, doi: 10.2458/jpe.2289.
- [3] J. C. Pereira, "Questões ambientais e relações internacionais, uma nova (des)ordem global – O papel das Relações Internacionais na promoção de um sistema internacional concertado," *Rev. Bras. Polit. Int.*, 2015, doi: 10.1590/0034-7329201500110.
- [4] M. Petrović-Randelović, N. Kocić, and B. Stojanović-Randelović, "The importance of renewable energy sources for sustainable development," *Econ. Sustain. Dev.*, 2020, doi: 10.5937/esd2002016p.
- [5] R. James, B. Gibbs, L. Whitford, C. Leisher, R. Konia, and N. Butt, "Conservation and natural resource management: Where are all the women?," *ORYX*. 2021. doi: 10.1017/S0030605320001349.
- [6] A. D. Andersen and O. Wicken, "Making sense of how the natural environment shapes innovation, industry dynamics, and sustainability challenges," *Innov. Dev.*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/2157930X.2020.1770975.

# Globalization; The Process of Contact and Integration Among People, Businesses, and Governments

Dr. Muralidhar Sunil

Assistant Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management), Presidency University, Bangalore, India, Email Id-sunilrashinkar@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Globalization refers to the growing interconnection and interdependence of nations and people all over the globe as a result of advances in communication, transportation, and technology. It is a diverse and complicated process that has affected several sectors of society, including economics, politics, culture, and the environment. Here are some of the most important characteristics of globalization: economic globalization, global supply chains, migration, mobility, and political governing issues.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Early Modern, Economic Activity, Globalization Process, Good Service, Silk Road.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

Globalization, also known as globalization, is the process of contact and integration among people, businesses, and governments all over the globe. The term globalization first appeared in the early twentieth century (replacing the earlier French term mondialisation), developed its current meaning in the second half of the twentieth century, and became popular in the 1990s to describe the unprecedented international connectivity of the post-Cold War world. Its beginnings may be traced back to the 18th and 19th centuries, when transportation and communication technologies advanced. As global relationships have increased, so has international commerce and the interchange of ideas, beliefs, and culture. Globalization is essentially an economic process of connection and integration with social and cultural implications. However, disagreements and international diplomacy have played an important role in the history of globalization, as well as in current globalization [1].

Globalization includes products, services, data, technology, and economic capital resources. The globalization of markets liberalizes economic activity such as the interchange of products and cash. The elimination of cross-border trade restrictions has made the establishment of global marketplaces more viable.

Transportation advancements such as the steam locomotive, steamship, jet engine, and container ships, as well as advancements in telecommunication infrastructure such as the telegraph, Internet, mobile phones, and smartphones, have been major factors in globalization, resulting in greater interdependence of economic and cultural activities around the world. Though many researchers attribute globalization's roots to the contemporary era, some date it back to well before the European Age of Discovery and journeys to the New World, and some even to the third century BCE. Large-scale globalization started in the 1820s and caused a fast development in the interconnection of the world's economy and cultures in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Sociologist Saskia Sassen popularized the phrase global city in her 1991 book *The Global City: New York, London, Tokyo*. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) defined four fundamental dimensions of globalization in 2000: commerce and transactions, money and investment movements, migration and human mobility, and knowledge diffusion. Business and work organization, economics, sociocultural resources, and the natural environment all have an impact on and are influenced by globalization processes. Globalization is typically divided into three broad categories in academic literature: economic globalization, cultural globalization, and political globalization.

**Globalization Characteristics**

This notion has helped enterprises in manufacturing and distribution to achieve economies of scale. It has also pushed corporations and governments to outsource and transfer technology, strengthening their dependency. The following are the major features of globalisation: Globalisation has increased trade volumes between states with minimum intervention. The reason for this is because governments do not micromanage every detail of economic operations. The Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of nations that have adopted globalisation has expanded greatly as well, delivering more wealth. It has also resulted in improved government collaboration, which leads to additional trade progress [2].

**Liberalization:** One of the most important aspects of globalization is the improvement in the business environment for firms. It has aided entrepreneurs in establishing firms and conducting transactions both inside and beyond the nation. Because of globalisation, the laws and regulations for businesses have been dramatically eased to allow for greater commerce across countries. Governments are pushed to make additional concessions to industry as trade restrictions become more flexible. Both liberalization and globalization are interdependent.

**Increased Employment:** Every industry is responsible for both direct and indirect employment creation. And increased output has a favorable impact on employment. Globalisation enables businesses to expand their production capacity and establish operations in other regions of the globe. It also helps to increase job prospects in the nations where these firms have established themselves. Globalization has aided countries in improving their trading links with one another. It has enhanced people's and companies' contact. Better connection also benefits a country's economy and improves its residents' level of life [3].

**Interdependence:** As a result of globalisation, nations have grown increasingly dependent on one another. Businesses will be able to import cheaper raw materials to manufacture their goods. They are also permitted to export to places where their final items are in higher demand. It has aided in the reduction of trade barriers and the expansion of general economic development. Increased people-to-people interaction has facilitated the mixing of cultural practices and traditions. It has enabled individuals to share ideas,

behaviors, and values with people from different nations. As a consequence of globalization, communities are less separated. Several American restaurants, for example, have spread over the globe. Similarly, food from other lands is now widely accessible in the United States.

One of the implications of globalization is a rise in metropolitan centers. When a large number of foreign/local enterprises establish themselves in a certain location, it becomes a hive of economic activity. People who work in such firms need infrastructure such as housing, transportation, stores, and other facilities close to their employment. Globalization encourages the development of urban centers in and around industrial locations. People have more money in their wallets as a result of greater economic activity and job prospects. They also have more alternatives due of increased career prospects. It is one of the primary reasons why globalisation enables an increasing number of individuals to enhance their level of life.

**Production Costs:** In a worldwide world, businesses are free to locate their operations in places with cheap production costs. The low cost of land, labor, and raw supplies has become critical. As a result, it makes sense for businesses to locate where these resources are plentiful and at a low cost. It gives them an advantage over their competitors by cutting expenses and increasing profit margins. Outsourcing is a feature of globalization that enables businesses to bring in third parties from outside the nation to handle certain procedures. They take this action to minimize internal expenses, increase service quality, or both. Outsourcing is a windfall for some human resource-rich nations trying to create jobs. This method has greatly benefited countries such as India and the Philippines. Globalization has aided countries in integrating their economies with the rest of the globe, lowering trade barriers and increasing economic activity significantly. It has also resulted in cultural, social, and technical exchanges that have assisted governments in dealing with internal and foreign difficulties more effectively [4].

**History**

In the historical forces influencing globalization, there are both distal and proximal causes that may be tracked. Globalization on a large scale started in the nineteenth century. Conventionally, archaic

globalization refers to a period in globalization history that includes globalizing events and developments from the time of the oldest civilizations to around the 1600s. This word refers to the links that exist between communities and governments as a result of the geographical spread of ideas and social norms at both the local and regional levels. Three major conditions for globalization are proposed in this schema. The first is the concept of Eastern Origins, which explains how Western governments have adopted and applied learnt concepts from the East. Western globalization would not have arisen as it did without the diffusion of conventional ideas from the East.

Nations' relationships were not on a global scale and were often restricted to Asia, North Africa, the Middle East, and some regions of Europe. During the early stages of globalization, it was difficult for nations to engage with those who were not nearby. Eventually, technical developments enabled governments to learn about the presence of others, allowing for another phase of globalization to emerge. The third relates to interdependence, stability, and consistency. If one state is not reliant on another, neither state can be mutually impacted by the other. This is one of the driving factors behind global connections and commerce; without either, globalization would not have arisen in the manner that it did, and governments would still be reliant on their own output and resources to function. This is one of the debates about the concept of early globalization. It is suggested that ancient globalization did not work in the same way that current globalization does because governments were not as dependent on one another as they are now. Archaic globalization was also said to have a "multi-polar" aspect, with non-Europeans actively participating. Because it predated the Great Divergence in the nineteenth century, when Western Europe surpassed the rest of the world in terms of industrial production and economic output, archaic globalization was fueled not only by Europe but also by other economically developed Old World centers such as Gujarat, Bengal, coastal China, and Japan. Andre Gunder Frank, a German historical economist and sociologist, claims that a type of globalization started with the emergence of commercial relations between Sumer and the Indus Valley civilization in the third millennium BCE. This ancient globalization flourished throughout the Hellenistic Period, when

commercialized urban centers encircled the axis of Greek civilization stretching from India to Spain, including Alexandria and the other Alexandrine towns. Early on, Greece's geographical location and the need to import wheat compelled the Greeks to participate in marine commerce. The state regulated only the supply of grain in ancient Greece, therefore trade was generally open.

### **The First Century Silk Road**

Trade on the Silk Road was a significant factor in the development of civilizations from China, the Indian subcontinent, Persia, Europe, and Arabia, allowing for long-distance political and economic interactions. While silk was undoubtedly the most important trade item from China, common goods such as salt and sugar were also traded; and religions, syncretic philosophies, and various technologies, as well as diseases, also traveled along the Silk Routes. The Silk Road served as a means of carrying out cultural trade among the civilizations along its network, in addition to economic trade. The movement of people, such as refugees, artists, craftsmen, missionaries, robbers, and envoys, resulted in the exchange of religions, art, languages, and new technologies [5].

### **The early modern**

The term "early modern" or "proto-globalization" refers to a time in globalization history that approximately spans the years 1600 to 1800. The term "proto-globalization" was initially used by historians A. G. Hopkins and Christopher Bayly are the authors. The term refers to the period of increasing trade links and cultural exchange that preceded the advent of high "modern globalization" in the late nineteenth century. This period of globalization was marked by the rise of maritime European empires in the 15th and 17th centuries, beginning with the Portuguese Empire (1415), followed by the Spanish Empire (1492), and later the Dutch and British Empires. The 17th century saw the establishment of chartered corporations such as the British East India Company (formed in 1600) and the Dutch East India Company (created in 1602, frequently characterized as the first global company in which stock was sold). According to historians Dennis Flynn and Arturo Giraldez, globalization started with the first voyage of the world by the Magellan-Elcano expedition, which preceded the emergence of the Global Silver Trade.

Expansionism, the technique of managing global commerce, and the amount of information interchange separate early modern globalization from contemporary globalization. The era is distinguished by commercial arrangements such as the East India Company, the transfer of hegemony to Western Europe, the emergence of larger-scale battles between strong states such as the Thirty Years' War, and the emergence of novel commodities, most notably slave trafficking. The Triangular Trade enabled Europe to take use of resources located in the Western Hemisphere. The movement of animal stocks, agricultural crops, and epidemic illnesses linked with Alfred W. Crosby's Columbian Exchange hypothesis was also important in this process. Early modern commerce and communications engaged European, Muslim, Indian, Southeast Asian, and Chinese traders, notably in the Indian Ocean area. The Great Britain, Isambard Kingdom Brunel's groundbreaking ship, was launched in 1843. The United Kingdom was a worldwide powerhouse in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century.

### **Modern**

Several factors aided globalization between 1815 and 1870, according to economic historians Kevin H. O'Rourke, Leandro Prados de la Escosura, and Guillaume Daudin. The end of the Napoleonic Wars signaled the beginning of a period of relative calm throughout Europe. Transportation technological advancements significantly decreased trade expenses. New industrial military technology strengthened European and American might, allowing these countries to forcefully open up markets throughout the globe and expand their empires. In Europe, there is a steady shift toward more openness. As a direct outcome of the Industrial Revolution in the nineteenth century, globalization began to take shape. While fast population expansion provided continued demand for goods, industrialization enabled uniform manufacture of household products employing economies of scale. Steamships dramatically decreased the cost of international transportation in the nineteenth century, while railways cut the cost of interior transit. Between 1820 and 1850, the transportation revolution occurred. More states embraced international trade. Globalization in this era was strongly affected by nineteenth-century imperialism, particularly in Africa

and Asia. The advent of shipping containers in 1956 aided the globalization of trade [6].

Following World War II, political efforts resulted in the Bretton Woods Conference agreements, in which major governments established the framework for international monetary policy, commerce, and finance, as well as the establishment of several international institutions aimed at facilitating economic growth by lowering trade barriers. Initially, the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) sparked a series of trade accords. The World Trade Organization (WTO) succeeded GATT by providing a framework for negotiating and formalizing trade agreements, as well as a dispute settlement procedure. Exports almost quadrupled from 8.5% of total GNP in 1970 to 16.2% in 2001. The idea of leveraging global accords to expand trade hit a snag with the collapse of the Doha Development Round of trade negotiations. Many nations therefore turned to bilateral or smaller multilateral accords, such as the South Korea-US Free Trade Agreement signed in 2011.

Aviation has been more accessible to the middle classes in industrialized nations since the 1970s. Open skies rules and low-cost airlines have aided in increasing market competition. The development of low-cost communication networks in the 1990s reduced the cost of communicating across nations. A computer allows for more work to be done regardless of location. Accounting, software development, and engineering design were all involved. After WWII, student exchange programs were popular, with the goal of increasing participants' awareness and tolerance of various cultures, as well as enhancing their language abilities and widening their social horizons. The number of students studying in a foreign nation grew nine fold between 1963 and 2006.

Since the 1980s, modern globalization has spread rapidly through the expansion of capitalism and neoliberal ideologies. The implementation of neoliberal policies has allowed for the privatization of public industry, deregulation of laws or policies that interfered with the free flow of the market, as well as cut-backs to governmental social services. These neoliberal policies were introduced to many developing countries in the form of structural adjustment programs (SAPs) that were implemented by the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF). These programs required that the country

receiving monetary aid would open its markets to capitalism, privatize public industry, allow free trade, cut social services like healthcare and education and allow the free movement of giant multinational corporations. These programs allowed the World Bank and the IMF to become global financial market regulators that would promote neoliberalism and the creation of free markets for multinational corporations on a global scale.

The interconnectedness of the world's economies and cultures increased rapidly in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. This slowed in the 1910s due to globe Wars and the Cold War, but picked up again in the 1980s and 1990s. The 1989 revolutions and subsequent liberalization in many areas of the globe resulted in a considerable increase in global connectivity. Migration and human mobility may also be emphasized as important aspects of the globalization process. Between 1965 and 1990, the fraction of the work force that migrated almost doubled. The majority of migration happened between developing nations and least developed countries (LDCs). As economic integration increased, people relocated to locations with greater pay, and the majority of the developing world shifted toward the international market economy. The fall of the Soviet Union not only ended the Cold War's partition of the globe; it also left the United States as its single policeman and unrestricted champion of the free market [7].

It also resulted in a growing prominence of attention focused on disease movement, the proliferation of popular culture and consumer values, the growing prominence of international institutions such as the UN, and concerted international action on issues such as the environment and human rights. The late-2000s recession was one crucial event, since it was accompanied with decreased growth (in areas such as cross-border phone calls and Skype use) or even briefly negative growth (in sectors such as commerce) of global interconnection. Beginning in 2018, the trade war between China and the United States had a negative impact on commerce between the two biggest national economies. The COVID-19 pandemic had a significant economic effect, including a significant drop in tourism and international business travel as several nations temporarily closed borders.

The worldwide supply chain crisis of 2021-2021 was caused by temporary shutdowns of production and transportation infrastructure, as well as labor shortages. The economic impact of the 2021 Russian invasion of Ukraine included a blockade of Ukrainian ports and international sanctions against Russia, resulting in some decoupling of the Russian economy from global trade, particularly with the European Union and other Western countries. Economic globalization is the process of increasing economic integration between countries through a rapid increase in cross-border movement of goods, services, technology, and capital. Unlike business globalization, which is centered on the reduction of international trade regulations as well as tariffs, taxes, and other impediments to global trade, economic globalization is the process of increasing economic integration between countries. Globalization of production refers to the acquisition of products and services from a certain source from places throughout the world in order to profit from differences in cost and quality. Similarly, it includes market globalization, which is described as the consolidation of various and distinct marketplaces into a vast global marketplace. Competition, technology, businesses, and industries are all aspects of economic globalization. Current globalization tendencies may be primarily attributed to rich countries integrating with less developed economies via foreign direct investment, trade barrier reductions, other economic reforms, and, in many instances, immigration.

International standards have increased the efficiency of goods and services commerce. The intermodal container is one example of such a standard. Containerization significantly reduced transportation costs, aided the postwar boom in international trade, and was a key component of globalization. International standards are set by the International Organization for Standardization, which is made up of representatives from various national standards organizations. A multinational corporation, also known as a global enterprise, is a company that owns or controls the production of goods or services in one or more countries other than its own. It is also known as an international corporation, a transnational corporation, or a stateless corporation [8].

A free-trade zone is a trading group whose member nations have signed a free-trade agreement (FTA).



Such agreements involve cooperation between at least two countries to reduce trade barriers - import quotas and tariffs - and increase trade of goods and services with each other. If people are also free to move between the countries, it is considered an open border in addition to a free-trade agreement. The European Union, a politico-economic union of 27 member nations largely centered in Europe, is perhaps the world's most important free-trade zone. The European Union has created the European Single Market via a defined set of regulations that apply to all member countries. The EU's policies seek to guarantee the free movement of people, products, services, and money inside the internal market.

Trade facilitation considers ways to enhance processes and restrictions regulating the flow of products across national borders in order to decrease related economic burdens and optimize efficiency while protecting valid regulatory goals. Global commerce in services is also substantial. Business process outsourcing, for example, has been regarded as the "primary engine of the country's development over the next few decades, contributing broadly to GDP growth, employment growth, and poverty alleviation". The theoretical approach to globalization developed by William I. Robinson is a criticism of Wallerstein's World Systems Theory. He argues that the current surge in global capital is the result of a new and different kind of globalization that started in the 1980s. Robinson contends that not only are economic activities expanding beyond national borders, but they are also fragmenting transnationally. One major feature of Robinson's globalization thesis is that commodities production is becoming more global. This implies that a single pair of shoes may be created by six nations, each contributing to a different stage of the manufacturing process.

### **DISCUSSION**

Globalization is a phrase used to describe how commerce and technology have linked and interconnected the globe. Globalization encompasses the economic and societal developments that have resulted as well. It may be compared to the threads of a massive spider web, with the quantity and reach of these strands expanding with time. People, money, material products, ideas, and even sickness and disaster have crossed these silken links in larger

numbers and at a faster rate than ever before in history. Many experts believe it began with Columbus' 1492 trip to the New World. People went to neighboring and distant locations long before Columbus' journey, sharing ideas, goods, and traditions along the route.

The Silk Road, a historic network of trade routes that spanned across China, Central Asia, and the Mediterranean from 50 B.C.E. to 250 C.E., is possibly the most well-known early example. New technologies, as with subsequent globalizing booms, played an important part in Silk Road commerce. Developments in metallurgy resulted in the fabrication of coins; developments in transportation resulted in the construction of highways linking the main empires of the day; and greater agricultural productivity allowed for more food to be transported between locations. Along with Chinese silk, Roman glass, and Arabian spices, things like Buddhist beliefs and paper-making secrets proliferated along these trade tendrils.

These sorts of trades were undeniably enhanced during the Age of Exploration when European sailors seeking new sea routes to Asia's spices and silks instead encountered the Americas. Again, technology played a major part in the flourishing marine commerce routes connecting ancient and newly found continents. New ship designs and the invention of the magnetic compass were critical to the success of the explorers. Trade and idea interchange now stretched to a previously unconnected area of the globe, with ships transporting plants, animals, and Spanish silver between the Old and New Worlds simultaneously transporting Christian missionaries [9].

During the Age of Revolution, ideals of liberty, equality, and fraternity spread like wildfire from America to France to Latin America and beyond, further extending the web of globalization. Through the eighteenth, nineteenth, and twentieth centuries, it rode the waves of industrialization, colonization, and war, propelled by the creation of factories, trains, steamboats, automobiles, and aircraft. Globalization accelerated with the Information Age. Computer and communications technology advancements ushered in a new global age and altered what it meant to be "connected." Because of modern communications satellites, the 1964 Summer Olympics in Tokyo were broadcast for the first time in the United States.

The World Wide Web and the Internet enabled someone in Germany to read in real time about a

breaking news story in Bolivia. A person could travel from Boston, Massachusetts, to London, England, in hours rather than the week or more it would have taken a century before. This digital revolution has a significant influence on economies all around the globe, making them more information-based and interconnected. Economic success or failure at one focal point of the global network may be felt in every major international economy in the contemporary age. The virtues and downsides of globalization are still being debated. The negative of globalization may be evident in the increased danger of disease transmission such as ebola or severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), or in the kind of environmental impact investigated in microcosm in palm oil farms in the tropics by scientist Paul R. Furumo. Of certainly, globalization has had a positive impact. Richer countries can and do now come to the help of poorer countries in crises. Many nations' increasing diversity has resulted in increased opportunities to learn about and enjoy various cultures. A notion of a global community, a worldwide "us," has arisen [10].

### CONCLUSION

Globalization has allowed the interchange of ideas, values, and cultural items among many nations. This encompasses technological advancements, media, entertainment, and consumer goods. It has resulted in the standardization of some cultural characteristics while simultaneously preserving and revitalizing local cultures. Globalization has both good and bad social consequences. While it has aided economic development and poverty reduction in many places, it has also widened income disparities within and between nations. Globalization's advantages are not fairly spread, resulting in societal inequities and challenges to social justice and inclusion. Globalization has profoundly altered the globe, promoting economic development, technical progress, and cultural interaction. It has, however, highlighted issues of economic inequality, social cohesiveness, environmental sustainability, and governance. Understanding and tackling these problems is critical for reaping the advantages of globalization while limiting its negative implications.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. N. I. Sarker, M. A. Hossin, X. Yin, and M. K. Sarkar, "One Belt One Road Initiative of China: Implication for Future of Global Development," *Mod. Econ.*, 2018, doi: 10.4236/me.2018.94040.
- [2] H. Albayati, S. K. Kim, and J. J. Rho, "Accepting financial transactions using blockchain technology and cryptocurrency: A customer perspective approach," *Technol. Soc.*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101320.
- [3] G. Sawant, G. Bane, A. Gurav, and S. Pawar, "Survey on Online Auction System," *Ctrd.*, 2019.
- [4] V. C. Kapsalis, G. L. Kyriakopoulos, and K. G. Aravossis, "Investigation of ecosystem services and circular economy interactions under an inter-organizational framework," *Energies*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/en12091734.
- [5] Z. B. W. L. Wirtschaft, "Crisis Management and Resilience for Restaurants in Romania during the COVID-19 Pandemic," *Management Dynamics in the Knowledge Economy*. 2020.
- [6] M. Yahya and P. Mutarubukwa, "Capacity of Tanzanian Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (Mmses) in Tapping The Business Opportunities in the East," *Bus. Educ. J.*, 2015, doi: 10.54156/cbe.bej.1.1.9.
- [7] S. Giri and S. Shakya, "ICT and Service Delivery Mechanisms in Civil Service of Nepal," *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Mob. Comput.*, 2018.
- [8] D. Basemera and D. Lwanga, "Refugees Adaptability on the Peaceful Coexistence with the Host Communities. A Case of Rwamwanja Refugee Camp- Uganda," *Asian J. Bus. Manag.*, 2021, doi: 10.24203/ajbm.v9i5.6821.
- [9] A. Y. Sheikh Ali, M. S. Dalmar, and A. A. Ali, "Determinants of Economic Growth: Evidence from Somalia," *Int. J. Econ. Financ.*, 2017, doi: 10.5539/ijef.v9n6p200.
- [10] S. Sukiman, "The Ecological Theology of the Indonesian Gayo Tribe: The Integration of Tawhīd Values into their Trade Tradition," *Afkaruna Indones. Interdiscip. J. Islam. Stud.*, 2021, doi: 10.18196/afkaruna.v17i2.11520.

# An Overview on Challenges of Nation Building

Mr. Ashok Bhat

Assistant Professor, Masters in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Email Id-ashokbhat@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *The process of developing or reconstructing a coherent and functional nation-state is referred to as nation-building. It entails a variety of issues that might influence a nation's stability, unity, and progress. Here are some of the most significant obstacles to nation-building. A crucial issue is to establish effective political institutions, such as a representative government, the rule of law, and responsible governance. Creating a system that promotes political stability, legitimacy, and inclusion requires the establishment of functioning institutions, the creation and implementation of a constitution, and the promotion of democratic principles.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Muslim League, National Doctrine, National Movement, Princely States, Sides Border.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

The chapter exposes students to the issues that Independent India faced. It highlights all of the difficulties associated with nation-building. The chapter also discusses the difficulty and the requests made by the princely states prior to signing the instrument of accession. The first few years of Independent India were fraught with difficulties. One of the most important concerns was India's national unity and territorial integrity. The tale of India's independence started with the following problems, which were resolved in the first decade after 1947. Partition brought freedom, but it also brought widespread bloodshed and displacement, challenging the whole concept of Secular India. Inclusion of princely states into the Indian Union. To match the people's ambitions, the country's internal boundaries have to be redrawn.

### The New Nation's Challenges

Our national movement was distinguished by a wide range of voices. Almost everyone agreed on two objectives: First, after independence, our nation will be ruled democratically. Second, the government would be run to benefit all individuals, particularly the poor and socially disadvantaged. After the nation obtained independence, the time arrived to fulfill the promise of liberty. It would be tough given India's terrible birth conditions. Liberation came with the country's split. As a consequence of relocation, 1947 saw unprecedented levels of violence and suffering. I

independent India faced three categories of challenges. The first difficulty was to build a country that was both cohesive and tolerant of our society's diversity. India was a diversified country with the size of a continent. Its inhabitants spoke a variety of languages and followed a variety of cultures and beliefs [1].

Second, establishing democracy was the most difficult obstacle to overcome. The constitution protects basic rights and gives all people the right to vote. India established a parliamentary representative democracy. These features ensure that political rivalry occurs in a democratic setting. A democratic constitution is necessary, but it is not enough to achieve democracy. The purpose was to promote democratic processes that adhered to the Constitution. Third, society as a whole, not just particular groups, needs to be developed and prosperous. The idea of equality was re-enshrined in the Constitution, and greater protection was granted for socially disadvantaged groups, as well as religious and cultural organizations. The Constitution's Directive Principles of State Policy specify the welfare objectives that democratic politics must pursue. The major problem at this moment was devising effective strategies for economic growth and poverty eradication.

### Displacement and Rehabilitation

On December 30, 1906, the All India Muslim League was founded in Dhaka. The league advocated for a separate country made up of Muslim-majority areas. The Congress rejected their demand and the two-nation doctrine. Several events, such as the political

struggle between the Congress and the Muslim League, contributed to the formation of Pakistan.

### **The Partitioning Procedure**

It was unanimously agreed to divide the country into two separate countries, India and Pakistan. The partitioning process was both painful and difficult. It was determined to follow the religious majority concept. It indicated that Muslim-dominated regions would rule Pakistan's geography. The remainder was to be given to India. Although the idea looked simple, it was laden with complexities. In British India, there was no unified belt of Muslim majority districts. There were two concentrated regions, one in the west and one in the east. It was difficult to connect these regions. Pakistan was to be partitioned into two parts: West Pakistan and East Pakistan, with a vast swath of Indian land between them. Second, not every Muslim-majority area wanted to join Pakistan. Khan Abdul Gaffar Khan, often known as 'Frontier Gandhi,' was the uncontested ruler of the North Western Frontier Province and an outspoken opponent of the two-nation doctrine. His voice was eventually drowned out, and the NWFP was obliged to unite with Pakistan [2]

Many people were perplexed and had no idea where they belonged. There were also other provinces that controlled both communities in the area; many individuals were perplexed and didn't know where they belonged in the regions of Punjab and Bengal, which were controlled by both of these populations, Hindus and Muslims. It was eventually agreed to separate these two provinces according to religious majorities at the district or even lower levels. This decision could not be taken by midnight on the 14th or 15th of August. As a consequence, many individuals on Independence Day were unsure whether they were in India or Pakistan. These two provinces were subjected to the most severe damage throughout the partitioning process. Minorities were a source of friction on both sides of the border.

Thousands of Hindus and Sikhs were stuck in Pakistan, while a similar number of Muslims were stranded in India's Punjab and Bengal regions (and, to a lesser degree, Delhi and its surrounding territories). They were going to discover that they were undesired aliens in their own nation, where their families had lived for generations. As soon as it became evident that the nation would be partitioned, minorities on both sides became easy targets. Nobody could have

predicted the magnitude of the crisis. Nobody knew how they were going to handle it. Initially, the public and political leaders thought that the violence would be short and swiftly resolved. The violence, on the other hand, swiftly escalated. Minorities were compelled to abandon their houses in a couple of hours on both sides of the border.

### **The Effects of Partition**

In 1947, one of history's greatest, most unexpected, and devastating population transfers happened. Assassinations and crimes were perpetrated by both sides. In the guise of religion, members of one group slaughtered and wounded members of another. 'Communal zones' may be found in places like Lahore, Amritsar, and Kolkata. Muslim-dominated regions were shunned by Hindu and Sikh-dominated communities. Those who had to escape their homes and traverse borders have suffered greatly. Minorities escaping on both sides of the border regularly found themselves in refugee camps. They regularly confronted recalcitrant local government and police in their own nation. They crossed the new boundary in a variety of methods, the most popular being on foot. They were often assaulted, murdered, or raped. Along the border, thousands of women have been abducted. For the sake of 'family honour,' women were killed by their own families, and many children were separated from their parents.

Those who crossed the border were made destitute and forced to spend years in refugee camps. Indian and Pakistani literature and movies have shown the cruelty of the massacres, relocation, and bloodshed. The survivor's expression "a division of hearts" was commonly used to characterize Partition's anguish. To characterize the anguish, they usually used the term "division of hearts," invented by survivors. The Partition separated the nation politically, administratively, and in terms of property, liability, and asset division. In addition to the police band's equipment, personal property such as tables and chairs was separated. Government and railway employees were likewise 'divided.' It was a violent rift between previously peacefully coexisting populations. As a consequence of partition-related violence, 5,000 to 10,000 people were murdered. However, the Partition brought more than only administrative and financial issues. Leaders of India's national movement rejected the two-nation notion [3].

Despite religious conflict and widespread Muslim migration to Pakistan, India's Muslim population was just 13% in 1951. The Muslim League, which was created to preserve Muslims' rights, was a driving factor behind the establishment of a Muslim state in colonial India. In order to make India a Hindu country, Hindus were similarly organized. The majority of national movement leaders, on the other hand, thought that India should respect all faiths equally and that no faith should be deemed superior to another. Everyone would be treated equally, regardless of faith. Religious beliefs would not determine citizenship. They liked the notion of a secular country as a consequence. The Indian Constitution embraced this ideal.

### **The Union of Princely States**

British India was split into two parts: British Indian Provinces and Princely States. The British government directly managed the British Indian Provinces. The Princely nations, a collection of big and minor nations controlled by princes, on the other hand, kept some power over their internal matters as long as they acknowledged British sovereignty. The British crown's supremacy or suzerainty was referred to as paramountcy. One-third of the British Indian Empire's geographical area was inhabited by princely states, and one in every four Indians lived under princely rule.

### **Problem**

Shortly before independence, the British proclaimed that once their control over India ended, the British crown's sovereignty over the Princely States would expire as well. As a consequence, all 565 of these states obtained formal independence. According to the British administration, all of these nations were free to join either India or Pakistan or to stay independent. The princely rulers of these realms, not the people, made this choice. This was a key issue that threatened the survival of a unified India. The issues started almost immediately. To begin, Travancore's monarch announced the state's independence.

The Nizam of Hyderabad made a similar proclamation the next day. For example, the Nawab of Bhopal was insistent about avoiding participating in the Constituent Assembly. Due to the response of the rulers of the Princely States, India faced the very real threat of being further partitioned into a number of minor nations after independence. The prospects for democracy looked to be equally gloomy in these

nations. This was an unusual position given that the purpose of Indian independence was to foster unity, self-determination, and democracy.

### **The Government's Strategy**

Sardar Patel was instrumental in the consolidation of princely kingdoms. At the time, he was India's deputy prime minister and home minister. He was crucial in negotiating forcefully but politely with the rulers of princely states and bringing the bulk of them into the Indian Union. It may look simple at this stage. However, it was a challenging process that needed delicate persuading. In modern-day Orissa, for example, there were 26 minor states. Gujarat's Saurashtra area was split into 14 big states, 119 minor states, and a variety of smaller administrations. The government's decision was influenced by three factors: To begin, the majority of the citizens of the princely kingdoms indicated a strong desire to join the Indian union [4].

Second, the administration exhibited a willingness to be flexible in providing regional autonomy. The idea was to be responsive to regional needs while accommodating diversity. Third, in the aftermath of Partition, which heightened the discussion over territorial delineation, the integration and consolidation of the nation's geographical borders had taken precedence. Prior to August 15, 1947, practically all of the states whose territory bordered India's new boundaries had been unified via peaceful talks. The majority of states' rulers signed a 'Instrument of Accession,' declaring their state's willingness to join the Union of India. The princely realms of Junagadh, Hyderabad, Kashmir, and Manipur found it more difficult to join than the others. A vote verified the people's desire to join India, and Junagarh was resolved.

Hyderabad, the most populous of the Princely States, was totally surrounded by Indian Territory. Maharashtra, Karnataka, and Andhra Pradesh have all annexed parts of the old Hyderabad state. The ruler's title was Nizam, and he was one of the richest men in the world. The Nizam was supposed to give freedom to Hyderabad. In November 1947, he signed the so-called Standstill Agreement with India for a year while discussions with the Indian administration proceeded. Meanwhile, in Hyderabad State, a popular movement against the Nizam's government rose in intensity. The peasants of Telangana, in particular, suffered under

Nizam's repressive rule and revolted against him. In addition, many women who had faced the most severe types of tyranny joined the campaign. The movement's epicenter was Hyderabad. The Communist Party of India and the Congress of Hyderabad were in the forefront of the agitation. The Nizam retaliated by sending the Razakars, a paramilitary group, to assault the inhabitants. The Razakars' atrocities and communal character were unfathomable. Non-Muslims were particularly slain, wounded, raped, and pillaged. To cope with the issue, the central government was compelled to deploy the army. The Indian army came in September 1948 to gain control of the Nizam's troops. The Nizam surrendered after a few days of sporadic combat. As a consequence, Hyderabad became a part of India.

The instrument of admission was signed a few days before independence between Maharaja of Manipur Bodhachandra Singh and the Indian government, agreeing to protect Manipur's internal autonomy. In response to popular demand, the Maharaja conducted elections in Manipur in June 1948, establishing the state as a constitutional monarchy. As a result, Manipur became India's first state to conduct an election with a universal adult franchise. There were heated debates in Manipur's Legislative Assembly on the state's merger with India. While the state legislature supported the merger, other political parties opposed it.

The Government of India succeeded in forcing the Maharaja into signing a Merger Agreement without consulting Manipur's democratically elected Legislative Assembly in September 1949. The main aim was to determine the Indian states' internal boundaries. In this scenario, there were no administrative silos. The limits had to be defined in such a manner that they represented the country's linguistic and cultural variety while without jeopardizing its unity. During colonial authority, state borders were set for administrative reasons or to align with British-annexed or princely-ruled regions. Our national movement had claimed to reject these artificial divides by using the linguistic concept as the foundation for state construction [5].

The notion was recognized as the cornerstone for the reorganization of the Indian National Congress party after the Nagpur session of Congress in 1920. A swarm of Provincial Congress Committees were

founded by language zones that did not adhere to British India's administrative boundaries. After Independence and Partition, everything changed. Our predecessors were certain that splitting nations based on their languages would result in turmoil and collapse. This was also supposed to divert attention away from the country's other social and economic concerns. The central leadership decided to postpone action. The delay was also thought necessary since the destiny of the Princely States remained unknown. The population and local leaders put national leadership to the test. The ancient Madras province's Telugu-speaking territories, which encompassed modern-day Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, and Karnataka, were the first to rebel.

#### **Vishal Andhra's Movement**

The Vishal Andhra movement advocated for the separation of Telugu-speaking districts from the Madras province, which encompassed them, and the formation of a distinct Andhra province. Because of the central government's vacillation, the movement gained traction: Potti Sriramulu, a seasoned Gandhian and Congress activist, undertook a 56-day fast that culminated in his death. This resulted in widespread agitation and violent eruptions in Andhra Pradesh. A big number of people demonstrated in the streets. As a consequence of police shootings, many persons have been wounded or killed. The Prime Minister declared the foundation of a distinct Andhra state in December 1952. (The first state to be founded on linguistic ideas). The foundation of Andhra Pradesh triggered a debate for the development of linguistically different states in other regions of the nation. As a consequence of these tensions, the Central Government was obliged to form a States Reorganization Commission in 1953 to explore the subject of redrawing state borders.

The Commission declared in its report that the state's limits should correspond to the boundaries of several languages. The States Reorganization Act of 1956 was enacted in reaction to the findings. As a consequence of this, fourteen states and six union territories were formed. In the early years, one of the primary worries was that proposals for separate states would jeopardize the country's cohesiveness. Language states were expected to promote secession and create pressure on the newly established country. However, owing to public pressure, the leadership finally picked linguistic states. By embracing all regional and linguistic claims,

the prospect of separation and separatist was believed to be eliminated. Meeting regional needs and forming linguistic states were also seen as more democratic.

### DISCUSSION

After governing the subcontinent for nearly 200 years, the British departed India on August 15, 1947, and it became a free country. It was a joyous occasion. New Delhi seemed to be in a festive and celebratory atmosphere. While waiting for midnight, Premier Nehru declared in his statement on the occasion, "Long years ago we made a tryst with destiny, and now the time has come when we shall redeem our pledge, not entirely or fully, but substantially." At the stroke of midnight, while the rest of the world is sleeping, India will be reawakened to life and independence. A unique time in history occurs when we transition from the old to the new, when an era ends, and when the repressed spirit of a country finds expression [6]. Political cohesion and nation building looked to be a massive difficulty for India on the verge of independence. Furthermore, large-scale sectarian violence and the inflow of millions of refugees from Pakistan brought massive issues with them. Outside armies invaded Jammu and Kashmir while this was going on. The dilemma was further compounded by the issue of governmental reorganization and disagreements over linguistic identity. Because these issues were significant, they tended to destroy the nation's cohesive fabric. According to M C Setalwad, "the founding fathers were painfully conscious that the feeling of Indian nationhood was still in the making and required careful nurturing."

#### Difficulties on the day of independence

On the brink of independence, India confronted three difficulties. These were some examples:

- (1) **Compromise and Unity:** The first difficulty was to create a country that was cohesive but yet accommodating of our society's diversity. It was thought that since the nation was of continental size and variety, with several languages, cultures, and faiths, it could not be held unified for long. The divide confirmed the suspicions. Is this a rejection of all regional and sub-regional identities? How did the nation manage to stay integrated? This was the first difficulty after gaining freedom.

- (2) **Establishment of Democracy:** The second task was to build a democracy in which citizens had the right to vote, in addition to other basic rights. Since India had embraced a parliamentary system of government, political rivalry was to be established the foundation of representative democracy. Without a doubt, a democratic constitution was required for the establishment of a democracy. However, building democratic processes in conformity with constitutional standards was as important.

- (3) **Development and well-being:** The third task was to ensure the development and well-being of all segments rather than just a few. Our constitutional goals were to be based on the principle of equality for everyone, with particular consideration given to socially, educationally, economically, and culturally disadvantaged groups, as well as religious minorities. These measures were outlined in directive principles, but implementing them to address poverty and economic development issues was a significant obstacle. Thus, the key concerns for India were national unity and security. The unity has to express cross-cultural and cross-regional identity ambitions.

IN 1947, India was partitioned into two nation states: India and Pakistan. The separation was founded on the Muslim League's two-nation thesis, which stated that India was made up of two peoples, Hindus and Muslims. As a result, the Muslim League wanted a separate state for Muslims. This hypothesis was vigorously challenged by Congress. However, various political events in the 1940s, including the political rivalry between the Congress and the Muslim League, as well as the British strategy of 'divide and rule,' resulted in the partition of India and the establishment of Pakistan [7].

#### Participation process

It had been determined to partition India into two states, India and Pakistan. The Muslim-majority regions were to be relocated to Pakistan. Though the concept seemed to be easy, it was really rather complicated. Several issues arose throughout the partitioning procedure. These were some examples: Muslim-majority areas were not clearly defined: In

India, there was no one Muslim dominant region. There were two concentration zones: one in the east and one in the west. It was not feasible to connect both zones. As a compromise, Pakistan was to be divided into two zones: West Pakistan and East Pakistan, separated by a large stretch of Indian Territory. No Muslim majority regions wanted to be part of Pakistan. The two-nation doctrine was vigorously rejected by Khan Abdul Gaffar Khan, the unchallenged leader of the NWFP and colloquially known as Frontier Gandhi. His voice, however, was disregarded, and the NWFP united with Pakistan. The two Muslim dominant provinces of Punjab and Bengal had vast territories where non-Muslims predominated.

On the 14th or 15th of August 1947, it was not practicable to divide these lands in a single day. As a result, many individuals did not know whether they were in India or Pakistan on the day of independence. The partitioning process in these regions was traumatic. A considerable number of minorities, including Hindus and Sikhs in Pakistan and Muslims in India's Bengal and Punjab, were caught in the crossfire. They were even made easy victims for violent assaults. Political authorities believed that the violence would be brief, but it quickly became out of control. Minorities were forced to leave their houses on short notice, exacerbating the situation.

### **The implications of participation**

The year 1947 saw one of the greatest, most rapid, unplanned, and disastrous population transfers in human history. On both sides of the border, there were massacres and atrocities. People from one group viciously slaughtered and mutilated members of the other community in the name of religion. Cities like as Lahore, Amritsar, and Kolkata were separated into 'communal zones'. Muslims would avoid travelling into regions dominated by Hindus or Sikhs, while Hindus and Sikhs would avoid areas dominated by Muslims.

People suffered greatly as a result of being forced to flee their homes and traverse borders. Minorities on both sides of the border abandoned their homes and often sought temporary sanctuary in "refugee camps." They often encountered unhelpful local government and police in what was formerly their own nation. They crossed the new boundary in a variety of ways, most of which were on foot. Even on the trek, they were often assaulted and murdered. On both sides of

the border, thousands of women have been kidnapped. They were forced to convert to the faith of their captor and marry.

In numerous instances, women were murdered by members of their own families in order to protect "family honor." A large number of youngsters were removed from their parents. Those who did manage to cross the border discovered that they had no place to live. For many of these 'refugees,' the country's liberation meant living in 'refugee camps' for months, if not years. In their novels, short tales, poems, and films, Indian and Pakistani writers, poets, and filmmakers have depicted the cruelty of the murders as well as the anguish of relocation and violence. While recounting the anguish of Partition, they have often utilized the expression used by survivors to characterize partition - "division of hearts [8]." The partition was more than just a separation of properties, obligations, and assets; it was also a political divide of the kingdom and its administrative machinery. Financial assets, as well as tables, seats, typewriters, paper clips, books, and even musical instruments from the police band, were split! Employees of the government and the railroads were likewise 'divided'. Above all, it was a brutal separation of groups that had previously lived as neighbors. It is believed that the Partition prompted over 80 lakh individuals to cross the new boundary. In partition-related violence, between 5 and 10 lakh people were murdered.

Aside from administrative issues and budgetary pressures, the divide raised a more serious issue. The leaders of the Indian national movement rejected the two-nation doctrine. Nonetheless, religious division had occurred. Did this immediately make India a Hindu nation? Despite large-scale Muslim migration to the newly formed Pakistan, the Muslim community in India accounted for 12% of the overall population in 1951. So, how will the Indian government handle Muslims and other religious minorities (Sikhs, Christians, Jains, Buddhists, Parsis, and Jews)? The split had already exacerbated tensions between the two populations [9], [10].

Behind these clashes were opposing political interests. The Muslim League was established to safeguard the interests of Muslims in colonial India. It was in the vanguard of calls for a separate Muslim homeland. Similarly, there were organizations attempting to



organize Hindus in order to transform India into a Hindu country. However, most leaders of the national movement thought that India should treat people of all faiths equally and that India should not be a country that treated people of one faith better than those of another. All citizens, regardless of religion, would be treated equally. Being religious or a believer would not be a citizenship requirement. As a result, they loved the notion of a secular society.

### CONCLUSION

Many countries are made up of people from many ethnic, religious, and cultural backgrounds. It is critical to ensure the inclusion, involvement, and representation of these varied groups in order to build a feeling of belonging and national unity. Managing future disputes, building social cohesiveness, and resolving past grievances, on the other hand, may be complicated and difficult. Economic growth is critical for nation-building. To achieve long-term economic development, reduce poverty, and improve living standards, challenges like as unemployment, inequality, corruption, and inadequate infrastructure must be addressed. Creating successful economic policies, attracting investments, and encouraging entrepreneurship are all critical components of nation-building. Nation-building is a complicated and continuing process that needs long-term commitment, coordination, and the participation of several stakeholders. Overcoming these issues requires inclusive and participatory methods, competent leadership, robust institutions, and plans for long-term development. Each nation's context is distinct, and nation-building techniques must be adjusted to the particular difficulties and possibilities that it confronts.

### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Wade, "Mestizaje and conviviality in Brazil, Colombia, and Mexico," in *Convivial Constellations in Latin America: From Colonial to Contemporary Times*, 2020. doi: 10.4324/9780367817176-6.
- [2] K. Woellert, P. Ehrenfreund, A. J. Ricco, and H. Hertzfeld, "Cubesats: Cost-effective science and technology platforms for emerging and developing nations," *Adv. Sp. Res.*, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2010.10.009.
- [3] A. Portilla, S. Gibbs, and K. Rismanchi, "Sustainable Finance Policy & Regulation: The Case for Greater International Alignment," *Inst. Int. Financ.*, 2020.
- [4] B. A. Donini, "Humanitarian Agenda 2015 Afghanistan Country Study," *Politics*, 2006.
- [5] M. Clüsener-Godt and M. R. Cárdenas Tomažič, "The Importance of Mangrove Ecosystems for Nature Protection and Food Productivity: Actions of UNESCO's Man and the Biosphere Programme," *Halophytes Food Secur. Dry Lands*, 2016.
- [6] S. K. Mitra, C. Baxter, Y. K. Malik, C. H. Kennedy, and R. C. Oberst, "Government and Politics in South Asia," *Pac. Aff.*, 2002, doi: 10.2307/4127377.
- [7] R. Ponzio, "UN Peacebuilding Commission: origins and initial practice," *Disarm. Forum*, 2007.
- [8] K. Klotzle, "International Strategies in Fragile States: Expanding the Toolbox?," *CAP Policy Anal.*, 2006.
- [9] V. Radunovic, "Towards A Secure Cyberspace Via Regional Co-operation," 2017.
- [10] J. Steele, "BOOK REVIEW | Oceans 2020: Science, Trends, and the Challenge of Sustainability," *Oceanography*, 2003, doi: 10.5670/oceanog.2003.21.

# A Brief Overview on Era of One-Party Dominance

Ms. Anandasrinivasan Deviprabha

Assistant Professor, Master in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India,  
Email Id-deviprabha@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *The phrase "era of one-party dominance" refers to a political system in which a single political party has a long-term and considerable grip on political power, frequently to the point of being the dominating or governing party for an extended period of time. This tendency has been seen in a number of nations and has serious ramifications for governance, democracy, and political dynamics.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Congress Party, First General, General Election, Opposite Party, Socialist Party.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

Faced with such tremendous obstacles, leaders in many other nations determined that democracy could not be afforded in their countries. They said that national unity was their first objective and that democracy would bring about divisions and disputes. As a result, many of the nations that achieved independence from colonialism faced non-democratic governments. It took different forms, including formal democracy but actual control by a single leader, one-party government, and outright military dictatorship. Non-democratic administrations have always promised to restore democracy as quickly as possible. However, once they had established themselves, it was very difficult to evict them. The situation in India was not much different. However, the leaders of newly independent India chose the harder option. Any other course would have been shocking, since our liberation fight was strongly rooted in the concept of democracy. Our leaders recognized the importance of politics in every democracy. Politics was not seen as a problem; rather, it was viewed as a means of resolving difficulties. Every community must determine how they will rule and manage itself. There are always various policy options to consider. There are several groups with disparate and opposing goals. How can we reconcile these disparities? This issue is addressed by democratic politics [1].

While rivalry and power are the two most obvious aspects of politics, the goal of political action is and

should be to decide and pursue public interest. This is the path our leaders have chosen. You learned how our Constitution was written last year. You may recall that the Constitution was approved on November 26, 1949, signed on January 24, 1950, and went into force on January 26, 1950. The nation was controlled by an interim administration at the time. It was now time to create the country's first democratically elected government. The Constitution had established the ground rules; now it was time to put the mechanism in place. Initially, it was anticipated that this would just take a few months. The Indian Election Commission was established in January 1950. Sukumar Sen was appointed as the first Chief Election Commissioner. The country's first general elections were scheduled for 1950.

However, the Election Commission determined that holding a free and fair election in a nation the size of India would be difficult. The delimitation of electoral districts was necessary in order to hold an election. It also necessitated the preparation of electoral rolls, or a list of all people entitled to vote. Both of these activities required a significant amount of time. There were 17 crore eligible voters at the time, with around 3,200 MLAs and 489 Lok Sabha Members to be elected. Only 15% of those eligible to vote were literate. As a result, the Election Commission was forced to devise a new voting procedure. To organize the elections, the Election Commission trained approximately 3 lakh officers and poll workers. This election was exceptional not just because of the size of the nation and the electorate. In an impoverished and

uneducated society, the first general election was also the first major test of democracy. Democracy had previously existed exclusively in rich nations, namely in Europe and North America, where practically everyone was literate. By that time, several European countries had not granted equal voting rights to all women. In this perspective, India's trial with universal adult franchise was wise.

A presiding officer from Punjab described how he prepared the ballot boxes from the third to the thirteenth general elections to Lok Sabha "Each box had to have its candidate's symbol, both inside and outside it, and outside on either side, the name of the candidate in Urdu, Hindi, and Punjabi, as well as the number of the constituency, the polling station, and the polling booth." The paper seal with the candidate's numerical description, signed by the presiding officer, had to be put in the token frame and its window closed by its door, which had to be fastened in place at the other end by a wire. All of this has to be completed on the day before the election. To repair the symbols and labels, the boxes had to be scratched with sandpaper or a piece of brick first. This task took roughly five hours to accomplish for six people, including my two kids. This was all done at my residence." Electronic Voting Machine This approach was updated after the first two elections. The ballot paper now featured the names and symbols of all the candidates, and the voter was needed to stamp the name of the candidate for whom they want to vote. This strategy was effective for over four decades [2].

The Election Commission began employing EVMs at the end of the 1990s. By 2004, the EVM had been adopted across the nation. It was dubbed "the biggest gamble in history" by an Indian editor. According to Organiser, Jawaharlal Nehru "would live to confess the failure of universal adult franchise in India." According to a British member of the Indian Civil Service, "a future and more enlightened age will look on the absurd farce of recording the votes of millions of illiterate people with astonishment." Elections had to be postponed twice before eventually taking place from October 1951 to February 1952. However, since most of the nation voted in January 1952, this election is known as the 1952 election. The campaigning, polling, and counting took six months to complete. Elections were contested, with more than four candidates running for each seat on average. The level

of participation was promising, with more than half of eligible voters voting on Election Day. When the results were announced, even the losers agreed that they were fair.

The Indian experiment proved the opponents incorrect. According to the Times of India, the polls "confounded all those skeptics who thought the introduction of adult franchise was too risky an experiment in this country." According to the Hindustan Times, "there is universal agreement that the Indian people have conducted themselves admirably in the world's largest experiment in democratic elections." Observers from other countries were similarly amazed. The general election in India in 1952 constituted a watershed moment in the history of democracy across the globe. It was no longer feasible to say that democratic elections could not be conducted in poor or uneducated areas. It demonstrated that democracy could be practiced wherever on the planet. Maulana Abul Kalam Azad (1888-1958): actual name Abul Kalam Mohiyuddin Ahmed; Muslim scholar; independence fighter and Congress politician; supporter of Hindu-Muslim unity; opponent of partition; member of Constituent Assembly; Education Minister in India's first government.

Congress' domination in the first three general elections No one was surprised by the results of the first general election. This election was projected to be won by the Indian National Congress. The Congress party, as it was generally called, had inherited the national movement's heritage. It was the only party at the time with a nationwide organization. Finally, the party had the most popular and charismatic leader in Indian politics in Jawaharlal Nehru. He oversaw the Congress campaign and traveled the nation. Many people were surprised by the size of the Congress's triumph when the final results were announced. The party won 364 of the 489 seats in the first Lok Sabha, much outnumbering any other competitor.

The Communist Party of India, which finished in second place in terms of seats, gained just 16 seats. Can you identify the states where the Congress had a significant presence? In which states did the other parties do quite well? Please keep in mind that this is not a scale map and should not be interpreted as an accurate representation of India's exterior borders. Except for Travancore-Cochin (now part of Kerala),

Madras, and Orissa, it gained a majority of seats in every state. Even in these states, the Congress eventually created the government. As a result, the party controlled at both the national and state levels across the country. Following the first general election, Jawaharlal Nehru was elected Prime Minister. A glance at the election map on the preceding page will give you an idea of the Congress's dominance from 1952 to 1962. The Congress retained its position in the Lok Sabha by winning three-fourths of the seats in the second and third general elections, held in 1957 and 1962, respectively [3].

None of the opposition parties were able to gain even one-tenth of the seats won by the Congress. In a few occasions, the Congress did not win a majority in the state assembly elections. The most notable of these instances occurred in Kerala in 1957, when a coalition headed by the CPI assumed the government. Aside from such exclusions, the Congress ruled over the national and state governments. Our voting system unduly inflated the magnitude of the Congress's triumph. The Congress won three out of every four seats, however it received just half of the votes cast. In 1952, for example, the Congress received 45 percent of all votes cast. However, it was able to get 74% of the seats.

The votes of all non-Congress candidates totaled greater than the votes of the Congress. However, non-Congress votes were split among other opposition parties and candidates. So the Congress was still far ahead of the opposition and won. Rajkumari Amrit Kaur (1889-1964): Gandhian and freedom fighter; belonged to the royal dynasty of Kapurthala; acquired Christian faith from her mother; member of Constituent Assembly; Minister for Health in independent India's first government; stayed as Minister for Health till 1957. The Congress party tasted loss in Kerala as early as 1957. The Communist Party gained the most seats in the Kerala legislature in the March 1957 assembly elections. The party received backing from five independents and won 60 of the 126 seats. E. was invited by the governor. The cabinet will be formed by M. S. Namboodiripad, the head of the Communist legislative party. For the first time in history, a Communist party administration was elected by democratic means.

When the Congress party lost control in the state, it launched a 'liberation fight' against the elected

government. The CPI had won power on the promise of implementing bold and progressive policy changes. The Communists alleged that entrenched interests and religious organizations were behind the movement. Under Article 356 of the Constitution, the Congress administration at the Centre dismissed the Communist government of Kerala in 1959. This judgment was strongly criticized and often considered as the first instance of the abuse of constitutional emergency powers. After his cabinet was ousted from office in Trivandrum in August 1959, E.M.S.

A group of youthful leaders who sought a more radical and egalitarian Congress created the Congress Socialist Party (CSP) inside the Congress in 1934. The Congress revised its constitution in 1948 to prohibit its members from holding dual party membership. As a result, the Socialists were obliged to create a separate Socialist Party in 1948. The Party's election results disappointed many of its followers. Despite having a presence in the majority of Indian states, the Party could only attain electoral victory in a few places. The socialists adhered to the philosophy of democratic socialism, which distanced them from both the Congress and the Communists. They accused the Congress of favoring capitalists and landowners while disregarding workers and peasants. But the socialists were caught off guard when the Congress announced its objective to be the socialist pattern of society in 1955. As a result, socialists found it difficult to position themselves as a viable alternative to Congress [4].

Some of them, headed by Rammanohar Lohia, distanced themselves from and criticized the Congress party. Others, such as Asoka Mehta, called for limited collaboration with Congress. The Socialist Party divided and reformed many times, resulting in the foundation of other socialist parties. The Kisan Mazdoor Praja Party, the Praja Socialist Party, and the Samyukta Socialist Party were among them. Achyut Patwardhan, Jayaprakash Narayan, Asoka Mehta, Acharya Narendra Dev, Rammanohar Lohia, and S.M. Joshi was a member of the socialist parties' leadership. Many modern Indian parties, including the Samajwadi Party, the Rashtriya Janata Dal, the Janata Dal (United), and the Janata Dal (Secular), may be traced back to the Socialist Party. Acharya Narendra Dev (1889-1956): Freedom fighter and founding President of the Congress Socialist Party; jailed several times

during the freedom movement; active in the peasants' movement; a Buddhist scholar; led the Socialist Party and later the Praja Socialist Party after independence. Many other examples can be found around the world. Babasaheb Bhimrao Ramji Ambedkar (1891-1956): Leader of the anti-caste movement and the struggle for Dalit justice; scholar and intellectual; founder of the Independent Labour Party; later founded the Scheduled Castes Federation; planned the formation of the Republican Party of India; Member of the Viceroy's Executive Council during World War II; Chairman, Drafting Committee of the Constituent Assembly; Min of one-party control. However, there is a significant gap between these and the Indian experience. In the remaining situations, one party's control was assured by sacrificing democracy.

In certain nations, such as China, Cuba, and Syria, the constitution allows just one party to reign. Others, such as Myanmar, Belarus, Egypt, and Eritrea, have practically become one-party governments as a result of legal and military means. Mexico, South Korea, and Taiwan were all virtually one-party nations until recently. What differentiated the Congress party's dominance in India from all other occurrences was that it occurred under democratic settings. Despite the fact that several parties ran in free and fair elections, the Congress won election after election. This was analogous to the African National Congress's supremacy in South Africa after the end of apartheid. The origins of the Congress party's unprecedented success may be traced back to the heritage of the liberation fight. Congress was seen as the heir of the national movement [5].

Most significantly, since the Congress was a national movement until recently, its character was inclusive. All of these things led to the Congress party's supremacy. Congress as a social and ideological alliance You have previously examined the evolution of Congress from its beginnings as a pressure organization for the newly educated, professional, and commercial classes in 1885 to a popular movement in the twentieth century. This provided the groundwork for its ultimate metamorphosis into a mass political party and eventual dominance of the political system. As a result, the Congress started as a party dominated by English-speaking upper castes, upper middle-classes, and urban elites. However, with each civil disobedience campaign it organized, its social base

grew. It brought together various parties with frequently conflicting interests. The Congress accommodated peasants and industrialists, city residents and rural, workers and proprietors, middle, lower, and higher classes and castes. Its leadership gradually extended beyond high caste and upper class professionals to agriculture-based leaders with a rural focus.

By the time of independence, the Congress had evolved into a rainbow-colored social alliance that represented India's variety in terms of classes and castes, faiths and languages, and diverse interests. Many of these organizations blended their identities inside Congress. They often did not, and they continued to exist inside Congress as organizations and people with diverse opinions. In this sense, the Congress was also an ideological alliance. It catered to the revolutionary and the pacifist, the conservative and the radical, the extreme and the moderate, as well as the right, left, and all shades of the center. The Congress served as a 'platform' for many organizations, interests, and even political parties to participate in the national movement. Many organizations and parties with their own constitutions and organizational structures were permitted to function inside the Congress prior to independence. Rafi Ahmed Kidwai (1894-1954): Congress Congressman from Uttar Pradesh; Minister in Uttar Pradesh. Between 1937 and 1946; Minister for Communications in the first free India administration; Food and Agriculture Minister, 1952-54. In the early 1920s, communist parties arose in various areas of India, drawing inspiration from Russia's Bolshevik revolution and espousing socialism as a solution to the country's issues. Since 1935, the Communists have mostly operated inside the Indian National Congress. The Communists split apart in December 1941, when they opted to back the British in their struggle against Nazi Germany.

Unlike other non-Congress parties at the time of independence, the CPI had a well-oiled party infrastructure and a loyal cadre. However, the party's reaction to independence was mixed. The fundamental issue that vexed the party was the nature of Indian independence. Was India really free, or was it a sham? Soon after independence, the party believed that the transfer of power in 1947 was not actual independence and promoted Telangana's violent uprisings. The

Communists were destroyed by the armed forces after failing to gain public support for their cause. This pushed them to reconsider their stance. The Communist Party abandoned the road of armed revolution in 1951 and opted to run in the next general elections. The CPI gained 16 seats in the first general election, making it the biggest opposition party.

For starters, a coalition includes everyone who joins it. As a result, it must avoid extreme positions and achieve a balance on almost all subjects. A coalition is defined by its willingness to compromise and be inclusive. This technique placed the opponent in a tough situation. Anything the opposition wanted to say would be included in the Congress's agenda and philosophy. Second, with a coalition party, there is a greater tolerance of internal disagreements, and the objectives of diverse factions and leaders are accommodated.

The Congress performed both of these things throughout the liberation fight and continued to do so after independence. That is why, even if a group was dissatisfied with the party's position or its share of power, it would stay inside the party and battle the other factions rather than quitting and forming a 'opposition'. These groupings inside the party are known as factions. The Congress party's coalitional character accepted and even fostered diverse groups. Some of these divisions were founded on intellectual reasons, but the majority of them were founded on personal aspirations and rivalries. Internal factionalism became a strength of Let's watch a Marathi film *Simhasan* This Marathi film, based on Arun Sadhu's two books 'Simhasan' and 'Mumbai Dinank,' shows the fight for the job of Chief Minister in Maharashtra. The narrative is narrated as the silent 'Sutradhar' by journalist Digu Tipnis. It attempts to represent the heated power struggle inside the governing party as well as the Opposition's secondary role [6].

It emphasized the concept of one country, one culture, and one nation, and felt that by embracing Indian culture and traditions, the country could become modern, progressive, and powerful. In Akhand Bharat, the party advocated for the reunification of India and Pakistan. The party was in the forefront of the movement to replace English as India's official language with Hindi, and it was also hostile to religious and cultural minorities receiving

concessions. The party was an outspoken supporter of India obtaining nuclear weapons, particularly after China conducted its nuclear tests in 1964. In the 1950s, the Jana Sangh remained on the periphery of electoral politics, securing just three Lok Sabha seats in the 1952 elections and four seats in the 1957 general elections to the Lok Sabha.

Because there was opportunity inside the party for diverse groups to oppose each other, leaders representing varied interests and ideologies chose to stay within the Congress rather than start a new party. The majority of the state units of the Congress were made up of a variety of groups. The divisions had varied ideological viewpoints, giving the impression that the Congress was a big centrist party. The other parties largely sought to sway these groups and therefore indirectly influence policy and decision making from the "margins." They were a long way from really exercising power. They were not alternatives to the governing party; rather, they continually pressed, criticized, censured, and influenced the Congress.

The faction system served as a balancing mechanism within the governing party. As a result, political rivalry arose inside the Congress. In this sense, throughout the first decade of electoral competition, the Congress served as both the governing and opposition parties. That is why this era in Indian politics is known as the "Congress system." When opposition parties first appeared, I believed they were an illness that needed to be treated. You make factions seem to be normal and beneficial. As previously said, India did not lack opposition parties throughout this time period. We have already come across the names of various parties other than the Congress while debating the election results. Even back then, India had a more diversified and lively opposition party scene than many other multi-party democracies. Some of these existed prior to the first general election in 1952. Some of these parties were influential in the country's politics in the 1960s and 1970s. Almost all of today's non-Congress parties may be traced back to one of the opposition parties of the 1950s. During this time, all of the opposition parties were able to secure just a token presence in the Lok Sabha and state legislatures [7].

Nonetheless, their existence was critical in retaining the system's democratic nature. These parties provided

consistent and often principled criticism of the Congress party's policies and conduct. This held the governing party in check and often shifted the balance of power inside Congress. "Tug of War" (29 August 1954), a cartoonist's impression of the relative strength of the opposition and the government, keeps the democratic political option alive. Nehru and his cabinet members are seated on the tree. Opposition leaders A and B are attempting to topple the tree. K. Gopalan, Acharya Kripalani, N.C. Chatterjee, Srikantan Nair, and Sardar Hukum Singh are among those who have contributed to this work. These parties kept the system's discontent from becoming anti-democratic. These parties also trained the leaders who would play an important role in molding our nation. There was a lot of mutual respect between the leaders of the Congress and the opposition in the early years. Opposition figures such as Dr. Ambedkar and Shyama Prasad Mukherjee served in the interim administration that administered the nation after the proclamation of independence and the first general election. Jawaharlal Nehru often mentioned his affection for the Socialist Party and asked socialist leaders like as Jayaprakash Narayan to join his ministry.

### DISCUSSION

The Congress retained its position in the Lok Sabha by winning three-fourths of the seats in the second and third general elections, held in 1957 and 1962, respectively. None of the opposing parties were able to gain even one-tenth of the seats won by Congress. Congress did not win a majority in a few state assembly elections. The most notable of these instances occurred in Kerala in 1957, when a coalition headed by the CPI assumed the government. The Congress won three out of every four seats, however it received just half of the votes cast. In 1952, for example, Congress received 45 percent of all votes cast. However, it was able to get 74% of the seats. The Socialist Party, the country's second-largest party in terms of votes, received more over 10% of the vote nationwide. However, it was unable to get even 3% of the seats. In our country's election system, the party that receives the most votes receives far more than its proportionate share. That is precisely what worked in Congress' favor. The votes of all non-Congress candidates combined together exceeded the votes of the Congress. The non-Congress votes were split

among several opposing parties and candidates. So the Congress was still far ahead of the opposition and won. India was not the first nation to suffer party dominance, but the difference was that democracy in India was not jeopardized. In nations such as China, Cuba, and Syria, the constitution allows for just one party to run the country. Others, such as Myanmar, Belarus, Egypt, and Eritrea, are virtually one-party governments as a result of legal and military actions. Despite the fact that several parties ran in free and fair elections, Congress was able to win election after election. This was analogous to the African National Congress's supremacy in South Africa after the end of apartheid. The origins of the Congress party's unprecedented success may be traced back to the heritage of the liberation fight. Congress was considered as the heir of the national movement, with many leaders who had been at the forefront of that battle now running for Congress. The Congress was already a highly well-organized party, and the Congress had already begun its campaign before the other parties could even conceive of a plan. The Congress had the 'first off the blocks' advantage. By the time of independence, the party had not only extended throughout the country as seen on the maps but also had an organizational network down to the local level. All of these things led to the Congress party's supremacy [8].

In the twentieth century, Congress developed from a pressure organization for the newly educated, professional, and commercial classes in 1885 to a popular movement. The Congress Party originated as an English-speaking, upper caste, upper-middle-class, and urban elite-dominated party. However, with each civil disobedience campaign it organized, its social base grew. Diverse groups with various interests gathered. The Congress accommodated peasants and industrialists, city residents and rural, workers and proprietors, middle, lower, and higher classes and castes. Leadership grew beyond the privileged class and professions to include agricultural leaders. The Congress evolved into a rainbow-colored social alliance that widely represented India's variety in terms of classes and castes, faiths and languages, and diverse interests. Many of these organizations blended their identities inside Congress. They often did not, and they continued to exist inside Congress as organizations and people with diverse opinions. As a

single party, Congress accepted many ideologies. It catered to the revolutionary and the pacifist, the conservative and the radical, the extreme and the moderate, as well as the right, left, and all shades of the center.

The Congress served as a 'platform' for many organizations, interests, and even political parties to participate in the national movement. Many organizations and parties with their own constitutions and organizational structures were permitted to function inside the Congress prior to independence. Some of them, such as the Congress Socialist Party, subsequently broke away from the Congress to become opposition parties. The Congress's coalition-like nature gave it remarkable power. For starters, a coalition includes everyone who joins it. As a result, it must avoid extreme positions and achieve a balance on almost all subjects. A coalition is defined by its willingness to compromise and be inclusive. This technique placed the opponent in a tough situation. Anything the opposition wanted to say would be included in the Congress's agenda and philosophy. Second, with a coalition party, there is a greater tolerance of internal disagreements, and the objectives of diverse factions and leaders are accommodated. Even if a group was dissatisfied with the party's position or its share of power, it would stay inside the party and battle the other factions rather than leaving and forming a 'opposition'.

These groupings inside the party are known as factions. Internal factionalism became a strength of Congress rather than a problem. These groups were founded on beliefs, although they were largely about personal rivalry. Because there was opportunity inside the party for diverse groups to oppose each other, leaders representing varied interests and ideologies chose to stay within the Congress rather than start a new party. The groups adopted various ideological viewpoints, giving Congress the appearance of a big centrist party. The other parties largely sought to sway these groups and therefore indirectly influence policy and decision making from the "margins." They were a long way from really exercising power. They were not alternatives to the governing party; rather, they continually pressed and criticized Congress, censured and influenced it. Within the Congress, there was political struggle. The faction system served as a balancing mechanism within the governing party.

During the first decade of political competition, Congress served as both the governing and opposition parties. The 'Congress system' has been used to define this time in Indian politics. India had a more diversified and lively opposition party landscape than many other multi-party democracies. Some of these existed prior to the first general election in 1952. Almost all of today's non-Congress parties may be traced back to one of the opposition parties of the 1950s. These parties provided consistent and often principled criticism of the Congress party's policies and actions, which held the governing party in check [9], [10].

Jawaharlal Nehru admired the Socialist Party and welcomed socialist leaders like as Jayaprakash Narayan to join his administration. As the Congress's capacity to satisfy all interests and aspirants for political power dwindled, new political parties began to acquire prominence. The domination of Congress is merely one stage in the country's politics. Acharaya Narendra Dev was the leader of the party. The Socialist Party may be traced back to the Indian National Congress's mass movement period before to independence. A group of youthful leaders who sought a more radical and equitable Congress founded the Congress Socialist Party (CSP) in 1934. In 1948, Congress's constitution was amended to prohibit its members from holding multiple party membership, resulting in the founding of the Socialist Party. The performance of the Party was unimpressive and caused significant disappointment. Socialists adhered to the philosophy of democratic socialism, which distanced them from both the Congress and the Communists. They accused the Congress of favoring capitalists and landowners while disregarding workers and peasants. When the Congress announced its objective to establish the socialist pattern of society in 1955, the socialists were caught off guard. The socialists found it difficult to position themselves as a viable alternative to the Congress.

Many politicians, including Ram Manohar Lohia and Ashok Mehta, distanced themselves from and criticized the Congress party. The Socialist Party divided and reformed many times, resulting in the foundation of other socialist parties. The Kisan Mazdoor Praja Party, the Praja Socialist Party, and the Samyukta Socialist Party were among them. Jayaprakash Narayan, Achyut Patwardhan, Asoka



Mehta, Acharya Narendra Dev, Rammanohar Lohia, and S.M. Joshi are among the party's leaders. The Socialist Party gave birth to the Samajwadi Party, the Rashtriya Janata Dal, the Janata Dal (United), and the Janata Dal (Secular).

The CPI was inspired by Russia's 'Bolshevik Revolution' and championed socialism as a solution to the country's issues. In 1935, the Indian National Congress and the Communists worked together for the country; however, the communists eventually split by backing the British in the fight against Nazi Germany in 1941. At the time of independence, the CPI possessed a well-oiled party apparatus and a loyal cadre. Independence also generated diverse voices inside the party. The party believed that the transfer of power in 1947 was unconstitutional and advocated violent uprisings in Telangana. The Communists were destroyed by the armed forces after failing to gain public support for their cause. In 1951, the Communist Party abandoned its course of armed revolution and opted to run in the next general elections.

The CPI emerged as the biggest opposition party in the first general election, winning 16 seats. It remained prominent in states like as Andhra Pradesh, West Bengal, Bihar, and Kerala. A. K. Gopalan, S.A. Dange, E.M.S. Namboodiripad, P.C. Joshi, Ajay Ghosh, and P. Sundarayya were the party's leaders. Following the ideological schism between the Soviet Union and China in 1964, the party experienced a serious split. The CPI remained pro-Soviet, while the CPI(M) remained anti-Soviet. The Bharatiya Janata Dal (BJP) was founded in 1951, with Shyama Prasad Mukherjee as its first President. Before Independence, the party's ancestors included the Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh (RSS) and the Hindu Mahasabha. The Jana Sangh followed a separate philosophy and programs such as 'one country, one culture, and one nation' and felt that the country could become modern, progressive, and powerful on the basis of Indian culture and traditions. In Akhand Bharat, the party advocated for the reunification of India and Pakistan. The party played an important role in the struggle to make 'HINDI' an official language, and it was also opposed to religious minorities being granted concessions. Following China's atomic tests in 1964, the Jana Sangh urged for India to become a nuclear state.

It remained on the periphery of electoral politics, securing just three Lok Sabha seats in the 1952 elections and four in the 1957 general elections to the Lok Sabha. It received the majority of its support from metropolitan regions in Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Delhi, and Uttar Pradesh. Shyama Prasad Mukherjee, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya, and Balraj Madhok were among the party's leaders. The Bharatiya Janata Party is descended from the Bharatiya Janata Sangh. After the Nagpur resolution of the Congress, which advocated for land limitations, state takeover of food grain commerce, and adoption of cooperative farming, the Swatantra Party was created in August 1959. Old Congressmen such as C. Rajagopalachari, K.M. Munshi, N.G. Ranga, and Minoo Masani dominated the party. The Swatantra Party desired that the government become less and less engaged in economic regulation. It claimed that individual liberty was the only way to achieve wealth. It was critical of the state's interference in the economy, centralised planning, nationalization, and the public sector as a development strategy. Instead, it advocated for the growth of a free private sector. The Swatantra Party was opposed to agricultural land limitations as well as cooperative farming and state commerce. It also criticized the progressive tax scheme and requested that the licensing regime be repealed. It criticized non-alignment strategy and preserving amicable relations with the Soviet Union, and called for tighter connections with the United States. Through mergers with other regional parties and interests, the Swatantra Party developed power in various sections of the country. It drew landowners and princes who sought to defend their land and prestige, which were under danger from land reform laws. The party was also backed by industrialists and the business elite who were opposed to nationalization and licensing measures. Its limited social basis and lack of a devoted cadre of party members prevented it from establishing a robust organizational network.

## CONCLUSION

It is crucial to stress that the age of one-party rule is not a universal phenomenon, with varied features and consequences in various nations and settings. While some one-party dominating regimes have successfully navigated the hurdles and developed into more diverse democracies, others have seen democratic governance

and political liberties limited. Transitioning from one-party dominance to a more competitive and inclusive political system often requires considerable political and socioeconomic changes, such as electoral system reform, civil society strengthening, and the promotion of political pluralism.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ziegfeld, "The Authoritarian Origins of Dominant Parties in Democracies: Opposition Fragmentation and Asymmetric Competition in India," *Stud. Comp. Int. Dev.*, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s12116-021-09328-7.
- [2] J. Perovšek, "Anton Korošec and Styrian Liberals," *Stud. Hist. Slov.*, 2021, doi: 10.32874/SHS.2021-09.
- [3] B. H. Arif, "Iran's Struggle for Strategic Dominance in A Post-Isis Iraq," *Asian Aff. (Lond.)*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/03068374.2019.1636525.
- [4] L. Medina, "Crisis, changes and uncertainty: Spanish party system after the great recession," *Port. J. Soc. Sci.*, 2016, doi: 10.1386/pjss.15.2.237\_1.
- [5] R. B. Makhmudov, "The Coronavirus Pandemic and the Transition to the Era of Digital Capital Dominance," *Вестник Удмуртского университета. Социология. Политология. Международные отношения*, 2021, doi: 10.35634/2587-9030-2021-5-3-305-310.
- [6] D. Muchadenyika and J. J. Williams, "Central-local state contestations and urban management in Zimbabwe," *J. Contemp. African Stud.*, 2020, doi: 10.1080/02589001.2020.1746748.
- [7] P. Jain, "Japan's 2019 upper house election: Solidifying Abe, the LDP, and return to a one-party dominant political system," *Asian J. Comp. Polit.*, 2020, doi: 10.1177/2057891119880267.
- [8] D. O'Brien, "Bicameralism in Small States: The Experience of the Commonwealth Caribbean," *J. Imp. Commonw. Hist.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/03086534.2018.1539728.
- [9] A. C. LeVan, "Questioning Tocqueville in Africa: Continuity and change in civil society during Nigeria's democratization," *Democratization*, 2011, doi: 10.1080/13510347.2011.532622.
- [10] J. Perovšek, "Anton Korošec in štajerski liberalci," *Stud. Hist. Slov.*, 2021, doi: 10.32874/shs.2021-09.

# A Brief Overview on the Politics of Planned Development

Dr. Narayana Srikanthreddy

Assistant Professor, Department Of Management, Presidency University, Bangalore, India  
Email Id-srikanthreddy@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *The involvement of political actors, institutions, and processes in defining and executing development policies and strategies is referred to as planned development politics. It entails governments' and other stakeholders' deliberate and purposeful efforts to attain particular development objectives and targets. The political priorities and agendas of governing regimes have an impact on development planning. Based on their ideology, election pledges, and perceived societal needs, political leaders establish areas of attention, distribute resources, and define development targets. The direction and focus of development plans are shaped by the political environment and dynamics.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Bombay Plan, Land Reforms, Planned Development, Planning Commission, Public Sector.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

The ultimate choice in a democracy or democratic society must be a political decision made by people's representatives who are in touch with the emotions of the people. Following independence, everyone agreed that India's development would be based on economic progress as well as social and economic fairness. There was a dispute over what role the government should play in fostering economic progress while also guaranteeing justice.

### Development Concepts

Any development conversation is going to create paradoxes, disagreements, and arguments. 'Development' meant being more 'modern,' and 'modern' meant becoming more like the Western industrialized nations. Growth, material advancement, and scientific reason were all connected with modernization. Despite the divisions, there was one area of agreement: development could not be left to the private sector. As a result, the government needed to create a development blueprint or plan. In 1944, the country's major businessmen created the Bombay Plan, a unified proposal for establishing a planned economy. The Planning Commission was established soon after India gained independence, with the Prime Minister serving as its chairman [1].

### The Initial Initiatives

The announcement of the draft of the First Five Year Plan, followed by the official Plan Document in December 1951, created a lot of enthusiasm throughout the nation. The enthusiasm around planning peaked with the release of the Second Five Year Plan in 1956 and lasted until the release of the Third Five Year Plan in 1961. The First Five Year Plan (1951-1956) focused mostly on agriculture, including dam and irrigation projects. One of the planners' primary goals was to enhance the amount of national income, which could only be accomplished if individuals saved more money than they spent. Industrialization is accelerating. Heavy industries were emphasized in the second Five Year Plan. It was written by a group of economists and planners led by PC Mahalanobis [2].

### Agriculture and Industry

Agriculture was unable to flourish at a significant level after the first two programs. J.C. Kumarappa, a Gandhian economist, suggested an alternate plan that placed a larger focus on rural industrialization. Others believed that there would be no way out of the poverty cycle unless industrial productivity increased dramatically.

### The Public vs. Private Sector

India established a 'mixed economy,' in which components of both the public and private sectors

coexist. Critics said that policymakers failed to give the private sector adequate freedom and impetus to flourish. The expanded public sector established substantial entrenched interests that provided sufficient barriers for private capital.

#### **Major Outcomes of the First Five-Year Plan**

Early endeavors for planned development achieved, at best, the aims of the country's economic growth and the well-being of all its residents. Those who benefited from uneven growth quickly rose to political prominence, making progress even more difficult. The first two plans lay the groundwork for India's future economic development. Megadams such as Bhakhra-Nangal and Hirakund were developed for irrigation and electricity production. During this time, certain heavy enterprises in the public sector--steel factories, oil refineries, manufacturing units, military production, and so on--were established. Significant improvements were made to transportation and communication infrastructure. Zamindari's colonial regime was abolished. Attempts were made to consolidate land by bringing tiny pieces of land together in one location. During the 1960s, the government heavily subsidized high-yielding variety seeds, fertilizers, herbicides, and improved irrigation. This was known as the Green Revolution. The wealthy peasants and great landowners benefited the most from this [3].

Some areas, like as Punjab, Haryana, and Western Uttar Pradesh, developed agriculturally, while others remained underdeveloped. Operation Flood, a rural development initiative, was launched in 1970. Flood organized milk producer cooperatives into a countrywide milk grid with the goal of improving milk output. This was known as the White Revolution. Verghese Kurien is dubbed the 'Milkman of India.' Many additional limitations on private business were imposed beginning in 1967. 14 private banks were nationalized. The Indian economy developed at a slow annual pace of 3 to 3.5% between 1950 and 1980. Because to inefficiency, corruption, and other factors, people lost trust in the country's economic system, resulting in a decrease in the importance of the state in India's economy beginning in the 1980s.

Orissa's restricted iron-resource has been an important investment destination to sign a memorandum of understanding (MoU) to bring in capital investment and job opportunities due to increased global demand

for iron. Some disputes emerged in Orissa, such as tribal populations fearing displacement from their homes and environmentalists concerned about pollution. 'Development' refers to the process of raising one's quality of life and reaching an economic level of industrial output. Immediately after independence, the Indian government took on the responsibilities of poverty alleviation, social and economic redistribution, and agricultural growth. Planning is the methodical management of intentional action in order to attain national objectives. The USSR prompted India to prepare for the provision of fundamental requirements of life, such as higher education, medical care, and technical skills. The 'Bombay Plan' was created in 1944 to encourage nations to make large investments in industrial and other economic sectors [4].

The Planning Commission of India was established in 1950 as a 'Extra-constitutional body' with the Prime Minister as its chairman, ministries in charge, and some additional advising members. It aids in reducing time waste and increasing per capita income. Prior to independence, the necessity for planning was acknowledged, prompting the establishment of the National Planning Committee in the 1930s to gather data and create goals, as well as decide for five-year plans and an annual budget. The first five-year plan, begun in 1951, was developed by economist K.N. Roy with the goal of investing in dams and irrigation, land reforms, and raising the level of national income.

It contrasted from the second five-year plans, which focused on heavy sectors, by enacting rapid structural restructuring. India established a mixed economy to coexist with the private and public sectors in order to achieve fast economic growth aimed at social welfare and private owned means of production to be governed by the state. The second five-year plan emphasized extensive industrialization, especially in rural regions, and was chastised for promoting affluence in urban and industrial areas at the expense of rural welfare. It was also argued on the assumption that the failure was not one of policy but of the landowning elites' inability to execute their policies. During the planning era, there was a major effort at land reform to eliminate the Zamindari system and concentrate the holdings. These were not very effective because to many limitations, including the fact that individuals broke laws under

significant political influence, and some laws remained only on paper.

Between 1965 and 1967, severe droughts struck several sections of the nation, with Bihar experiencing famine. On the other hand, food prices in Bihar reached an all-time high, and owing to the government's zoning policy, trade of food between states was outlawed, reducing food supply in the state. The Green Revolution emphasized new agricultural practices to be given by the government, such as high yielding varieties of seeds, fertilizers, pesticides, and improved irrigation at heavily subsidized costs. Green Revolution improved circumstances for impoverished peasants and made the 'Middle Peasant Sections' politically powerful. However, the Green Revolution had some negative consequences, such as widening the divide between landlords and the poor and delivering only modest agricultural development [5].

Varghese Kurien, renowned as the Milkman of India, began the 'White Revolution' in Gujarat. He founded Gujarat Cooperative Milk and Marketing Federation Ltd., which later became 'Amul'. The Amul pattern evolved into a particularly suitable model for rural development and poverty reduction, which became known as the white revolution. The Kerala model was centered on 'Decentralized Planning' to concentrate on education, health, land reforms, efficient food distribution, and poverty reduction by including people in planning at the panchayat, block, and district levels.

A methodical regulation to make the best use of resources and minimize time waste. An economy in which private sectors take precedence over social wellbeing. It focuses on the public sector and planning in order to create an equal society. The Bombay Plan was a collaborative proposal by a group of major manufacturers to establish a planned economy in the nation, which was to be written in 1944. The Planning Commission was established in March 1950 as a 'extra-constitutional body' to formulate plans for the nation, with the Prime Minister as its Chairman. This is the amount spent over a five-year period based on the priorities established by the plan. A mixed economy is one in which the private and public sectors coexist.

## DISCUSSION

As global demand for steel rises, Orissa, which possesses one of the country's greatest sources of undeveloped iron ore, is emerging as a significant investment destination. The state administration is hoping to capitalize on the exceptional demand for iron ore by signing Memorandums of Understanding (MoU) with both foreign and local steel producers. The administration thinks that this will attract essential capital investment and create a large number of job opportunities. The iron ore deposits are concentrated in some of the state's least developed and mostly tribal communities. The tribal community is concerned that the establishment of industry may force them to leave their homes and livelihoods. Environmentalists are concerned that mining and industries would contaminate the environment.

It is critical to seek guidance from mining specialists, environmentalists, and economics. However, the ultimate choice must be political, made by people's representatives who are in touch with the people's views. Our nation had to make a succession of critical choices like this after gaining independence. Each of these judgments could not be taken in isolation from the others. All of these choices were linked by a same vision or model of economic growth. Each choice has political ramifications. The majority of these problems entailed political judgment and need negotiations among political parties as well as public acceptance. That is why we must analyze the development process as part of India's political history. Development ideas basic frequently, this contestation affects the basic concept of development [6].

The example of Orissa demonstrates that just stating that everyone wants development is insufficient. Because 'progress' means various things to different individuals. Development might imply various things to a businessman wanting to build a steel mill, an urban steel consumer, and an Adivasi who lives in that area. As a result, every conversation about progress is guaranteed to produce paradoxes, disputes, and disagreements. This subject sparked a lot of controversy in the first decade following independence. People used to refer to the 'West' as the norm for assessing progress, as they still do today. 'Development' meant being more 'modern,' and 'modern' meant becoming more like the Western industrialized nations. This was the opinion of both

ordinary people and specialists. Every nation was expected to go through the same modernization process as the West, which included the dissolution of old social institutions and the emergence of capitalism and liberalism. Growth, material advancement, and scientific reason were also connected with modernization.

Politics of Planned Development 49 On the brink of independence, India faced two models of contemporary development: the liberal-capitalist model prevalent in most of Europe and the United States, and the socialist model prevalent in the Soviet Union. You've previously learned about these two philosophies and the 'cold war' between the two superpowers. Many people in India were highly captivated by the Soviet model of growth at the time. These included not only leaders of the Communist Party of India but also leaders of the Socialist Party and Congress leaders such as Nehru. There were relatively few champions of capitalist growth in the American way. This represented a wide agreement that had emerged throughout the national movement.

The nationalist leaders were convinced that the economic interests of the government of free India would have to be distinct from the colonial authority's narrowly defined commercial tasks. Furthermore, it was obvious that the mission of poverty reduction and social and economic redistribution was largely considered as the duty of the government. There were disagreements among them. Industrialization seems to be the favored choice for some. Others prioritized agricultural growth and, in particular, rural poverty reduction. Planning Despite the divisions, there was agreement on one point: development could not be left to private players, and the government needed to produce a development design or plan [7].

There were none, since the Planning Commission is not one of the several commissions and other entities established by the Constitution. The Planning Commission was established by a simple decision of the Government of India in March 1950. It serves as an advisory body, and its proposals become effective only when they have been accepted by the Union Cabinet. It was known as the Bombay Plan. The Bombay Plan called for the government to take considerable steps in industrial and other economic development. Thus, from left to right, growth planning was the most logical decision for the nation after

independence. The Planning Commission was established soon after India gained independence. Its Chairman was the Prime Minister. It became the most powerful and important mechanism for determining India's growth route and strategy. The Early Initiatives the Planning Commission of India, like the Soviet Union, chose five-year plans (FYP).

The concept is straightforward: the Indian government creates a paper outlining its revenue and spending for the next five years. As a result, the central and state governments' budgets are separated into two parts: 'non-plan' money, which is spent on routine things on an annual basis, and 'plan' budget, which is spent on a five-year basis according to the priorities set by the plan. A five-year plan has the benefit of allowing the government to concentrate on the big picture and undertake long-term economic interventions.

The enthusiasm around planning peaked with the release of the Second Five Year Plan in 1956 and lasted until the release of the Third Five Year Plan in 1961. The Fourth Plan was supposed to begin in 1966. By this point, the novelty of planning had faded significantly, and India was in the grip of a severe economic crisis. The fundamental level of expenditure could not be cut any more since it was so low in the 1950s. As a result, the planners attempted to increase savings. That was also challenging since the country's overall capital stock was relatively low in comparison to the whole number of employable individuals. Nonetheless, from the start of the planned procedure through the completion of the Third Five Year Plan, people's savings increased. However, the growth was not as dramatic as anticipated at the start of the First Plan. Later, from the early 1960s through the early 1970s, the country's savings share continually fell.

The Second FYP placed a strong emphasis on heavy industries. Under the direction of P, a team of economists and planners developed it. Mahalanobis C. If the first plan advocated for patience, the second aimed for rapid structural transformation by implementing reforms in all feasible directions at the same time. Before this strategy was finalized, the Congress party approved an important resolution during its assembly in Avadi, near Madras at the time. It said that its purpose was to create a "socialist pattern of society." The second plan mirrored this. To safeguard native sectors, the government levied significant levies on imports. Such a safe atmosphere

aided the growth of both public and private sector enterprises.

Because savings and investment were increasing during this time period, the majority of these businesses, such as electricity, railroads, steel, machinery, and communication, could be developed in the public sector. Indeed, such a drive for industrialization represented a watershed moment in India's history. However, it has its own set of issues. Because India was technologically disadvantaged, it had to spend valuable foreign currency to purchase technology from the global market. Aside from that, since industry drew more investment than agriculture, the threat of a food crisis loomed big. Balancing industry and agriculture proved very challenging for Indian planners. The Third Plan did not change greatly from the Second. Critics pointed out that this time's plan tactics had an evident "urban bias." Others believed that industry had been granted an unfair advantage over farmers.

We have already touched on a major issue: which of agriculture and industry should get greater public resources in a developing country like India? Many people believed that the Second Plan lacked an agricultural development plan, and that the concentration on industry harmed agriculture and rural India. Gandhian economists such as J. C. Kumarappa suggested an alternate plan that emphasized rural industrialization. Decentralised planning, according to Chaudhary Charan Singh, a Congress politician who eventually left the party to join the Bharatiya Lok Dal, is not always essential; nor is planning limited to huge businesses and massive projects.

The 'Kerala model' refers to the state of Kerala's approach to planning and development. This program has prioritized education, health, land reform, efficient food distribution, and poverty reduction. Kerala attained virtually entire literacy, long life expectancy, low infant and female mortality, low birth rates, and great access to medical care despite low per capita earnings and a very limited industrial foundation. Between 1987 and 1991, the government launched the New Democratic Initiative, which included development initiatives (including complete literacy, particularly in science and the environment) aimed at actively involving people in development activities via volunteer citizens' organizations.

It also promoted community development programs and spent big amounts on irrigation projects. Furthermore, they say that even if the government had spent more money on agriculture, the tremendous issue of rural poverty would still exist. India did not follow either of the two known paths to development: it did not accept the capitalist model of development, in which development was entirely left to the private sector, nor did it accept the socialist model, in which private property was abolished and all production was controlled by the state. In India, elements from both of these models were combined. That is why it is referred to as a "mixed economy." A large portion of agriculture, commerce, and manufacturing remained in private hands. The state controlled vital heavy industries, built industrial infrastructure, regulated commerce, and intervened in agriculture. A hybrid model like this drew fire from both the left and the right. Critics said that policymakers failed to give the private sector adequate freedom and impetus to flourish.

Furthermore, the government's strategy of restricting imports of items that could be produced in the local market with little or no competition left the private sector with little incentive to develop and lower the cost of their products. The state had more power than was required, which led to inefficiency and corruption. Then there were many who believed that the government did not go far enough. They pointed out that the state spent very little on public education and healthcare. Only in places where the private sector was unwilling to venture did the state step in. As a result, the government aided the private sector in making a profit. Furthermore, rather than assisting the poor, the state involvement resulted in the formation of a new 'middle class' that enjoyed the benefits of high incomes without any responsibility [8].

Poverty did not decrease much over this time period; even as the percentage of the poor decreased, their numbers increased. Major Outcomes Of the three goals defined in independent India, as outlined in the first three chapters of this book, the third proved the most hardest to achieve. Land reforms were not implemented properly in most sections of the nation; political power remained concentrated in the hands of the landowning elites; and large manufacturers continued to gain and grow while poverty remained relatively stable. Early endeavors for planned

development achieved, at best, the aims of the country's economic growth and the well-being of all its residents. Inability to take substantial moves in this direction in the early stages was to become a political issue.

Those who gained from uneven growth quickly became politically influential, making progress even more difficult. An appraisal of the results of this early era of planned development must begin with understanding that the foundations of India's future economic prosperity were set during this time. During this time, some of India's major development projects were done. These featured irrigation and power generating mega-dams like Bhakhra-Nangal and Hirakud. During this time, certain large industries in the public sector - steel factories, oil refineries, manufacturing units, military production, and so on - were established. Significant improvements were made to transportation and communication infrastructure. Some of these megaprojects have recently received a lot of criticism.

The answer was to give farmers talks and show them beautiful photographs. They were instructed that if they did not wish to cultivate more food for themselves, they should do it for the benefit of the country. As a consequence, posters were placed in numerous locations to encourage farmers to raise grain for the country. Farmers were highly impacted by the combined effect of the lectures and advertisements, and even the most naive grower started to suspect that there was some hidden objective underlying the whole effort. One advertising was particularly well-known in Shivpalganj. It depicted a healthy farmer wearing a turban, earrings, and a quilted jacket, cutting a tall crop of wheat with a sickle.

A lady stood behind him, clearly satisfied with herself; she laughed like a Department of Agriculture employee. 'Grow More Grain' was written in Hindi and English below and above the image. Farmers wearing earrings and a quilted jacket who were also English academics were anticipated to be won over by the English chants, while those who were Hindi scholars were intended to be won over by the Hindi version. Those who couldn't read either language might recognize the figures of the guy and the smiling lady. The government intended that when farmers saw the man and the smiling lady, they would "turn away

from the poster and begin growing more grain like men possessed."

Shrilal Shukla's 'Raag Darbari' translation excerpts. The satire is set in the 1960s in the hamlet of Shivpalganj, Uttar Pradesh. Land reforms in the agricultural sector, there was a genuine effort at land reforms during this era. The eradication of the zamindari colonial system was perhaps the most important and effective of them. This audacious deed not only freed land from the grips of a class with little interest in agriculture, but it also decreased the landlords' ability to influence politics. Land consolidation efforts were also quite effective, bringing tiny pieces of land together in one location so that the farm size could become profitable for agriculture. The other two components of land reform, however, were much less effective. Despite the fact that the regulations were designed to set an upper limit or 'ceiling' on how much agricultural land one individual might hold, those with extra land were able to avoid the law. Similarly, renters who worked on someone else's property were afforded additional legal protection against eviction, although this clause was seldom enforced. It was not simple to translate these well-intended agricultural plans into true and effective action.

This was also the time when the nation faced two wars and a foreign currency crisis, as we will see in the next chapter. As a consequence, several sections of the nation experienced acute food shortages and famine-like circumstances. The food crisis was most strongly felt in Bihar, where the state was on the verge of starvation. Food was scarce in all districts of Bihar, with nine districts generating less than half of their average yield. In fact, five of these districts generated less than one-third of what they regularly did. Food insecurity led to acute and widespread malnutrition. It was predicted that calorie consumption declined from 2200 per capita per day to as low as 1200 in several sections of the state (as opposed to the average person's need of 2450 per day).

Bihar's mortality rate in 1967 was 34% greater than the number of fatalities the following year. Food costs in Bihar reached an all-time high this year, especially when compared to other north Indian states. Wheat and rice prices in the state were twice or three times higher than in the wealthier Punjab. The government enacted "zoning" rules that prevented cross-state food



commerce, significantly reducing food supply in Bihar. In times like these, the poorest members of society suffered the most. The food crisis has several ramifications. It also demonstrates that, despite the best intentions of some top leaders, the dominant social groupings will always successfully dominate policy formulation and execution. In the face of the current food crisis, the nation was visibly exposed to foreign influences and reliant on food assistance, mostly from the United States [9], [10].

### CONCLUSION

Political actors are responsible for tracking and assessing the results and implications of planned development efforts. Effective monitoring and evaluation processes need political will and a commitment to openness and accountability. Data availability and usage, evaluator independence, and readiness to learn from assessment results are all crucial political elements that impact the efficacy and improvement of development projects. The politics of planned development emphasizes the interdependence between politics and development results. A favorable political climate, democratic decision-making processes, strong governance, and the alignment of development goals with the needs and aspirations of people are all required for effective and sustainable development. For fair and revolutionary development, it is critical to balance political interests, promote inclusion, and ensure accountability.

### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Kumar and M. S. Thibodeaux, "Organizational Politics and Planned Organization Change: A pragmatic approach," *Gr. Organ. Manag.*, 1990, doi: 10.1177/105960119001500402.
- [2] C. Smith, "From colonial forestry to 'community-based fire management': the political ecology of fire in Belize's coastal savannas, 1920 to present," *J. Polit. Ecol.*, 2021, doi: 10.2458/JPE.2989.
- [3] N. Raimbault, "From regional planning to port regionalization and urban logistics. The inland port and the governance of logistics development in the Paris region," *J. Transp. Geogr.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2019.06.005.
- [4] H. Wang, R. Tao, and J. Tong, "Trading land development rights under a planned land use system: The 'zhejiang model,'" *China World Econ.*, 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1749-124X.2009.01131.x.
- [5] D. N. Archer, "Transportation policy and the underdevelopment of black communities," *Iowa Law Review*. 2021.
- [6] G. Lewis, B. Jones, and C. Baker, "Educational Research and Evaluation An International Journal on Theory and Practice Translanguaging: origins and development from school to street and beyond," Taylor Fr., 2012.
- [7] J. T. Aalders, "Building on the ruins of empire: the Uganda Railway and the LAPSET corridor in Kenya," *Third World Q.*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/01436597.2020.1741345.
- [8] M. J. Dutta, "Decolonizing Communication for Social Change: A Culture-Centered Approach," *Commun. Theory*, 2015, doi: 10.1111/comt.12067.
- [9] G. Bhan, "Planned Illegalities," *Econ. Polit. Wkly.*, 2013.
- [10] B. Burnes, "Kurt Lewin and the planned approach to change: A re-appraisal," *Journal of Management Studies*. 2004. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6486.2004.00463.x.

# A Brief Overview of India's External Relations

Mr. Kunal Saxena,

Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Email Id- drkunal@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *The exchanges, engagements, and diplomatic relationships of India with other nations and international organizations are referred to as its external relations. India's foreign policy, as a prominent regional and global player, is directed by strategic objectives, historical relationships, economic concerns, and the pursuit of regional and global stability. India has long followed a non-alignment strategy that stresses independence, neutrality, and non-involvement in power blocs or military alliances. India strives to retain strategic autonomy in its decision-making process by avoiding allegiance to any one nation or bloc, enabling it to work with a varied variety of partners.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Cold War, Foreign Policy, Indian Government, Prime Minister, Soviet Union.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

India was founded in a difficult and complex international environment. The world had witnessed a devastating war and was grappling with reconstruction issues, yet another attempt to establish an international body was underway; many new countries were emerging as a result of colonialism's demise; and most new nations were attempting to grapple with the twin challenges of welfare and democracy. In the early aftermath of independence, Free India's foreign policy reflected all of these concerns. Aside from these global worries, India has its own set of issues. The British administration left a legacy of numerous international problems; division produced its own difficulties, and the goal of poverty reduction was already unfinished. This was the broader background in which India began to participate in global events as an independent nation-state. As a country founded against the background of World War II, India resolved to handle its international relations with the goal of respecting all other countries' sovereignty and achieving security via the preservation of peace. This goal is echoed in the State Policy Directive Principles. Just as both internal and exterior elements impact an individual's or family's behavior, both the domestic and international environments influence a nation's foreign policy. The poor world lacks the resources necessary to successfully advocate for their problems in the international system. As a result, they set lower targets than industrialized countries. They place a greater emphasis on peace and development in their

immediate surroundings. Furthermore, their economic and security reliance on more powerful governments has an impact on their foreign policy [1].

Many developing countries decided to embrace the foreign policy preferences of the strong countries who gave them help or credits in the early aftermath of World War II. As a consequence, the world's nations were divided into two distinct groups. One was influenced by the United States and its western allies, while the other was influenced by the then-Soviet Union. This is covered in the book Contemporary World Politics. You've read about the Non-Aligned Movement experiment there. As you can also read there, the end of the Cold War significantly altered the environment of international relations. However, when India gained independence and began to formulate its foreign policy, the Cold War was just starting and the world was becoming split into these two camps.

## The Non-alignment Policy

The Indian national movement was not a one-off event. It was part of the global fight against colonialism and imperialism. It impacted several Asian and African nations' independence struggles. Prior to India's independence, there were interactions between Indian nationalist leaders and those of other colonies, united in their fight against colonialism and imperialism. throughout the Second World War, Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose founded the Indian National Army (INA), which was the most visible

embodiment of the links forged between India and abroad Indians throughout the liberation movement. A country's foreign policy reflects the interaction of internal and external influences. As a result, the lofty values that motivated India's war for independence informed the formulation of its foreign policy. However, India's independence coincided with the start of the Cold War. As stated in the opening chapter of the book, *Contemporary World Politics*, this time was distinguished by worldwide political, economic, and military rivalry between the two blocs commanded by the superpowers, the United States and the Soviet Union. During the same time period, the United Nations was established, nuclear weapons were developed, Communist China emerged, and decolonization began. As a result, India's leaders were forced to seek national objectives within the constraints of the international environment [2].

### **The role of Nehru**

Jawaharlal Nehru, India's first Prime Minister, was instrumental in establishing the national agenda. He was in charge of his own foreign policy. As Prime Minister and Foreign Minister, he had a significant impact on the development and execution of India's foreign policy from 1946 to 1964. Nehru's foreign policy had three key goals: to safeguard hard-earned sovereignty, defend territorial integrity, and encourage fast economic growth. Nehru hoped to attain these goals via a nonalignment approach. Of course, there were parties and organizations in the nation who thought that India should be friendlier with the bloc headed by the United States since that bloc claimed to be pro-democracy. Leaders like Dr. Ambedkar were among those who thought along similar lines. Some anti-communist political groups also urged India to pursue a pro-US foreign policy. The Bharatiya Janata Dal and, subsequently, the Swatantra Party were among them. However, Nehru had great flexibility in establishing foreign policy.

### **Distance between two camps**

Independent India's foreign policy energetically pursued the ideal of a peaceful world by championing non-alignment, decreasing Cold War tensions, and providing human resources to UN peacekeeping missions. You may be wondering why India did not join either of the two camps during the Cold War. India wished to avoid military coalitions headed by the

United States and the Soviet Union against one other. As described in the book *Contemporary World Politics*, the Cold War witnessed the formation of the US-led North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and the Soviet-led Warsaw Pact. India argued that non-alignment was the best foreign policy strategy. This was a tough balancing act, and the equilibrium did not always look ideal. When Britain invaded Egypt over the Suez Canal in 1956, India led the global opposition to this neocolonial aggression. However, when the Soviet Union invaded Hungary the same year, India did not publicly denounce it.

Despite this, India generally took an independent position on numerous international matters and could get aid and support from members of both blocs. While India was attempting to persuade other developing nations to adopt a non-alignment strategy, Pakistan joined US-led military alliances. The US was not pleased with India's independence endeavors and non-alignment policies. As a result, there was tremendous anxiety in Indo-US ties throughout the 1950s. The United States also disliked India's increasing alliance with the Soviet Union. In the last chapter, you learned about India's planned economic growth strategy. This strategy prioritized import substitution. Because of the focus on creating a resource base, export-oriented development was constrained. India's economic engagement with the rest of the world was hampered by this development plan. Afro-Asian solidarity [3] Nonetheless, given India's size, position, and power potential, Nehru saw India playing a significant role in international politics, particularly in Asian affairs. Contacts were established between India and other newly independent governments in Asia and Africa during his reign. Throughout the 1940s and 1950s, Nehru was a staunch supporter of Asian union. In March 1947, five months before gaining independence, India organized the Asian Relations Conference under his direction. By calling an international conference in 1949 to help Indonesia's independence movement, India made serious efforts to achieve early liberation from the Dutch colonial authority. India was a strong backer of the decolonization process and an outspoken opponent of racism, particularly apartheid in South Africa. The Bandung Conference, which took place in the Indonesian city of Bandung in 1955, represented the pinnacle of India's involvement with newly

independent Asian and African countries. The Bandung Conference resulted in the formation of the NAM. In September 1961, the NAM convened its first summit in Belgrade. Nehru was a founding member of the NAM.

### **China's peace and conflict**

In contrast to its ties with Pakistan, liberated India started its engagement with China on a positive note. India was one of the first nations to recognize the communist government after the Chinese revolution in 1949. Nehru felt deeply for this neighbor emerging from the shadow of Western dominance and supported the fledgling administration in international forums. Some of his contemporaries, including as Vallabhbhai Patel, were concerned about impending Chinese invasion. However, Nehru believed that a Chinese invasion on India was "extremely unlikely." For a long time, paramilitary groups, rather than the army, patrolled the Chinese border. On April 29, 1954, Indian Prime Minister Nehru and Chinese Premier Zhou Enlai jointly announced Panchsheel, the Five Principles of Peaceful Coexistence. This was a step toward a closer partnership between the two nations. Both Indian and Chinese presidents were welcomed by big and cordial audiences when they visited each other's countries.

### **1962 Chinese invasion**

This friendship was affected by two events. In 1950, China seized Tibet, removing a historical barrier between the two nations. Initially, the Indian government did not officially resist this. However, when more information regarding the destruction of Tibetan culture became available, the Indian government became concerned. In 1959, the Dalai Lama, Tibet's spiritual leader, sought and was granted political shelter in India. China said that the Indian government was enabling anti-China actions to take place inside the country. A border dispute has previously arisen between India and China. India claimed that the border was determined during colonial times, while China asserted that no colonial decision applied.

The primary point of contention was the western and eastern ends of the lengthy boundary. China claimed two territories inside Indian territory: the Aksai-chin area in Jammu and Kashmir's Ladakh region, and most of Arunachal Pradesh in what was then known as the

NEFA (North Eastern Frontier Agency). The Chinese captured the Aksai-chin region and constructed a crucial route there between 1957 and 1959. These disputes could not be addressed despite extensive contact and debate among key officials. Several minor border clashes occurred between the troops of the two nations. Do you recall the Cuban Missile Crisis discussed in Chapter One of Contemporary World Politics? While the whole world was focused on the situation between the two superpowers, China launched a rapid and large attack on both disputed territories in October 1962. The initial invasion lasted a week, and Chinese soldiers took control of many strategic locations in Arunachal Pradesh. The second round of attacks began the next month. While Indian troops were able to halt Chinese advances in Ladakh, the Chinese were able to penetrate almost to the Assam plains' entrance point in the east. Finally, China proclaimed a unilateral ceasefire and withdrew its forces back where they were before to the invasion [4].

The China conflict harmed India's reputation both at home and internationally. To get through the crisis, India had to seek military support from the United States and the United Kingdom. Throughout the battle, the Soviet Union stayed neutral. It instilled a feeling of national shame while also strengthening a sense of nationalism. Some of the army's senior officers resigned or retired. V. Krishna Menon, Nehru's close ally and then-Defense Minister, was forced to resign from the government. Nehru's personal reputation suffered as a result of his naive appraisal of China's intentions and lack of military preparation. A no-confidence resolution against his administration was introduced and discussed in the Lok Sabha for the first time. Soon after, the Congress lost many critical Lok Sabha by-elections. The country's political tone had started to shift. The opposition was also impacted by the Sino-Indian confrontation. This, along with the widening schism between China and the Soviet Union, resulted in irreconcilable divisions within the Communist Party of India (CPI).

The pro-USSR fraction stayed inside the CPI and became closer to the Congress. The other side favored deeper connections with China and opposed any links with the Congress. In 1964, the Communist Party of India (Marxist) (CPI-M) was created by the leaders of the former section. Following the China conflict, numerous leaders of the CPI (M) were detained for

being pro-China. The conflict with China made the Indian government aware of the precarious situation in the Northeast. This area confronted India with the difficulty of national unification and political unity, in addition to being remote and highly impoverished. Soon after the China war, the process of reorganization started. Nagaland was awarded statehood, while Manipur and Tripura were allowed the power to elect their own legislative assembly while being Union Territories.

### **Pakistan's Wars and Peace**

In the case of Pakistan, the fighting began shortly after partition over the Kashmir issue. In Chapter 8, you will learn more about the disagreement. During 1947, a proxy war broke out in Kashmir between Indian and Pakistani soldiers. However, this did not escalate into a full-fledged war. The matter was then taken to the United Nations. Pakistan quickly emerged as a major component in India's ties with the United States, and later with China. The Kashmir dispute did not hinder India and Pakistan from working together. Both administrations collaborated to return the ladies kidnapped during division to their rightful families. The World Bank mediated a long-running dispute over the distribution of river flows. Nehru and General Ayub Khan signed the India-Pakistan Indus Waters Treaty in 1960.

Despite the ups and downs in Indo-Pak ties, this pact has served its purpose admirably. In 1965, the two nations engaged in a more severe military battle. Lal Bahadur Shastri had taken over as Prime Minister by then, as you will see in the next chapter. Pakistan conducted military assaults in the Rann of Kutch region of Gujarat in April 1965. In August and September, a larger attack in Jammu and Kashmir was launched. The Pakistani leadership hoped to get support from the local community, but this did not materialize. Shastri ordered Indian forces to conduct a counter-offensive on the Punjab border in order to relieve pressure on the Kashmir front. The Indian army came dangerously near to Lahore in a furious fight. The UN involvement put a stop to the fighting. Later, in January 1966, Indian Prime Minister Lal Bahadur Shastri and Pakistan's General Ayub Khan signed the Tashkent Agreement, mediated by the Soviet Union. Though India could inflict significant military losses on Pakistan, the 1965 conflict exacerbated India's already precarious economic circumstances [5].

### **1971 Bangladesh conflict**

Pakistan had its worst domestic crises beginning in 1970. The country's first general election delivered a split result, with Zulfikar Ali Bhutto's party winning in West Pakistan and the Awami League, headed by Sheikh Mujib-ur Rahman, winning in East Pakistan. East Pakistan's Bengali community voted to protest years of being treated as second-class citizens by West Pakistan's leadership. Pakistan's authorities refused to acknowledge the democratic outcome. They were also unwilling to embrace the Awami League's demand for federalism. Instead, the Pakistani army imprisoned Sheikh Mujib in early 1971 and inflicted terror on the people of East Pakistan. In reaction, the people began a movement to free 'Bangladesh' from Pakistan. Throughout 1971, India had to shoulder the burden of around 80 lakh refugees who left East Pakistan and sought safety in India's neighboring territories. India provided moral and material assistance to Bangladesh's liberation movement. Pakistan accused India of plotting to destabilize the country. The United States and China both backed Pakistan. The reconciliation between the United States and China, which started in the late 1960s, led in a realignment of forces in Asia. In July 1971, Henry Kissinger, an advisor to US President Richard Nixon, conducted a covert travel to China through Pakistan. In August 1971, India signed a 20-year Treaty of Peace and Friendship with the Soviet Union in order to offset the US-Pakistan-China axis. This deal guaranteed India Soviet help in the event of an invasion. After months of diplomatic tension and military buildup, India and Pakistan went to war in December 1971.

Pakistani planes bombed Punjab and Rajasthan, as the army marched into Jammu and Kashmir. India reacted with an air force, navy, and army onslaught on both the Western and Eastern fronts. The Indian army made swift headway in East Pakistan, welcomed and backed by the local populace. Within 10 days, the Indian army had encircled Dhaka on three sides, forcing the Pakistani army of around 90,000 to surrender. India proclaimed a unilateral ceasefire with Bangladesh as a free nation. Later, on 3 July 1972, Indira Gandhi and Zulfikar Ali Bhutto signed the Shimla Agreement, which confirmed the restoration of peace. A decisive victory in the war resulted in national elation. Most Indians hailed this as a moment of triumph and a clear indication of India's rising military capability. Indira

Gandhi was the Prime Minister at the time, as you will see in the next chapter. She had previously been elected to the Lok Sabha in 1971. Her personal fame skyrocketed after the 1971 conflict.

Following the war, assembly elections were held in the majority of states, with the Congress party winning strong majorities in several of them. With minimal resources, India began development plans. Conflicts with neighbors, however, halted the five-year plans. Because India needed to modernize its military, precious resources were transferred to the defense industry, particularly after 1962. In November 1962, the Department of Defence Production was founded, and in November 1965, the Department of Defence Supplies was established. The Third Plan (1961-66) was disrupted, followed by three Annual Plans, and the Fourth Plan could not be implemented until 1969. Following the conflicts, India's defense spending skyrocketed [6].

#### **India's nuclear strategy**

Another significant event during this time period was India's first nuclear explosion in May 1974. Nehru had long believed that science and technology could be used to speedily establish a modern India. The nuclear program, which began in the late 1940s under the direction of Homi J. Bhabha, was an important component of his industrialization goals. India desired to produce nuclear energy for peaceful uses. Nehru was opposed to nuclear weapons. As a result, he urged with the world's superpowers for total nuclear disarmament. However, the nuclear arsenal continued to grow. When Communist China conducted nuclear tests in October 1964, the five nuclear weapon powers - the United States, the Soviet Union, the United Kingdom, France, and China (Taiwan then represented China) - also the five Permanent Members of the United Nations Security Council - attempted to impose the 1968 Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) on the rest of the world. India has long seen the NPT as discriminatory and has refused to sign it.

The first nuclear test performed by India was dubbed a "peaceful explosion." India said that it was committed to harnessing nuclear power solely for peaceful reasons. The nuclear test occurred at a turbulent moment in domestic politics. Following the Arab-Israel War in 1973, the whole globe was impacted by the Oil Shock as a result of the Arab states' huge increase in oil prices. It caused economic

upheaval in India, resulting in severe inflation. Many agitations were taking place in the country at this time, as you will see in Chapter Six, including a countrywide railway strike. Although there are slight variations among political parties over how to handle foreign relations, Indian politics is often characterised by wide consensus among the parties on issues of national integration, international boundary protection, and national interest. As a result, throughout the decade of 1962-1971, when India faced three wars, or even afterwards, when different parties came to power at various times, foreign policy played only a minor role in party politics.

#### **DISCUSSION**

India was born and grew up in a highly difficult global setting, with numerous nations entering the picture as a consequence of the end of colonialism. The majority of these new nations were striving to balance welfare and democracy. Following independence, free India's foreign policy mirrored these concerns. On the other side, India was coping with domestic difficulties as well as poverty reduction. As a country founded in the middle of a global war, India opted to handle its international relations to respect the sovereignty of other states and keep peace. The State Policy Directive Principles broadly supported this purpose. Many countries lacked the resources necessary to properly promote their interests in international forums. As a consequence, they set lesser objectives. Many countries prioritized peace and growth in their immediate surroundings. One major point was that a country's dependence on more powerful governments for economic and security reasons affects its foreign policy. Many postwar developing nations decided to align with the foreign policy preferences of the strong countries that supported or funded them. As a consequence, the globe was divided into two factions. One was inspired by the United States and its allies, while the other was influenced by the Soviet Union. Everything altered in international relations once the Cold War ended [7].

The Cold War had just begun at the time of India's independence, and the world was split into two camps. The Indian national movement was part of a worldwide anti-colonialist movement that impacted numerous Asian and African liberation movements. Many nationalist leaders were intrigued by India's

stance and wished to join their shared battle against colonialism and imperialism. During the liberation movement, Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose also founded the Indian National Army (INA), which was a vivid demonstration of India's relations with foreign Indians. A country's foreign policy is influenced by both internal and external influences. As a consequence, the lofty values that fueled the country's liberation war affected India's foreign policy.

The commencement of the Cold War coincided with India's freedom. Global political, economic, and military struggle between two superpower blocs, the United States and the Soviet Union, characterized this time. The United Nations was created during this period, nuclear weapons were produced, China became communist, and decolonization started. As a consequence, India's leaders were forced to find a compromise between national and global objectives. India's first Prime Minister, Jawaharlal Nehru, was essential in shaping the national agenda. From 1946 until 1964, as Prime Minister and Foreign Minister, he had a considerable effect on the development and execution of India's foreign policy. Nehru's foreign policy had three key goals: to defend territorial integrity, to preserve hard-earned sovereignty, and to foster fast economic growth. Nehru wanted to attain these objectives via non-alignment. Many individuals and organizations in the nation embraced the concept of joining the might of the United States, which claimed to be pro-democracy. Leaders like Dr. Ambedkar were among those who held similar views. Some anti-communist political groups also desired that India follow a pro-American foreign policy. Among them were the Bhartiya Janata Dal, afterwards known as the Swatantra Party.

Independent India's foreign policy energetically pursued the ideal of a peaceful world by championing non-alignment and lessening Cold War tensions, as well as committing human resources to UN peacekeeping operations. India was not a member of either side during the Cold War. India desired to avoid military alliances that put the United States against the Soviet Union. The military alliances NATO and the Warsaw Pact, commanded by the United States and the Soviet Union, also entered the scene. India maintained its non-alignment strategy, which was an appropriate foreign policy approach. NAM was also a challenging balancing act that was not always ideal.

India was among the first to criticize Britain's assault on Egypt through the Suez Canal in 1956. However, when the Soviet Union invaded Hungary the following year, India did not join the worldwide criticism [8].

Despite this, India has traditionally adopted an independent position on a wide range of international problems, and has received funding and support from both blocs. While India was striving to convince other developing nations to follow suit, Pakistan joined US-led military alliances. The United States was unhappy with India's independence endeavors and non-alignment policies. As a consequence, tensions between India and the United States were particularly high throughout the 1950s. The United States also hated India's growing connections with the Soviet Union. Import substitution was emphasized in this approach. Export-oriented development has been restrained as a result of the concentration on establishing a resource base. India's economic engagement with the rest of the world was limited by this development plan. Nehru, on the other hand, envisaged India playing an important role in global events, especially in Asia, because of its size, position, and power potential.

Contacts were established between India and other newly independent republics in Asia and Africa during this time period. Throughout the 1940s and 1950s, Nehru was an ardent proponent of Asian union. In March 1947, five months before obtaining independence, India organized the Asian Relations Conference under his direction. By hosting an international conference in 1949 to promote Indonesia's liberation movement, India made earnest efforts to secure Indonesia's independence from the Dutch colonial authority as soon as feasible. India was an outspoken advocate of independence and an outspoken opponent of racism, particularly apartheid in South Africa. The Bandung Conference, held in the Indonesian city of Bandung in 1955, represented India's apex of interaction with newly independent Asian and African countries. The NAM was formed as a consequence of the Bandung Conference. In September 1961, the NAM convened its inaugural summit in Belgrade. Unlike its ties with Pakistan, independent India began a cordial relationship with China. India was one of the first nations to recognize the communist government after the Chinese revolution in 1949. Nehru was profoundly worried

about this neighbor emerging from the shadow of Western power, and he supported the new administration in international fora.

Several of his colleagues, notably Vallabhbhai Patel, voiced alarm about potential future Chinese invasion. In contrast, Nehru thought a Chinese invasion on India was "extremely improbable." For a long time, paramilitary troops guarded the Chinese border instead of the army. On April 29, 1954, Indian Prime Minister Nehru and Chinese Premier Zhou Enlai jointly declared Panchsheel, or the Five Principles of Peaceful Coexistence. This was a step in strengthening the two nations' ties. Large and warm audiences welcomed Indian and Chinese leaders when they visited each other's nations. Two developments damaged the India-China friendship. China seized Tibet in 1950, erasing a historical barrier between the two nations.

The Indian government initially expressed no public resistance to this. The Indian government got concerned as more information regarding the repression of Tibetan culture became public. Tibet's spiritual leader, the Dalai Lama, sought and was given political refuge in India in 1959. China said that the Indian government allowed anti-China actions to take place inside the nation. A few months ago, India and China were at odds over a border issue. The border was determined during colonial times, according to India, although any colonial decision was immaterial to China. China claimed two territories inside Indian Territory: the Aksai-chin region of Ladakh in Jammu and Kashmir, and a major chunk of Arunachal Pradesh in what was then known as the NEFA (North Eastern Frontier Agency).

Between 1957 and 1959, the Chinese captured the Aksai-chin region and built a crucial route there. These problems remained unsolved despite substantial contact and debate among key officials. There were several small border skirmishes between the forces of the two nations. While the world was focused on the Sino-US conflict in October 1962, China attacked both contested territories rapidly and massively. During the first assault, Chinese soldiers took numerous critical sites in Arunachal Pradesh. Then the second round of assaults began. While Indian soldiers resisted Chinese advances in Ladakh, the Chinese proceeded from the east into the Assam lowlands. Finally, before to the invasion, China proclaimed an unannounced cease-

fire and relocated its soldiers. The China conflict harmed India's reputation both at home and internationally [9].

To survive the crisis, India need military assistance from the United States and the United Kingdom. The USSR stayed neutral during the battle. It taught national humiliation as much as patriotism. A number of senior army officers have resigned or retired. V. Krishna Menon, the then-Defense Minister and close Nehru friend, was compelled to resign. Nehru's reputation deteriorated as a result of his lack of understanding of China's intentions and military capability. The Lok Sabha discussed a vote of no confidence in his administration. Following it, the Congress lost numerous key Lok Sabha by-elections. The Sino-Indian confrontation had an impact on the opposition. The Indian Communist Party (CPI) was broken as a result of this, as well as the developing schism between China and the USSR. They worked at the CPI to strengthen connections with Congress. The opposition side desired deeper connections with China and voted against the Congress. After the party split in 1964, leaders of the latter side created the Communist Party of India (Marxist). (CPI-M). Following the conflict, numerous CPI (M) leaders were detained on pro-China allegations. India's authorities were aware of the sensitive situation in the Northeast as a result of the conflict with China. This undeveloped and secluded area posed a danger to India's national cohesion and political unity. It reorganized soon after the battle with China. Despite being Union Territories, Manipur and Tripura were granted statehood and the authority to elect their own legislatures [10].

## CONCLUSION

India seeks to strengthen economic ties with nations throughout the globe. Through programs like Make in India, Digital India, and Start-up India, it aims to attract foreign direct investment, boost trade and investment relationships, and create economic cooperation. India's foreign policy is increasingly centered on economic diplomacy, particularly with nations in Africa, Southeast Asia, and the Gulf area. India takes an active role in solving global issues such as climate change, terrorism, cyber security, and healthcare. To address these difficulties, it has created programs such as the International Solar Alliance (ISA) and the Coalition for Disaster Resilient



Infrastructure (CDRI) via global collaboration and partnerships. India's foreign relations are evolving as a result of shifting geopolitical forces, economic interests, and regional security concerns. Through a proactive and multifaceted approach to its foreign interactions, the country aspires to improve its global status, preserve its national interests, and contribute to regional and global peace and development.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. V. C. Naidu, "India and Southeast Asia," *Int. Stud.*, 2010, doi: 10.1177/002088171104700413.
- [2] E. Mauchline Roberts, "India and the world," in *Gandhi, Nehru and Modern India*, 2019. doi: 10.4324/9780367351717-6.
- [3] A. Benvenuti, "Constructing Peaceful Coexistence: Nehru's Approach to Regional Security and India's Rapprochement with Communist China in the Mid-1950s," *Dipl. Statecr.*, 2020, doi: 10.1080/09592296.2020.1721063.
- [4] R. Rajagopalan and V. Sahni, "India and the great powers: Strategic imperatives, normative necessities," *South Asian Surv.*, 2008, doi: 10.1177/097152310801500102.
- [5] S. L. Chakravarty and R. Chakrabarty, "A Gravity Model Approach to Indo-ASEAN Trade-fluctuations and Swings," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.04.205.
- [6] P. Jain, "Hesitant Realism: China-India Border Tensions and Delhi's Deepening Strategic Ties with Tokyo and Canberra," *J. Asian Secur. Int. Aff.*, 2021, doi: 10.1177/2347797021992529.
- [7] P. Jain and T. S. Maini, "India's Subnational Governments Foray into the International Arena," *Japanese Journal of Political Science*. 2017. doi: 10.1017/S1468109917000032.
- [8] V. Kukreja, "India in the Emergent Multipolar World Order: Dynamics and Strategic Challenges," *India Q.*, 2020, doi: 10.1177/0974928419901187.
- [9] R. Pandey, "Trade Elasticities and the Marshall Lerner Condition for India," *Glob. J. Manag. Bus. Stud.*, 2013.
- [10] J. Nath, "Significance of Afghanistan in India's foreign policy," *Humanit. Soc. Sci. Rev.*, 2019, doi: 10.18510/hssr.2019.7463.

# Challenges and Restoration of the Congress System

Mr. Anil Gowda

Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India  
Email Id-anilbgowda@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Following World War II, various nations adopted the Congress system, often known as the age of dominant party systems, with the Indian National Congress serving as a major example. It refers to a political system in which a single party, generally of the center-left or center-right, dominates the political scene and holds power for an extended period. While the Congress system had obstacles at first, it also went through phases of restoration. The increase in political fragmentation and the establishment of opposition parties was one of the major challenges to the Congress system. Regional and ideological parties rose to prominence throughout time, threatening the Congress party's control. These parties capitalized on regional desires, rallied support for particular causes, and proposed alternative policy programs.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Congress Party, Congress System, Grand Alliance, Lok Sabha, Non-Congress.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

After Nehru died in 1964, there was much discussion about who might succeed him. Because of the many obstacles and unresolved issues, the 1960s were dubbed the "dangerous decade." Following Nehru's death, Lai Bahadur Shastri was overwhelmingly elected as the Congress parliamentary party's leader and became India's next Prime Minister. During Shastri's presidency, which lasted from 1964 to 1966, the nation faced two big challenges: a severe food crisis and a war with Pakistan in 1965. Shastri's renowned motto 'Jai Jawan Jai Kisan' symbolized the country's will to meet both of these problems. Following the unexpected death of Lai Bahadur Shastri in 1966, Morarji Desai and Indira Gandhi competed for succession. Senior party officials endorsed Indira Gandhi.

### 1967 Fourth General Elections

The year 1967 is regarded as a watershed moment in India's political and electoral history. Price increases were triggered by the current political atmosphere. People began protesting about price increases for key items, food shortages, and other issues. The communist and socialist parties began to fight for greater equality. The 1960s also saw some of the bloodiest Hindu-Muslim riots since independence [1].

### Non-Congresses

Opposition parties were in the forefront of organizing public demonstrations and putting pressure on the government. These opposition groups saw Indira Gandhi's inexperience and internal factionalism within the Congress as opportunities to destabilize the Congress. 'Non-congressism' was coined by socialist leader Ram Manohar Lohia to describe this technique. In February 1967, the fourth general election to the Lok Sabha and State Assemblies was conducted. The results shocked Congress at both the national and state levels, prompting the phrase "political earthquake."

The 1967 elections demonstrated the phenomena of coalitions. Because no one non-congress party had a majority, they banded together to establish joint legislative parties (named Samyukt Vidhayak Dal in Hindi) that backed non-congress administrations. Defection occurs when an elected representative quits the party for which he or she was elected and joins another party. The continuous realignments and changing political affiliations during this era gave origin to the term 'Aya Ram, Gaya Ram'.

### Indira vs. 'Syndicate'

The Syndicate was a group of prominent and important Congress politicians. Indira Gandhi faced two difficulties from the syndicate: establishing her independence from the syndicate and working to

reclaim the territory lost by Congress in the 1967 elections. When the office of President of India was vacant in 1969 with the death of Zakir Hussain, the factional struggle between the Syndicate and Indira Gandhi was abundantly visible. The Syndicate backed the official candidate of the Congress, N.Sanjeeva Reddy, but Indira Gandhi endorsed W Giri for President of India. Indira Gandhi declared the nationalization of fourteen key private banks and the eradication of the 'privy purse,' putting Morarji Desai and Indira Gandhi at odds. The loss of the official Congress candidate formalized the party's split [2].

### **The 1971 Election and Re-Establishment of Congress**

Indira Gandhi's government proposed dissolving the Lok Sabha in December 1970, and the fifth Lok Sabha elections were conducted in February 1971. In the 1971 election, all of the main non-communist, non-congress opposition parties joined the Grand Alliance. Indira Gandhi said that the opposition coalition only had one common agenda. Indira Hatao, on the other hand, presented a constructive agenda encapsulated in the iconic phrase Garibi Hatao. This slogan, as well as the programs that followed it, were part of Indira Gandhi's political strategy of establishing an independent countrywide political support base. The Congress (R)-CPI coalition gained more seats and votes in the 1971 Lok Sabha elections than the Congress had ever won in the first four general elections. They won 375 Lok Sabha seats and received 48.4 percent of the vote. Indira Gandhi's Congress (R) won 352 seats with almost 44% of the popular vote. The opposition's Grand Alliance was a colossal disaster. Their total number of seats was fewer than 40. Indira Gandhi re-invented the party in numerous ways. It was now a new Congress that had evolved. While the Congress strengthened its position and Indira Gandhi achieved unprecedented political power, the opportunities for the democratic expression of people's desires narrowed. Popular dissatisfaction and mobilization over concerns of development and economic distress grew. In May 1964, Prime Minister Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru died. The 1960s were dubbed the 'dangerous decade' because of unaddressed issues like poverty, inequality, and sectarian and regional differences, which were thought to lead to the collapse of the democratic effort or perhaps the country's dissolution. India mainly faced two challenges from

1964 to 1966 during Lai Bahadur Shastri's reign like 'Economic Crisis' due to the Indo-China War of 1962 and the Indo-Pak War of 1965 and failed Monsoons, droughts and food crisis which was symbolized by a famous slogan to resolve the issues like 'Jai Jawan Jai Kisan'. Following the death of Lai Bahadur Shastri, the Congress Party faced the dilemma of political succession for the second time, with an intensive struggle between Morarji Desai and Indira Gandhi to be determined by a secret vote among Congress MPs. Indira Gandhi beat Morarji Desai, and the peaceful transfer of power was hailed as a "sign of the maturity of India's democracy." Indira Gandhi's administration chose to weaken the Indian rupee in order to alleviate the 1967 economic crisis. As a result, one US dollar could be acquired for less than Rs 5 following devaluation, which cost more than Rs 7 to cause a price increase, and people protested over price increases for necessary necessities and unemployment. Even socialist and communist parties fought for greater equality.

The devaluation decision of the Congress Party gave rise to the notion of Non-Congressism, with many programs and ideologies forming anti-Congress fronts. It was stated that it was required for democratic objectives. The fourth general election was conducted in 1967, and it did not go well for Congress. Political leaders such as Kamraj in Tamil Nadu, S.K. Patil in Maharashtra, Atulya Ghosh in West Bengal, and K.B. Sahay in Bihar were defeated, and for the first time, any non-Congress party secured a majority, including a coalition government made up of different non-Congress parties, which was dubbed a "political earthquake." The election of 1967 saw the emergence of coalitions, which were created by joint parliamentary parties to form the SVD, or Samyukt Vidhayak Dal. In Bihar, the SVD featured the two socialist parties, the SSP and PSP, as well as the CPI on the left and the Jana Sangh on the right. In Punjab, it was known as the popular United Front, and it included the two opposing Akali Parties at the time. Immediately after 1967, Indira Gandhi had two challenges: establishing her independence from the 'Syndicate' and regaining ground lost by the Congress in the 1967 elections.

And Indira Gandhi took a risky approach by transforming it into an ideological struggle, launching a series of initiatives, and convincing the Congress

Working Committee to adopt the 'Ten Point Programme' in 1967, which included social control of banks, nationalization of general insurance, a ceiling on urban property and income, public distribution of food grains, land reforms, and so on. Syndicate was an informal term for a group of Congress leaders such as K. Kamraj, S.K. Patil, N. Sanjeeva Reddy, and Atulya Ghosh who controlled the Party as an organization, i.e. inside Congress. The Syndicate had a stronger voice in Indira Gandhi's first cabinet, as well as policy development and execution. Following the split, the Congress (O) and Indira led Congress (R) established, which gained popularity after 1971 [3], [4].

The official split in the Congress (Syndicate and Indira Gandhi) began in 1969 over the nomination of a candidate for the presidency. Diplomatically, Indira Gandhi's candidates won over syndicate's candidates (V.V. Giri over N. Sanjeeva Reddy), formalizing the split in Congress into two separate parties, namely Congress (O) i.e. syndicate-led organization known as 'Old Congress' and Congress (R) i.e. Indira Gandhi-led requisitionists known as 'New Congress'. Everyone felt that true organisational power was under leadership of Congress (O), on the other side, all major parties including SSP, PSP, Bharatiya Jana Sangh, Swatantra Party and Bharatiya Kranti Dal also formed 'Grand Alliance' against Indira Gandhi with a single policy of 'Indira Hatao'. In contrast to 'Indira Hatao,' Indira Gandhi put forward a positive programme 'Garibi Hatao' to generate a support base among landless laborers, dalits, adivasis, minorities, women, and unemployed youth, as well as focused on growth of public sector, imposition of ceiling on rural land holdings and urban property, and removal of disparity, and succeeded in building an independent nationwide political support base during the 1971 election campaign. Indira Gandhi did not resurrect the old Congress Party, but rather re-invented it by building a whole new popular party to satisfy certain socioeconomic groups, including the poor, women, dalits, adivasis, and minorities. As a result, Indira Gandhi was able to reestablish the Congress system by altering the essence of the Congress system itself.

### **DISCUSSION**

Following the death of our Prime Minister, Jawaharlal Nehru, in May 1964. The whole country was preoccupied with the subject of who would follow

Nehru and if India's democratic experiment would continue. It was thought that India would be incapable of handling democratic succession. The 1960s are seen as a perilous decade since the country was grappling with unsolved issues such as poverty, inequality, communal and regional split, and so on, which may lead to the downfall of democracy.

### **Nehru to Shastri:**

After K., Lal Bahadur Shastri became the nation's second Prime Minister (1964-66). The president of the INC, Kamraj, spoke with all members of the party and voted in favor. Shastri had served in Nehru's cabinet and was also the non-controversial leader of Uttar Pradesh. He had previously resigned as Railway Minister, acknowledging moral responsibility for a severe railway tragedy. During Shastri's presidency, the country faced two main challenges. While India was still reeling from the economic consequences of its war with China, failing monsoons, drought, and a severe food shortage posed a tremendous problem. The second challenge was that in 1965, that nation had fought a war with Pakistan. Shastri's renowned motto, 'Jai Jawan Jai Kisan,' represented the country's will to meet both of these difficulties. Shastri's Prime Ministership ended abruptly on 10 January 1966, when he died in Tashkent (Uzbekistan), then in the USSR. Both Lal Bahadur Shastri and Pakistan's President Muhammad Ayub Khan were there to sign an agreement that would put an end to the conflict. (Accord of Tashkent) [5].

### **From Shastri to Gandhi,**

Following the death of Lal Bahadur Shastri, Indira Gandhi assumed command. Morarji Desai and Indira Gandhi were competing fiercely at the time. Morarji Desai had previously served as Chief Minister of Bombay state (today's Maharashtra and Gujarat) as well as a Cabinet Minister. Indira Gandhi, Jawaharlal Nehru's daughter, had previously served as Congress President and as Union Minister for Information in the Shastri ministry. Indira Gandhi beat Morarji Desai with the backing of more over two-thirds of the party's MPs. The top leaders backed Indira Gandhi because they believed she would be reliant on them due to her administrative and political inexperience. Indira Gandhi had to lead the party in a Lok Sabha election within a year of being Prime Minister, and the

country's economic position had worsened, compounding her concerns.

The years leading up to the fourth general election saw significant changes in the nation. Two Prime Ministers had died in rapid succession, and the next Prime Minister, seen as a political newcomer, had only been in office for a little more than a year. The country experienced a severe economic crisis as a result of consecutive monsoon failures, drought, a drop in agricultural output, currency depreciation, and other factors. One of the first choices made by the Indira Gandhi administration was to devalue the Indian rupee in response to perceived US pressure.

The economic circumstances caused a spike in off-price items. People began protesting about rising commodity prices, food shortages, rising unemployment, and the country's general economic situation. 'Bandhs' and 'Hartals' were routinely called around the nation, which was seen as a law and order issue despite people expressing their concerns. The communist and socialist parties began campaigns for greater equality. During this time, there were also some of the worst Hindu-Muslim riots since independence.

Opposition parties were in the forefront of organizing public demonstrations and causing disruption. Parties opposing to the Congress realized that splitting their votes would keep the Congress in power. As a result, anti-Congress fronts were formed by parties with very diverse programs and ideologies. They saw Indira Gandhi's inexperience and internal factionalism within the Congress as opportunities to destabilize the party. Ram Manohar Lohia, a socialist politician, coined the term 'non-Congresses' to describe this policy. Congress' control was undemocratic and antagonistic to the interests of common impoverished people; hence, a coalition of non-Congress parties was required to recover democracy for the people [6].

Elections were conducted in February 1967 in the midst of increased public unrest and polarization of political parties. For the first time without Nehru, Congress faced the electorate. The election results have been dubbed a "political earthquake." The Congress did secure a majority in the Lok Sabha, but with the lowest number of seats and vote share since 1952. Half of Indira Gandhi's cabinet members were defeated. Senior political figures in Tamil Nadu include Kamaraj, S.K. Patil in Maharashtra, Atulya

Ghosh in West Bengal, and K. In Bihar, B. Sahay lost their seats. The majority in Congress was lost in as many as seven states. Defections stopped it from establishing a government in two more states. These nine states where Congress lost control were dispersed around the nation.

In Madras State (now Tamil Nadu), a regional party named the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam (DMK) won a strong majority. The DMK came to power after leading a large anti-Hindi student revolt against the center over the imposition of Hindi as the national language. It was the first time in history that a non-congress party won a majority in its own territory. In the remaining eight states, coalition governments emerged from various non-Congress parties.

Because no one non-Congress party had a majority, they banded together to establish joint legislative parties (named Samyukt Vidhayak Dal in Hindi) that backed non-Congress administrations. As a result, these administrations came to be known as SVD governments; in many instances, the coalition partners were philosophically incompatible. In Bihar, for example, the SVD administration featured the two socialist parties SSP and PSP, as well as the CPI on the left and the Jana Sangh on the right. It was known as the 'Popular United Front' in Punjab, and it included the two opposing Akali parties at the time, the sant group and the master group, as well as both communist parties, the CPI and the CPI(M) [7].

#### **SSP, Republican Party, and Bharatiya Janata Dal (BJP).**

Defection occurs when an elected representative quits the party on whose symbol he or she was elected and joins another party. After 1967, defection had a significant part in the formation and demise of regimes. Following the 1967 general election, dissident Congress MPs were instrumental in establishing non-Congress administrations in three states: Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, and Uttar Pradesh. The continuous realignments and changing political affiliations during this era gave origin to the term 'Aya Ram, Gaya Ram'.

After the 1967 elections, the Congress kept power at the Centre but with a smaller majority and lost control in numerous states. The findings demonstrated that Congress might be defeated in elections. However, there was no alternative as of yet. Most non-Congress coalition administrations in the United States did not

last long. They lost the majority, forcing new coalitions to be formed or imposing President's control. The main threat to Indira Gandhi came from inside her own party, not from the opposition. She had to cope with the 'syndicate,' a collection of prominent and influential Congress leaders. The Syndicate had helped Indira Gandhi become Prime Minister by assuring her election as the head of the parliamentary party. These elites expected Indira Gandhi to listen to them. Indira Gandhi tried to impose her authority inside the administration and the party. She surrounded herself with reliable counsel from outside the party [8].

Indira Gandhi therefore faced two challenges: she needed to obtain independence from the Syndicate while simultaneously working to reclaim the ground lost by the Congress in the 1967 elections. Indra used a daring approach, turning a basic power fight into an intellectual battle. Launched a series of measures to shift government policy to the left. In May 1967, she persuaded the Congress Working Committee to endorse a Ten-Point Programme. This program included social control of banks, nationalization of General Insurance, a cap on urban property and income, public distribution of food grains, land reforms, and the supply of rural poor housing sites. The 'syndicate' leaders officially supported this Left-wing proposal, despite considerable objections.

Indira Gandhi replied by persuading then-Vice President V.V. Giri to run as an independent candidate. She also launched a number of significant and popular policy initiatives, including the nationalization of fourteen major private banks and the removal of the 'privy purse,' or the unique privileges granted to former princes. Morarji Desai was the Finance Minister and Deputy Prime Minister. S. Nijalingappa, the then-Congress President, issued a 'whip' instructing all Congress MPs and MLAs to vote for Sanjeeva Reddy, the party's official candidate. Indira Gandhi supporters requested a special meeting of the AICC (thus the term 'requisitionists'), but this was denied. After quietly assisting V.V. Giri, the Prime Minister, publicly asked for a "conscience vote," implying that Congress MPs and MLAs should be allowed to vote whatever they saw fit.

The Prime Minister was dismissed from the party by the Congress President, who claimed that her group was the true Congress. By November 1969, the

Congress faction headed by the 'syndicate' was known as the Congress (Organisation), whereas the group led by Indira Gandhi was known as the Congress (Requisitionists). These two parties were also known as the Old Congress and the New Congress. Indira Gandhi portrayed the schism as an ideological difference between socialists and conservatives, pro-poor and pro-rich. This integration of princely states was preceded by an assurance that, following the dissolution of princely rule, the then rulers' families would be allowed to retain the certain private property and would be granted a grant in heredity or a government allowance based on the extent, revenue, and potential of the merging state. There was no criticism of these rights at the time of accession since the major goal was integration and consolidation.

Hereditary privileges were incompatible with the values of equality and social and economic justice enshrined in India's Constitution. Indira Gandhi agreed with the demand that the government eliminates privy purses. Morarji Desai, on the other hand, deemed the action ethically reprehensible and a "breach of faith with the princes." In 1970, the government attempted to introduce a constitutional modification, but it was defeated in Rajya Sabha. An ordinance was also passed, but it was overturned by the Supreme Court. Indira Gandhi made this a key election issue in 1971, and it received widespread popular support. As a consequence of this enormous win in the 1971 election, the Constitution was changed to eliminate legal barriers to the elimination of the "privy purse."

The government of Indra Gandhi. Due to a schism in Congress, was reduced to a minority. She remained in government with the assistance of a few other parties, notably the Communist Party of India and the DMK, dependent on issues. During this time, the government made a concerted effort to present its socialist credentials. During the critical period, Indira Gandhi strongly advocated for the implementation of existing land reform laws and proposed further land ceiling legislation. Indira Gandhi's administration urged the dissolution of the Lok Sabha in December 1970 in order to reduce her reliance on other political parties, enhance her party's position in Parliament, and seek a public mandate for her programs. The fifth Lok Sabha General Election was conducted in February 1971. After all, the new Congress was simply one group

within a party that was already in disarray. Everyone assumed that Congress (O) was in charge of the Congress party's true organizational strength [9].

The Grand Alliance was founded by all of the main non-communist, non-Congress opposition groups. This umbrella organization brought together the SSP, PSP, Bharatiya Janata Sangh, Swatantra Party, and Bharatiya Kranti Dal. The new Congress had a topic, a program, and a good motto, which its main opponents lacked. The Grand Alliance lacked a defined political platform. Indira Gandhi said that the opposition coalition had just one platform: Indira Hatao. The major emphasis was on the public sector, the setting of limits on rural landholdings and urban property, the elimination of income and opportunity inequities, and the repeal of princely privileges. Through Garibi Hatao, Indira Gandhi attempted to build support among the poor, particularly among landless laborers, Dalits and Adivasis, minorities, women, and jobless young. The Congress(R)-CPI combination gained more seats and votes than the Congress had in the previous four national elections combined. The coalition won 375 Lok Sabha seats and received 48.4% of the vote. Indira Gandhi's Congress (R) won 352 seats with almost 44% of the popular vote. The Congress party, headed by Indira Gandhi, asserted its claim to be the 'real' Congress and reestablished its dominance in Indian politics.

The opposition's Grand Alliance was a colossal disaster. Their total number of seats was fewer than 40. East Pakistan had a serious political and military crisis after the 1971 Lok Sabha elections. Through these events, Indra gained popularity; even opposition leaders respected her statesmanship. Her party won every State Assembly election held in 1972. She was seen as a strong nationalist leader as well as a champion of the poor and vulnerable. The Congress regained its hegemony with two consecutive election triumphs, one at the national level and the other at the state level. Congress had taken control of almost all of the states. It was also well-liked by people from many walks of life [10].

Indra re-invented the party in a variety of ways. In terms of popularity, the party was in a similar position as in the past. But this was no ordinary party. It was wholly dependent on the supreme leader's popularity and had a fairly poor organizational structure. Because this Congress party no longer had several divisions, it

was unable to accommodate a wide range of viewpoints and interests. During elections, it was more dependent on certain socioeconomic groups: the poor, women, Dalits, Adivasi's, and minorities. As a result, Indira Gandhi was able to reestablish the Congress system by altering the essence of the Congress system itself. Despite its popularity, the new Congress lacked the potential to absorb the tensions and disputes that the Congress system was renowned for. While the Congress solidified its position and Indira Gandhi achieved unparalleled political power, the possibilities for democratic expression of people's desires narrowed. Popular dissatisfaction and mobilization over concerns of development and economic distress grew.

### CONCLUSION

In order to restore popular support, the Congress party used populist measures and welfare programs. The party hoped to restore electoral support by concentrating on social welfare initiatives, poverty reduction, and rural development. The restoration of the Congress system was also impacted by weaknesses and divides among opposition parties. On certain occasions, opposition parties had internal disagreements, leadership battles, or ideological divisions that favored the Congress party. It is crucial to note that the restoration of the Congress system differed depending on the country and situation. While the Indian Congress party, for example, went through phases of restoration, it also suffered problems and electoral failures. The dynamics of political systems are complicated, and a dominating party's capacity to regain control is dependent on a number of variables, including the party's flexibility, leadership, policy platforms, and the larger political climate.

### REFERENCES

- [1] C. A. Schultz, T. Jedd, and R. D. Beam, "The Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration program: A history and overview of the first projects," *Journal of Forestry*. 2012. doi: 10.5849/jof.11-082.
- [2] R. C. Wunderlich, B. D. Winter, and J. H. Meyer, "Restoration of the Elwha River Ecosystem," *Fisheries*, 1994, doi: 10.1577/1548-8446(1994)019<0011:rotore>2.0.co;2.
- [3] D. G. Tate, M. L. Boninger, and A. B. Jackson, "Future directions for spinal cord injury research: Recent developments and model systems

- contributions,” *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2010.07.243.
- [4] A. Dialla, “The Congress of Vienna, the Russian empire, and the Greek revolution: Rethinking legitimacy,” in *Journal of Modern Greek Studies*, 2021. doi: 10.1353/MGS.2021.0002.
- [5] M. Wang, Z. Y. Li, W. D. Xu, X. Y. Hua, J. G. Xu, and Y. D. Gu, “Sensory restoration in cortical level after a contralateral C7 nerve transfer to an injured arm in rats,” *Neurosurgery*, 2010, doi: 10.1227/01.NEU.0000370603.45342.6B.
- [6] B. Kranzer, “The Human Context for Everglades Restoration: The South Florida Case Study,” *Publ. Ser. Hum. Popul. Freshw. Resour.*, 2002.
- [7] M. Blumm and M. Yoklic, “The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act at 50: Overlooked Watershed Protection,” *Michigan J. Environ. Adm. Law*, 2020, doi: 10.36640/mjeal.9.1.wild.
- [8] P. A. Appel, “Planning for adaptation and restoration in wilderness,” *Georg. Washingt. J. Energy Environ. Law*, 2015.
- [9] K. M. Reynolds, P. F. Hessburg, R. E. Keane, and J. P. Menakis, “National fuel-treatment budgeting in US federal agencies: Capturing opportunities for transparent decision-making,” *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2009.08.011.
- [10] I. V. Ignatchenko, “France in the Vienna System of International Relations (the First Half of The 19<sup>th</sup> Century),” *MGIMO Rev. Int. Relations*, 2015, doi: 10.24833/2071-8160-2015-6-45-9-14.





# An Overview of the Crisis of Democratic Order

Dr. Ramalingam Mageshkumar

Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Email Id-mageshkumar@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *The term "crisis of democratic order" refers to a scenario in which democratic governance principles and institutions confront major challenges and dangers. It is distinguished by a deterioration of democratic standards, the deterioration of democratic institutions, and the development of anti-democratic forces. Here are some of the major elements leading to the democratic order's crisis: rising populism, civil liberties erosion, increased political polarization, and division. A fall in public faith in democratic institutions such as the government, parliament, and political parties undermines the democratic system. Citizens who lose trust in these institutions' capacity to handle their issues may resort to alternative non-democratic systems or support anti-establishment movements.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Crisis Democratic, Congress Party, Democratic Order, Janata Party, Indira Gandhi.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

### Background to the Emergency

We have previously explored the changes in Indian politics after 1967. Indira Gandhi had risen to prominence as a towering leader with enormous popularity. This was also the time when party rivalry grew intense and polarized. During this time, there were also difficulties between the government and the court. The Supreme Court ruled that several government projects violated the Constitution. The Congress party argued that the Court's decision went against democratic and parliamentary ideals. The Congress further claimed that the Court was a conservative institution that was impeding the implementation of pro-poor social programs. Opponents of Congress said that politics was getting too personal and that governmental power was being transformed into personal authority. The Congress split had widened the gap between Indira Gandhi and her opponents [1].

### The economic environment in the 1971 elections,

Congress used the slogan *garibi hatao* (eliminate poverty). However, the country's social and economic situation did not improve much after 1971-72. The Bangladesh crisis has placed a significant pressure on the Indian economy. Approximately 8 million people crossed the East Pakistan border into India. This was followed by a conflict with Pakistan. Following the war, the United States cut off all help to India. During

this time, oil prices skyrocketed on the worldwide market. This resulted in a spike in commodity prices all around. In 1973, prices grew by 23%, and by 30% in 1974. People suffered greatly as a result of such high inflation. Industrial development was slow, and unemployment was especially prevalent in rural regions. The government froze staff pay in order to decrease spending. This fueled even more discontent among government personnel. The monsoons failed in 1972 and 1973. As a consequence, agricultural production fell precipitously. Food grain production decreased by 8%.

### The Crisis of Democratic Order

There was widespread unhappiness with the country's economic status. In such circumstances, non-Congress opposition groups were able to successfully organize mass demonstrations. Students' dissatisfaction, which had existed since the late 1960s, grew increasingly evident during this time period. The activities of Marxist organizations that did not believe in parliamentary politics also increased. These organizations had taken up weaponry and insurgency tactics in order to topple the capitalist order and the existing political structure. They were known as Marxist-Leninist (now Maoist) organizations or Naxalites, and they were most powerful in West Bengal, where the state administration used harsh steps to crush them. Students' demonstrations in Gujarat and Bihar, both of which were controlled by the Congress party, had far-reaching consequences for both state and national politics.

In January 1974, students in Gujarat began a protest over increasing food grain, cooking oil, and other critical commodities costs, as well as high-level corruption. The students' demonstration was backed by major opposition parties and grew widespread, resulting in the state being placed under President's authority. The opposition parties urged that the state legislature be re-elected. Morarji Desai, a major Congress (O) politician who was Indira Gandhi's primary antagonist while he was in the Congress, declared an indefinite fast if new elections were not conducted in the state. Assembly elections were conducted in Gujarat in June 1975 under significant pressure from students and opposition political parties [2].

In this election, the Congress was defeated. Students gathered in Bihar in March 1974 to protest increasing prices, food shortages, unemployment, and corruption. They eventually asked Jayaprakash Narayan (JP), who had retired from active politics and was now committed in social work, to head the student movement. He agreed on the condition that the movement remain nonviolent and not be limited to Bihar. As a result, the students' campaign took on a political dimension and gained national traction. People from many areas of life began to join the campaign.

This had the potential to paralyze the nation. JP led a people's march to Parliament in 1975. This was one of the biggest political gatherings in the capital's history. He was now backed by non-Congress opposition groups such as the Bharatiya Janata Dal, Congress (O), Bharatiya Lok Dal, Socialist Party, and others. These Loknayak Jayaprakash Narayan (JP) (1902-1979): A marxist in his youth; founder general secretary of the Congress Socialist Party and the Socialist Party; a hero of the 1942 Quit India movement; declined to join Nehru's cabinet; quit active politics after 1955; became a Gandhian and was involved in the Bhoodan movement, negotiations with Naga rebels, peace initiative in Kashmir, and ensured the surrender of dacoits in Chambal Since India's independence, political parties have projected the JP as an alternative to Indira Gandhi.

However, there were several critiques of his beliefs and the politics of mass agitation that he used. Both the Gujarat and Bihar agitations were seen as anti-Congress, and rather than challenging state

administrations, they were viewed as demonstrations against Indira Gandhi's leadership. She felt the movement was driven by personal animosity against her. Do the terms 'dedicated judiciary' and 'committed bureaucracy' imply that judges and government personnel must be faithful to the governing party? What would happen if the railroads stopped functioning in 1974? Not just for a day or two, but for more than a week? Of sure, many individuals would be inconvenienced; nonetheless, the country's economy would grind to a standstill since commodities are moved from one region to another by trains. Do you know that something similar occurred in 1974? The National Coordination Committee for Railwaymen's Struggle, chaired by George Fernandes, called for a statewide strike by all railway workers to push their demands for bonus and service conditions. These requests were met with opposition from the administration. As a result, in May 1974, the workers of India's biggest public sector project went on strike. The railway workers' strike heightened the environment of labor discontent. It also addressed questions such as worker rights and whether employees of critical services should engage in actions such as strikes. The strike was considered unlawful by the authorities.

The strike had to be called off after twenty days due to the government's refusal to yield the striking workers' demands, the imprisonment of many of their leaders, and the deployment of the Territorial Army to safeguard railway lines. Conflict with the court during this time, the administration and governing party had several disagreements with the court. The Court said once again that Parliament cannot modify the Constitution in such a way that rights are limited. Third, the Parliament changed the Constitution to state that it has the authority to limit Fundamental Rights in order to give effect to Directive Principles. However, the Supreme Court likewise rejected this clause. This resulted in a crisis in the relationship between the administration and the court. You may recall that this crisis culminated in the famous Kesavananda Bharati Case in Rationalized the Crisis of Democratic Order 97. In this judgment, the Court ruled that there are certain fundamental characteristics of the Constitution that cannot be changed by Parliament [3].

Two events heightened the conflict between the judiciary and the government. A vacancy for the office

of Chief Justice of India developed immediately following the Supreme Court's judgement in the Keshavananda Bharati case in 1973. It had been customary to select the senior-most Supreme Court justice as Chief Justice. However, in 1973, the government ignored three judges' seniority and selected Justice A. N. Ray as Chief Justice of India. The selection proved politically contentious since all three of the judges that were replaced had ruled against the government's position. As a result, constitutional interpretations and political ideologies were fast becoming confused. People close to the Prime Minister began talking about the necessity for a court and bureaucracy "committed" to the executive and legislative visions.

The High Court judgement declaring Indira Gandhi's election illegitimate was, of course, the culmination of the conflict. On June 12, 1975, Justice Jagmohan Lal Sinha of the Allahabad High Court issued an order declaring Indira Gandhi's election to the Lok Sabha illegal. This decision was made in response to an election appeal submitted by Raj Narain, a socialist leader and candidate who ran against her in 1971. The petition challenged Indira Gandhi's election on the grounds that she had employed government employees in her campaign. The High Court's decision meant that she was no longer an MP and hence could not stay Prime Minister until she was re-elected as an MP within six months. On June 24, the Supreme Court gave her a partial stay of the High Court judgment, allowing her to remain an MP but not participate in Lok Sabha sessions until her appeal was heard.

### **Crisis and reaction**

The scene was now set for a major political clash. On June 25, 1975, opposition political groups headed by Jayaprakash Narayan demanded Indira Gandhi's resignation and staged a major rally at Delhi's Ramlila grounds. Jayaprakash called for a statewide Satyagraha to force her resignation and implored the army, police, and government personnel not to follow "illegal and immoral orders." This, too, threatened to put the government's operations on hold. The country's political attitude has shifted against Congress more than ever before.

The administration stated that there was a danger of internal unrest on June 25, 1975, and thereby invoked Article 352 of the Constitution. The government might declare a state of emergency under this article if there

was an external danger or a threat of internal unrest. The administration determined that a serious crisis had occurred, necessitating the declaration of a state of emergency. Technically, this was within the government's authority, since our Constitution grants the government certain exceptional powers when an emergency is proclaimed. Once declared an emergency, the federal allocation of powers is effectively suspended, and all authorities are centralized in the hands of the union administration. Second, during an emergency, the government gains the authority to limit or restrict all or any of the Fundamental Rights. The phrasing of the Constitution's provisions makes it obvious that an Emergency is regarded as an emergency.

This cartoon surfaced a few days before the declaration of Emergency and depicts the atmosphere of oncoming political catastrophe. D is the guy behind the chair. Congress President K. Barooah. The Crisis of Democratic Order 101 extraordinary situation in which normal democratic politics cannot function. As a result, the government is given exceptional powers. On the night of June 25, 1975, the Prime Minister suggested to President Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed the implementation of Emergency. He issued the declaration right away. After midnight, the power was turned off in all of the main newspaper offices. A huge number of opposition party officials and workers were detained early in the morning. At a special meeting at 6 a.m., the Cabinet was briefed [4].

The agitation came to an abrupt halt; strikes were prohibited; many opposition leaders were imprisoned; and the political environment remained quite peaceful, if tense. The administration curtailed press freedom after deciding to deploy its exceptional powers under Emergency rules. All content to be published in newspapers was required to have prior permission. This is referred to as press censorship. The government out of concern about social and communal discord outlawed the Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh (RSS) and Jamaat-e-Islami. Protests, strikes, and public agitation were also prohibited. Most crucially, under the rules of the Emergency, citizens' numerous Fundamental Rights were suspended, including the right of individuals to petition the Court for the restoration of their Fundamental Rights. The administration used preventative detention extensively. People are arrested and imprisoned under

this clause not because they have committed an offense, but because they are suspected of committing one. During the Emergency, the authorities used preventative detention statutes to make large-scale arrests. Arrested political workers could not file habeas corpus petitions to dispute their detention. Many lawsuits were brought in the High Courts and the Supreme Court on behalf of jailed people, but the administration contended that it was not even required to tell them of the reasons and grounds for their detention. Several High Courts ruled that even after the declaration of Emergency, the courts may hear a petition of habeas corpus submitted by someone who was detained.

In April 1976, the Supreme Court's constitution bench overruled the High Courts and approved the government's argument. It meant that the government may take away citizens' rights to life and liberty during an emergency. This decision effectively locked the doors of the court to people and is widely recognized as one of the Supreme Court's most contentious decisions. There were several acts of protest and opposition to the Emergency. Many political workers who were not imprisoned in the initial wave went 'underground' and organized anti-government rallies. Newspapers such as the Indian Express and the Statesman demonstrated their opposition to censorship by placing blank areas where news items had been blocked [5].

Many journalists were detained for authoring anti-Emergency articles. To avoid censorship, several underground newsletters and flyers were issued. Kannada writer Shivarama Karanth, who received the Padma Bhushan, and Hindi writer Fanishwarnath Renu, who received the Padma Shri, both returned their honors in protest of the suspension of democracy. Such blatant acts of defiance and resistance, on the other hand, were uncommon. The Parliament also made several revisions to the Constitution. In light of the Allahabad High Court's judgement in the Indira Gandhi case, an amendment was introduced stating that elections for Prime Minister, President, and Vice President could not be contested in court. During the Emergency, the forty-second amendment was also approved. You have previously learned that this amendment included a number of modifications to the Constitution. Among the many changes brought about by this amendment was an increase in the length of the

country's legislatures from five to six years. This modification was meant to be permanent, not only for the Emergency time. Elections may also be postponed for a year during an emergency. As a result, following 1971, elections required to be conducted only in 1978, rather than 1976.

### DISCUSSION

The Emergency exposed both the shortcomings and virtues of India's democracy. While many observers believe that India ceased to be democratic during the Emergency, it is worth noting that regular democratic functioning recovered within a short period of time. Thus, one lesson of the Emergency is that eliminating democracy in India is incredibly tough. Second, it revealed significant problems in the Constitution's Emergency clause, which have subsequently been resolved. Now, a 'internal' emergency may only be declared on the basis of 'armed rebellion,' and the Union Cabinet must advise the President to declare an emergency in writing. Third, the Emergency made everyone more conscious of the need of civil freedoms.

Following this event, several civil rights organizations arose. However, the crucial years of emergency produced numerous challenges that have yet to be addressed satisfactorily. In this chapter, we noticed that there is a contradiction between the everyday operation of a democratic government and the ongoing political demonstrations by parties and organizations. What is the proper balance between the two? Should individuals have complete freedom to participate in protest activities, or should they be denied this right entirely? What are the boundaries of such a protest? Second, the Emergency Rule was really implemented by the police and the government. These institutions could not operate on their own. They were converted into political tools of the governing party, and the administration and police were sensitive to political demands, according to the Shah Commission Report. This issue did not go away after the Emergency. The most useful and enduring lesson of the Emergency was learned as soon as the Emergency ended and the Lok Sabha elections were declared.

The 1977 elections were a referendum on the experience of the Emergency, at least in north India, where its influence was most felt. The opposition campaigned on the slogan 'save democracy' throughout

the election. The people overwhelmingly rejected the Emergency. The lesson was plain, and it has been repeated in many subsequent state level elections: administrations considered to be anti-democratic are harshly punished by voters. In this sense, the 1975-77 experience strengthened India's democratic roots. Lok Sabha Elections, 1977 After eighteen months of Emergency, the government decided to conduct elections in January 1977. As a result, all of the leaders and activists were freed from prison. In March 1977, elections were conducted. This left the opposition with little time, yet political changes happened quickly. During the pre-Emergency era, the main opposition parties were already getting closer. On the eve of the elections, they banded together and founded a new party called the Janata Party [6].

Jayaprakash Narayan was elected as the new party's leader. Some members of Congress who were opposed to the Emergency joined this new party as well. Morarji Desai (1896-1995): Freedom fighter; Gandhian leader; advocate for Khadi, naturopathy, and prohibition; Chief Minister of Bombay State; Deputy Prime Minister (1967-1969); joined Congress (O) after the party split; Prime Minister from 1977 to 1979—first Prime Minister from a non-Congress party. Politics in India since Independence some other Congress leaders also broke away and created a rival party led by Jagjivan Ram. The Congress for Democracy party eventually merged with the Janata Party. This election was turned into a referendum on the Emergency by the Janata Party. Its campaign centered on the rule's lack of democracy and the myriad abuses that occurred during this time. The public sentiment was against the Congress against the background of thousands of arrests and press repression. Jayaprakash Narayan became a famous emblem of democracy's resurrection. The Janata Party's founding also insured that non-Congress votes were not split.

The Congress was clearly having a difficult time. However, the ultimate results surprised everyone. The Congress party was defeated in the Lok Sabha elections for the first time since independence. The Congress could only win 154 Lok Sabha seats. Its popular vote share has dropped to less than 35%. The Janata Party and its allies won 330 of the 542 Lok Sabha seats; the Janata Party won 295 seats and hence had a substantial majority. There was a big election

surge against the Congress in northern India. The Congress lost every seat in Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Delhi, Haryana, and Punjab, and could only gain one in Rajasthan and Madhya Pradesh. Indira Gandhi lost in Rae Bareilly, as did her son Sanjay Gandhi in Amethi. Credit: R. K. Laxman, The Times of India, March 29, 1977 A cartoonist's interpretation of who won and what lost in the 1977 election. Jagjivan Ram, Morarji Desai, Charan Singh, and Atal Behari Vajpayee are among those who support the ordinary man.

Many seats were retained in Maharashtra, Gujarat, and Orissa, and it nearly swept across the southern states. This is due to a variety of factors. To begin with, the effect of the Emergency was not felt evenly throughout all states. The forced relocations and displacements, as well as the forced sterilizations, were centered mostly in the northern states. But, more crucially, long-term changes in the character of political rivalry had occurred in north India. The middle castes of north India were starting to abandon the Congress, and the Janata Party gave a venue for many of these groups to unite. In this way, the 1977 elections were more than just about the Emergency.

The Janata Party administration that came to power after the 1977 elections was far from united. Following the election, there was fierce competition for the position of Prime Minister among three leaders: Morarji Desai, who had been Indira Gandhi's rival since 1966-67; Charan Singh, leader of the Bharatiya Lok Dal and a farmers' leader from UP; and Jagjivan Ram, who had vast experience as a senior minister in Congress governments. Morarji Desai eventually became Prime Minister, but this did not stop the power battle inside the party. In 1977, the first non-Congress government took the oath of office at the center. Jayaprakash Narayan, J. B. Kriplani, Morarji Desai, and Atal Behari Vajpayee are seen. Politics in India since Independence How can we speak of a mandate or verdict in 1977 when the north and south voted so differently? Read this map and identify the states in which Congress lost, Congress lost heavily, and Congress and its supporters virtually swept the ballot [7].

Prime Minister of India between July 1979 and January 1980; freedom fighter; active in Uttar Pradesh politics; proponent of rural and agricultural development; left Congress party and founded Bharatiya Kranti Dal in 1967; twice Chief Minister of

U.P.; later he was one of the founders of the Janata Party in 1977 and became Deputy Prime Minister and Home Minister (1977-79); Credit: R. K. Laxman in The Times of India, 13 November 1979 The Janata Party's faction struggle inspired several cartoons at the time. Here's a sampling.

It was uncomfortable and caused fever, but it reinforced our democracy's resilience. Politics in India since Independence The resistance to the Emergency could only keep the Janata Party together for a short time. Critics said that the Janata Party lacked direction, leadership, and a unified platform. The Janata Party administration was unable to implement policies that differed fundamentally from those adopted by the Congress. The Janata Party divided, and Morarji Desai's administration lost its majority in less than 18 months. On the guarantee of the Congress party's backing, another government led by Charan Singh was established. However, the Congress party eventually chose to withdraw its support, allowing the Charan Singh administration to stay in office for just around four months. In January 1980, new Lok Sabha elections were conducted, and the Janata Party was thoroughly defeated, particularly in north India, where it had won the polls in 1977. Indira Gandhi's Congress party came close to repeating its historic win in 1971.

It regained control after winning 353 seats. The experience of 1977-1979 provided another lesson in democratic politics: voters harshly penalize administrations seen to be unstable and quarrelsome. But was it just the return of Indira Gandhi? The party system has changed considerably between the 1977 and 1980 elections. Since 1969, the Congress party has been losing its identity as an umbrella party that accepted leaders and workers from all ideologies and points of view. The Congress party has now identified with a certain ideology, claiming to be the sole socialist and pro-poor party. Thus, beginning in the early 1970s, the Congress's electoral success was dependent on drawing people based on deep class and ideological distinctions and the attractiveness of a single leader, Indira Gandhi. With the evolution of the Congress party, other opposition parties began to rely on what is known in Indian politics as "non-Congressism." They also recognized the need of avoiding a split of non-Congress votes in the election. This aspect was crucial in the 1977 elections.

Since 1977, the topic of backward caste welfare has dominated politics in an indirect way. As previously stated, the outcomes of the 1977 elections were influenced in part by a change among the backward castes of north India. Following the Lok Sabha elections in 1977, numerous states had Assembly elections. Again, the northern states elected non-Congress administrations in which backward caste leaders played a significant role. The issue of reservations for 'other backward classes' became highly contentious in Bihar, prompting the Janata Party to appoint the Mandal Commission. Jagjivan Ram (1908-1986): Freedom fighter and Congress leader from Bihar; Deputy Prime Minister of India (1977-79); member of Constituent Assembly; also a Member of Parliament from 1952 until his death. Because it sprang from a constitutional fight between the power of Parliament and the courts, the Emergency and the time surrounding it might be defined as a moment of constitutional crisis [8].

On the other side, it was a time of political upheaval. Let's watch a film Hazaron Khwaishein Aisi Siddharth, Vikram, and Geeta are three lively and socially involved students. They graduate from Delhi and choose various careers. While Siddharth believes in the revolutionary concept of social change, Vikram believes in gaining success in life at any cost. The film tells the stories of their travels to their aspirations, as well as the underlying disappointments. The film is set against the background of the 1970s. The youthful protagonists are products of the period's aspirations and idealism. Siddharth's aim to create a revolution fails, but he becomes so concerned with the misery of the impoverished that he starts to value their uplift more than revolt. Vikram, on the other hand, becomes a conventional political fixer but is always uneasy. Year: 2005 Director: Sudhir Mishra Screenplay: Sudhir Mishra Ruchi Narain Shivkumar Subramaniam Cast: Kay Kay Menon, Shiney Ahuja, Chitrangada Singh Despite having an overwhelming majority, the ruling party opted to halt the democratic process.

The authors of India's Constitution believed that all political parties would generally adhere to democratic norms. Even if the government used exceptional powers under the Emergency, it would do so within the bounds of the rule of law. This assumption resulted in the government being granted broad and unrestricted powers during times of emergency. These

were abused during the Emergency. This was a more severe political crisis than the constitutional crisis. Another critical issue that emerged during this period was the role and extent of mass protests in a parliamentary democracy. There was clearly a tension between institution-based democracy and democracy based on spontaneous popular participation. This tension may be attributed to the inability of the party system to incorporate the aspirations of the people [9], [10].

### CONCLUSION

It is critical to encourage communication and cooperation among various groups within society to bridge divides and promote inclusive decision-making. Political leaders and civil society groups should collaborate to promote understanding, tolerance, and compromise. International cooperation and support for democracy may play an important role in resolving the democratic order challenge. Multilateral organizations, governments, and civil society should collaborate to promote democratic values, aid nations confronting democratic problems, and hold those who undermine democratic ideals responsibly. Addressing socioeconomic imbalances and disadvantaged groups' concerns is critical for sustaining social cohesion and building democratic order. Policies that encourage inclusive economic development, decrease inequality, and provide fair access to resources and opportunities may all contribute to addressing the root causes of democratic crises.

### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Simon, "Einleitung: Aufstieg und Krise der parlamentarischen Demokratie im Europa der Zwischenkriegszeit," *Parliam. Estates Represent.*, 2020, doi: 10.1080/02606755.2020.1770954.
- [2] I. Kapsa, "Political Trust vs. Turnout in Modern Democracies," *Polish Polit. Sci. Yearb.*, 2020, doi: 10.15804/ppsy2020309.
- [3] A. Tayeb and P. H. Hong, "Malaysia: Improvised pandemic policies and democratic regression," in *Covid-19 in Asia: Law and Policy Contexts*, 2021. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780197553831.003.0022.
- [4] D. G. Cadenas, "Facing democratic crisis in the EU: The new European citizens' initiative regulation," *Global Journal of Comparative Law*. 2020. doi: 10.1163/2211906X-00901005.
- [5] L. Papadopoulou, "Capítulo 12. Democracy and media transparency: systemic failures in greek radio ecosystem and the rise of alternative web radio," *Espejo Monogr. Comun. Soc.*, 2021, doi: 10.52495/cap12.emcs.4.p75.
- [6] N. Cvetičanin and M. Mandić, "The twilight of the liberal international order, the dialectic of political mechanics and the new Westphalian system for the 21st century," *Polit. Misao*, 2021, doi: 10.20901/pm.58.1.05.
- [7] J. Navarro, "A disruptive moment? Parliaments, Brexit, and the future of European integration," *J. Contemp. Eur. Stud.*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/14782804.2021.1949580.
- [8] B. Brevini, "WikiLeaks: Between disclosure and whistle-blowing in digital times," *Sociol. Compass*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/soc4.12457.
- [9] F. Merloni and A. Pioggia, "Introduction," *European Democratic Institutions and Administrations: Cohesion and Innovation in Times of Economic Crisis*. 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-72493-5\_1.
- [10] D. McConnell, "The Tamil people's right to self-determination," *Cambridge Rev. Int. Aff.*, 2008, doi: 10.1080/09557570701828592.

# Characteristics of Popular Movements in Indian History

Ms. Pramoda Hedge

Assistant Professor, Master in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India,  
Email Id-pramodah@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Popular movements, sometimes known as social movements, are organized activities by groups of people to address certain social, political, economic, or cultural concerns. While the characteristics of popular movements vary based on their aims and situations, certain shared elements identify them. Here are some of the most important qualities of popular movements grassroots participation, collective identity, solidarity, public protest, mass mobilization, and broad diverse coalition.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Chipko Movement, Dalit Panthers, Socials Group, Popular Movements, Political Parties.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

The woods have practically hugged the villagers. Is it some kind of game? Or taking part in a ritual or festival? Not at all. In early 1973, men and women from a hamlet in what is now Uttarakhand took part in a highly unique type of collective action. These peasants were opposing the government's approval of commercial logging methods. They utilized an unusual protest technique, clutching the trees to prevent them from being chopped down. These demonstrations marked the start of our country's world-famous environmental movement, the Chipko movement.

### The Chipko movement

The campaign started in two or three villages in Uttarakhand when the forest authorities denied the people permission to down ash trees for the purpose of creating agricultural equipment. The forest department, on the other hand, gave the same plot of land to a sports manufacturer for commercial usage. This infuriated the peasants, who opposed the government's decision. The conflict quickly extended across the Uttarakhand area. The larger concerns of the region's ecological and economic exploitation were discussed. The locals urged that no contracts for forest exploitation be awarded to foreigners and that local people have effective control over natural resources such as land, water, and forests. They urged the government to supply low-cost supplies to small businesses and assure regional growth without

upsetting the region's natural equilibrium. The campaign took up the economic challenges of landless forest workers and demanded minimum salary guarantees [1].

The strong engagement of women in the Chipko agitation was a unique component of the campaign. The region's forest contractors sometimes served as men's booze dealers. Women led continuous anti-alcohol campaigns and widened the movement's agenda to include other social concerns. The campaign won a win when the government declared a fifteen-year moratorium on tree chopping in the Himalayan areas until the green cover was entirely restored. More than that, the Chipko movement, which began over a specific problem, became a metaphor for many similar popular movements that emerged throughout the nation during the 1970s and beyond. This chapter will look at some of these movements.

### Movements based on political parties

Popular movements may take the shape of social movements or political movements, and the two often overlap. For example, the nationalist movement was primarily a political one. However, we also know that throughout the colonial period, discourses on social and economic concerns gave birth to separate social groups such as the anti-caste movement, kisan sabhas, and the trade union movement in the early twentieth century. These movements aroused concerns about underlying societal tensions. Some of these movements persisted after independence as well. The trade union movement was strong among industrial



workers in large cities like as Mumbai, Kolkata, and Kanpur.

To mobilize these workers, the major political parties founded their own trade unions. In the early years of independence, peasants in Andhra Pradesh's Telangana area organized major agitations led by Communist parties, demanding land transfer to farmers. Peasants and agricultural laborers in Andhra Pradesh, West Bengal, Bihar, and nearby districts resumed their agitations under the leadership of Marxist-Leninist workers known as the Naxalites. The peasant and labor movements were primarily concerned with economic injustice and inequality. These movements did not officially participate in elections. Nonetheless, they maintained relations with political parties, since many participants in these movements, both as people and as organizations, were active members of political parties. These ties guaranteed that the needs of various socioeconomic groups were better represented in party politics [2].

#### **Nonpartisan movements**

Many parts of society got disillusioned with the functioning of political parties in the 1970s and 1980s. The immediate reasons were the failure of the Janata experiment and the following political turmoil. However, in the long term, the disenchantment was partly due to the state's economic policies. After independence, we chose a model of planned development that was based on the dual aims of expansion and distribution. It was mentioned in chapter three. Despite considerable progress in many areas of the economy during the first twenty years after independence, widespread poverty and inequities remained. The benefits of economic expansion did not reach all segments of society equally. Existing social disparities such as caste and gender exacerbated and aggravated poverty difficulties in several ways.

There was also a divide between the urban-industrial and rural-agrarian sectors. Various groups developed a feeling of unfairness and deprivation. Many politically engaged groups have lost trust in democratic institutions and electoral politics. As a result, they elected to go outside of party politics and participate in mass mobilization to voice their complaints. Students and young political activists from all walks of life were in the forefront of organizing marginalized groups like as Dalits and Adivasis. Middle-class youth activists established

service organizations and constructive programs for the rural poor. Many of these organizations were known as voluntary organizations or voluntary sector organizations due to the voluntary character of their social activities. These non-profit organizations have chosen to stay out of party politics. They did not run in municipal or regional elections, nor did they endorse any particular political party. The majority of these groups believed in politics and want to be involved in it, but not via political parties. As a result, these organizations were dubbed 'non-party political formations'.

They thought that direct and active engagement by local groups of residents would be more successful than political parties in addressing local difficulties. People's direct engagement was also supposed to improve the essence of democratic administration. Such non-profit organizations are still active in both rural and urban regions. Their character, however, has changed. Many of these organizations are now supported by other sources, notably international service organizations. The idea of local initiatives is undercut by the widespread availability of foreign money to these organizations.

#### **Panthers for Dalits**

Read this poetry by Namdeo Dhasal, a well-known Marathi poet. Do you recognize the 'pilgrims of gloom' in this poem, as well as the 'sunflower-giving fakir' who blessed them? The pilgrims were Dalit groups that had long faced harsh caste inequalities in our culture, and the poet refers to Dr. Ambedkar as their liberator. Many similar poems were written by Dalit poets in Maharashtra during the 1970s. These poems expressed the suffering that the Dalit people faced even after twenty years of freedom. They were, nevertheless, full of promise for the future, a future that Dalit people hoped to define for themselves. You are aware of Dr. Ambedkar's vision of socioeconomic reform and his unwavering fight for a decent future for Dalits outside of the Hindu caste-based societal framework [3]

#### **Origins**

By the early 1970s, first-generation Dalit graduates, particularly those living in the slums, started to express themselves from a variety of venues. As a result of these statements, the Dalit Panthers, a militant organization of Dalit youth, was created in

Maharashtra in 1972. Dalit organisations fought primarily in the post-independence era against the Dalits' ongoing caste-based disparities and material injustices, despite constitutional assurances of equality and justice. One of their main requests was the effective implementation of reservations and other social justice programs. You are aware that the practice of untouchability was prohibited by the Indian Constitution. In the 1960s and 1970s, the government enacted legislation to that effect.

Nonetheless, social prejudice and violence against ex-untouchable communities persisted in different forms. Dalit colonies in villages remained separate from the main village. They were denied access to a shared drinking water supply. Dalit women were humiliated and assaulted, and worst of all, Dalits were subjected to collective atrocities over petty, symbolic problems of caste pride. Legal methods were insufficient to end Dalits' economic and social oppression. On the other hand, political groups backed by Dalits, such as the Republican Party of India, were not electorally successful. These parties were always marginal; they had to combine with another party to win elections, and they were always fragmented. As a result, the Dalit Panthers resorted to mass action to establish Dalits' rights.

#### **Activities**

Dalit Panthers' activities were largely focused on combating escalating atrocities against Dalits in different sections of the state. Following the Dalit Panthers' and other like-minded organizations' persistent agitation on the problem of atrocities against Dalits, the government approved a comprehensive legislation in 1989 that allowed for harsh punishment for such crimes. The Panthers' greater ideological aim was to dismantle the caste system and to form an organization of all oppressed groups, including landless impoverished peasants, urban industrial workers, and Dalits. The movement gave Dalit educated young a venue to express themselves creatively as a form of resistance. In their countless memoirs and other creative works released during this time, Dalit authors condemned the brutalities of the caste system. These works, which depicted the living realities of India's most oppressed socioeconomic groups, shook the Marathi literary world, making literature more diverse and representational of many social groups, and sparked cultural debates. During the

post-emergency era, the Dalit Panthers participated in electoral concessions and had many divisions, which ultimately led to its demise. This area was taken up by organizations such as the Backward and Minority Communities' Employees Federation (BAMCEF).

#### **Bharatiya Kisan Union (BKU)**

Since the 1970s, there has been widespread social unrest in Indian society. Even those who profited from the development process had numerous grievances about the state and political parties. The agrarian conflicts of the 1980s are one such example, in which better-off farmers revolted against official policy [4].

#### **Growth**

In January 1988, over 20,000 farmers assembled in Meerut, Uttar Pradesh. They were opposing the government's plan to raise power prices. The farmers camped out in front of the district collector's office for almost three weeks before their demands were met. The farmers' movement was quite disciplined, and they got regular food supplies from adjacent villages all of those days. The Meerut agitation was seen as a spectacular display of rural power - the power of farmer farmers. These protesting farmers were members of the Bharatiya Kisan Union (BKU), a farmer organization based in western Uttar Pradesh and Haryana. The BKU was a pioneering organization in the 1980s farmers' movement.

The cash crop market had a crisis in the mid-1980s as the Indian economy began to liberalize. The BKU sought increased government floor prices for sugarcane and wheat, as well as the termination of the Punjab Bhartiya Kisan Union Rally. Credit: Hindustan Times Restrictions on inter-state movement of agricultural products, guaranteed supply of power at affordable prices, waiver of debt repayments owed to farmers, and creation of a government pension for farmers are all proposed. Other farmer organizations in the nation made similar requests. The Maharashtra Shetkari Sanghatana labeled the farmers' movement a fight of Bharat (representing the rural, agricultural sector) against forces of India (representing the urban, industrial sector). You already know from Chapter Three that the fight between industry and agriculture has been one of the most significant concerns in India's growth strategy. The similar dispute resurfaced in the 1980s, when the agriculture sector was threatened by liberalization economic policies.

### **Characteristics**

The BKU used rallies, protests, sit-ins, and jail bhara courting incarceration agitations to put pressure on the state to fulfill its demands. Tens of thousands of farmers sometimes more than a lakh from diverse villages in western Uttar Pradesh and neighboring areas participated in these rallies. Throughout the 1980s, the BKU organized enormous protests of these farmers in various district offices around the state, as well as in the national capital. Another innovative component of these mobilizations was the employment of farmer caste links. The majority of BKU members belonged to a particular community. The organization exploited these communities' traditional caste panchayats to bring people together around economic difficulties. Despite the absence of a formal organization, the BKU was able to survive for a long period because it was founded on clan networks among its members. These networks were used to mobilize BKU funds, resources, and activities.

Until the early 1990s, the BKU kept its distance from all political parties. With its sheer numbers, it served as a political pressure organization. The organization, along with other farmers' organizations from around the country, were successful in getting some of their economic demands met. In this regard, the farmers' movement became one of the most successful social movements of the 1980s. The movement's success was due to the political negotiating power that its members enjoyed. The movement was mostly active in the country's wealthy states. Members of organizations such as the BKU farmed cash crops for the market, as opposed to the majority of Indian farmers who grow for sustenance. Farmers' organizations throughout states, like the BKU, drew members from groups that controlled regional political politics. Shetkari Sanghatana in Maharashtra and Rayata Sangha in Karnataka are two noteworthy instances of farmer organizations [5].

### **The Anti-Arrest Movement**

While the BKU was mobilizing farmers in the north, a very other form of rural mobilization was taking shape in the southern state of Andhra Pradesh. It was a spontaneous mobilization of women requesting that the selling of alcohol be prohibited in their communities. During the months of September and October 1992, stories of this kind occurred virtually

every day in the Telugu press. In each occasion, the name of the community changed, but the plot remained the same. During this time, rural women in Andhra Pradesh's distant areas faced a war against drunkenness, mafias, and the government. These agitations helped define the anti-arack movement in the state.

### **Origins**

In the early 1990s, women registered in the Adult Literacy Drive on a big scale in a hamlet in the interior of Dubagunta in the Nellore district of Andhra Pradesh. During the class discussion, ladies complained about males in their households drinking more arrack, a locally made alcoholic beverage. Alcoholism had taken root in the community and was wreaking havoc on their physical and emotional wellbeing. It had a significant impact on the region's agricultural economy. Indebtedness increased as the volume of alcohol use increased, men stayed away from their employment, and alcohol contractors engaged in criminality to secure their monopoly over the arrack trade.

Women bore the burden of the bad consequences of alcohol since it caused the breakdown of the family economy and forced women to bear the weight of aggression from male family members, notably the husband. Nellore women banded together in spontaneous local activities to resist arrack and the forced closing of the wine business. The story traveled quickly, and women from around 5000 villages were motivated to gather in meetings, adopt resolutions enforcing ban, and send them to the District Collector. The Nellore district arrack auctions were postponed 17 times. This movement in Nellore District gradually extended across the State.

### **Linkages**

The anti-arack movement's message was straightforward: no arrack sales. However, this modest demand touched on bigger regional social, economic, and political challenges affecting women's lives. Around the business of arrack, a tight relationship between crime and politics was developed. The state government made a fortune from taxes levied on the sale of arrack and was hence unwilling to enforce a ban. In their anti-arack protests, groups of local women attempted to address these difficult concerns. They also spoke candidly about domestic violence.

For the first time, their movement created a forum for private discussions on domestic abuse. As a result, the anti-arrack movement merged with the women's movement. Previously, women's organizations in various regions of the nation were engaged on topics such as domestic violence, dowry customs, and sexual assault at work and in public places [6].

Their work led to the realization that problems of injustice to women and gender inequities were inherently multifaceted. During the 1980s, the women's movement concentrated on problems of sexual violence against women, both inside and beyond the family. These organizations campaigned against the dowry system and wanted personal and property laws based on gender equality ideals. These initiatives made a significant contribution to raising broad societal awareness of women's issues. The focus of the women's movement increasingly changed away from legislative changes and toward open social conflicts such as the one we outlined above. As a consequence, throughout the 1990s, the movement demanded equal representation for women in politics. We know that the 73rd and 74th amendments gave women reservations in municipal political posts. Similar reservations have also been requested in state and federal legislatures. A constitutional amendment measure to that effect has been introduced, but it has not yet secured sufficient support in Parliament. The main source of resistance to the bill has come from parties, including some women's organizations, who want a separate quota for Dalit and OBC women under the proposed women's quota in higher education.

#### **Aandolan Narmada Bachao**

So far, social movements have highlighted a variety of concerns regarding the economic growth model that India selected at the time of independence. The Chipko movement raised the subject of environmental depletion, while farmers protested about agricultural neglect. Dalits' social and material situations fueled their mass efforts, but the anti-arrack movement concentrated on the negative consequences of what was deemed progress. The problem that was implied in all of these movements was made apparent by movements against relocation generated by massive construction projects.

#### **Project Sardar Sarovar**

In the early 1980s, an ambitious development project was undertaken in central India's Narmada valley. The project called for the construction of 30 large dams, 135 medium-sized dams, and over 3,000 minor dams on the Narmada and its tributaries, which run through three states: Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, and Maharashtra. Two of the most significant and largest multi-purpose dams proposed under the project were the Sardar Sarovar Project in Gujarat and the Narmada Sagar Project in Madhya Pradesh. The Narmada Bachao Aandolan, or Narmada Save Movement, protested the building of these dams and questioned the nature of the country's current development programs.

The Sardar Sarovar Project is a large-scale multifunctional dam. Its supporters claim that it will help vast regions of Gujarat and the three neighboring states by increasing the supply of drinking water and irrigation water, generating energy, and increasing agricultural productivity. Many other secondary advantages, including as good flood and drought management in the area, were tied to the dam's performance. During the dam's construction, 245 villages in these states were scheduled to be inundated. It entailed the transfer of around 2.5 lakh inhabitants from these communities. Local activist organizations were the first to voice concerns about project-affected people's relocation and rehabilitation. Around 1988-89, the problems coalesced under the name of the NBA, a loose confederation of local volunteer organizations [7].

#### **Deliberations and difficulties**

Since its start, the NBA has connected its opposition to the Sardar Sarovar Project to broader questions surrounding the nature of current development projects, the viability of the country's development model, and what constitutes public interest in a democracy. It needed a cost-benefit analysis of the key development projects performed in the nation so far. The movement believed that the greater societal costs of development initiatives should be included into such an examination. The social consequences included forced displacement of project-affected people, significant loss of livelihood and culture, and degradation of environmental resources. Initially, the campaign requested that all persons who were directly

or indirectly harmed by the project be properly and justly rehabilitated.

The movement also called into question the nature of the decision-making processes that go into the creation of large-scale development projects. The NBA insisted on local communities having a role in such choices, as well as effective control over natural resources like as water, land, and forests. The movement also questioned why, in a democracy, some individuals should be forced to make sacrifices for the sake of others. All of these factors caused the NBA to abandon its original demand for restoration in favor of outright opposition to the project. The movement's arguments and agitations were greeted with vehement hostility in the project's beneficiary states, particularly Gujarat. At the same time, the government and the courts have recognized the right to rehabilitation. A thorough National Rehabilitation Policy established by the government in 2003 may be seen as a success of movements such as the NBA.

Many criticized the proposal to halt dam building as delaying the development process, depriving many people access to water and economic growth. The Supreme Court backed the government's choice to proceed with dam building while simultaneously ordering thorough repair. For more over two decades, the Narmada Bachao Aandolan campaigned nonstop. It employed every democratic method at its disposal to forward its aims. These included court appeals, worldwide mobilization of support, public demonstrations in favor of the cause, and a resurrection of Satyagraha forms to persuade people of the movement's perspective. However, the movement was unable to gain traction among major political parties, including opposition parties. In truth, the Narmada Bachao Aandolan's path reflected a developing disjunction between political parties and popular movements in Indian politics. However, by the end of the 1990s, the NBA was not alone. Many local organizations and movements arose that questioned the rationality of large-scale development initiatives in their communities. Around this time, the NBA became a member of a wider coalition of people's movements fighting for comparable concerns in other parts of the country.

## DISCUSSION

A protest against the government's approval of commercial logging launched the world's most well-known environmental movement, i.e. Chipko Movement led by both men and women in response to the reluctance of villages to down ash trees for agricultural equipment and the allocation of the same land to a sports company. It contained a fresh component in the shape of active engagement of women in social concerns on the agenda. People get together and raise their voices when they are dissatisfied with the government's approach. These movements are either party-based or non-party-based. Party-based movements are sponsored by political parties (Trade Union Movements in Kolkata, Kanpur, and Bombay, for example), but non-party-based movements are founded on a lack of trust in current democratic institutions or electoral politics (Students and Youth from all sectors unite). Disillusionment among many parts of society, the failure of the Janata experiment, a gulf between the urban and industrial sectors, political instability, the prevalence of socioeconomic disparity, and a feeling of unfairness all contributed to the emergence of non-party groups [8].

Dalit Panthers was a violent Dalit Youth organization founded in Maharashtra in 1972. By reviving a mass action in many states, the Dalit Panthers tackled the concerns of fighting caste-based disparities, demanding efficient implementation of reservations, and social justice. Bharatiya Kisan Union was a major farmers' movement in the form of an agrarian fight of farmers against the process of Indian economy liberalization. The BKU sought increased government floor pricing, the removal of limitations, assured power supply, and the granting of a government pension to farmers. The Anti-Arrack Movement was founded by rural women in the state of Andhra Pradesh to combat drunkenness and mafias via a women's mobilization to restrict the selling of alcohol. This movement publicly highlighted domestic violence concerns such as dowry, sexual assault, and so on.

The Narmada Bachao Andolan was a loose collective local organization effort to rescue the Narmada River. It was opposed to the building of the Narmada Sagar dam and questioned existing development programs. NBA's original desire for restoration was replaced by

outright resistance to the Dam. The government implemented a comprehensive National Rehabilitation Policy in 2003. The movements are more than just demonstrations or protests; they are a long process of bringing people together by making them aware of their rights and expectations in order to contribute to the advancement of democracy rather than creating disturbances.

The history of these popular movements provides us with a clearer understanding of the nature of democratic politics. We have shown that these non-party movements are neither episodic nor problematic. These movements arose to address issues with the functioning of party politics and should be seen as a vital element of our democratic politics. They represented new social groupings whose economic and social complaints had not been addressed by political politics. Popular movements ensured that varied groups and their concerns were effectively represented. This lessened the likelihood of serious social conflict and disaffection from democracy among these populations. Popular movements proposed new kinds of active involvement, broadening the definition of participation in Indian democracy. Critics of these movements often contend that collective activities such as strikes, sit-ins, and demonstrations disrupt government functioning, postpone decision making, and destabilize democratic processes. This raises a more fundamental question: why do these movements resort to such forceful modes of action? In this chapter, we've seen how popular movements have elevated genuine people's demands and enlisted widespread citizen engagement.

It should be mentioned that these movements mobilize impoverished, socially and economically disadvantaged elements of society from fringe social groupings. Can we claim that movements are like political laboratories because of the frequency and strategies they employ? New experiments are conducted here, and the successful ones are adopted by parties. The movements show that the ordinary functioning of democracy did not allow for the views of these social groups to be heard. That is perhaps why these organizations resorted to huge actions and mobilizations outside of the electoral sphere. This is seen in the recent example of new economic policies. As you will see in Chapter Nine, there is increasing agreement across political parties on how to execute

these policies. As a result, those marginal socioeconomic groups that may be negatively impacted by these policies get less and less attention from political parties and the media. As a result, any successful opposition to these policies requires forceful forms of action taken up by public movements outside the framework of political parties [9] [10].

Movements are more than just collective claims or demonstrations and protests. They include a gradual process of bringing together individuals who have comparable issues, desires, and expectations. However, movements are also about educating people about their rights and the expectations they might have of democratic institutions. For a long time, social movements in India have been active in these educational duties, contributing to the spread of democracy rather than generating disturbances. One example is the battle for the right to information. However, the real-world influence of these movements on the character of governmental policy seems to be little. This is due in part to the fact that most modern movements are focused on a particular subject and reflect the interests of a certain segment of society.

As a result, it is easy to disregard their fair expectations. Democratic politics need a wide coalition of diverse marginalized socioeconomic groups. Under the leadership of these groups, such an alliance does not seem to be forming. Political parties are expected to bring together diverse interests, yet they seem incapable of doing so. Parties do not seem to be addressing concerns affecting marginalized social groups. The movements that take up these concerns do so in a very limited way. The link between popular movements and political parties has deteriorated over time, resulting in a void.

## CONCLUSION

Successful popular movements can affect public discourse, influence policy choices, and bring about structural or institutional changes. Popular movements may generate an enabling climate for policy changes and social transformation by increasing public awareness, influencing public opinion, and putting pressure on politicians. It is crucial to remember that popular movements may take many shapes and achieve differing degrees of success depending on the sociopolitical environment and nature of the concerns

addressed. The aforementioned qualities give a broad framework for understanding the dynamics and influence of popular movements.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Jodhka, "Why are the farmers of Punjab protesting?," *Journal of Peasant Studies*. 2021. doi: 10.1080/03066150.2021.1990047.
- [2] A. Jedlowski, "The Ziguéhi Movement and the Afterlives of Kung fu Films in Abidjan\*," *Ethnos*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/00141844.2021.1907432.
- [3] S. Sinha, S. Gururani, and B. Greenberg, "The 'new traditionalist' discourse of Indian environmentalism," *J. Peasant Stud.*, 1997, doi: 10.1080/03066159708438643.
- [4] A. A. Jan, "In the Shadow of Ghadar: Marxism and Anti-Colonialism in Colonial Punjab," *Social. Stud. Social.*, 2018, doi: 10.18740/ss27202.
- [5] J. Christensen, "An Environmental History of India: From Earliest Times to the Twenty-First Century by Michael H. Fisher," *Hist. Soc. / Soc. Hist.*, 2021, doi: 10.1353/his.2021.0016.
- [6] K. Jain, "Photos of the gods the printed image and political struggle in india Pinney, Christopher," *Mater. Relig.*, 2008, doi: 10.2752/175183408x288258.
- [7] T. Campbell, "The 'Winter of Native Discontent': A critical discourse analysis of Canadian opinion journalism on the Idle No More Movement," *COMPASS*, 2017, doi: 10.29173/comp48.
- [8] A. Ayob and M. Keuris, "Bollywood Cinema: A Transnational/Cultural Role," *J. Lit. Stud.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/02564718.2017.1334863.
- [9] R. Majumdar, "Subaltern studies as a history of social movements in India," *South Asia: Journal of South Asia Studies*. 2015. doi: 10.1080/00856401.2014.987338.
- [10] S. Paulson, " Now We Are Citizens: Indigenous Politics in Postmulticultural Bolivia by Nancy Postero ," *PoLAR Polit. Leg. Anthropol. Rev.*, 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1555-2934.2009.01055.x.

# A Brief Discussion of the Regional Aspirations in World

Dr. Yagnamurthy Raja

Assistant Professor, Master in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India,  
Email Id-narasimharaja@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *The desires, goals, and demands of various areas within a nation for more autonomy, self-governance, or acknowledgment of their unique cultural, linguistic, economic, or political identity are referred to as regional aspirations. These ambitions are often motivated by a feeling of regional identity as well as a conviction that the region's interests or needs are not effectively represented or handled within the current political or administrative system. Regions with different cultural or linguistic identities may demand greater acknowledgment and preservation of their cultural heritage. They could want to save and promote their language, traditions, customs, and creative manifestations.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Central Government, Democratic Politics, Indian Government, Indian Union, National Conferences.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

### The Region and the Country

The 1980s were a time of increased regional ambitions for autonomy, frequently outside the framework of the Indian Union. These revolutions usually included armed claims by the people, government repression, and the breakdown of the democratic and electoral systems. It is thus not unexpected that the majority of these fights were dragged out and ended in negotiated agreements or accords between the central government and the organizations driving the autonomy movement. The agreements were made during a conversation process aimed at resolving difficult problems within the constitutional framework. However, the road to the agreement was always bumpy and frequently violent [1].

### The Indian approach

We have constantly come across one key premise of the Indian attitude to diversity when studying the Indian Constitution and the process of nation-building: the Indian nation should not reject the rights of diverse regions and linguistic groups to keep their own culture. We resolved to live a single social life while retaining the uniqueness of the many cultures that comprised it. Indian nationalism attempted to strike a balance between the values of unity and variety. The country would not imply a rejection of the area. In this regard,

the Indian perspective was significantly different from that of many European nations, where cultural variety was seen as a danger to the country. India took a democratic stance on the issue of diversity. Democracy accepts regional desires as political manifestations and does not see them as anti-national. Furthermore, democratic politics enables parties and organizations to approach individuals based on their regional identities, aspirations, and distinctive regional challenges [2].

As a result of democratic politics, regional desires are enhanced. At the same time, democratic politics implies that regional interests and problems will be adequately addressed and accommodated in the policy-making process. Such an arrangement may sometimes cause tensions and issues. The need for national unity may often eclipse regional needs and ambitions. At times, our passion for the area may blind us to the bigger concerns of the country. As a result, political battles over regional authority, rights, and distinct existence are typical among states that desire to recognize variety while attempting to establish and maintain unity.

### Tension points

You saw in the first chapter how our country had to deal with many tough difficulties soon after independence, such as partition, relocation, merger of Princely States, state reorganization, and so on. Many analysts, both within and outside the nation, expected



that India as a united entity would not endure long. The problem of Jammu and Kashmir arose soon after independence. It was not only an Indian-Pakistani clash. More than that, it was an issue of the Kashmir valley's political ambitions. Similarly, there was no agreement in certain sections of the north-east regarding being a part of India. Strong movements in Nagaland and Mizoram demanded independence from India. Some Dravid movement organizations in the south briefly considered establishing a separate nation. Following these events, there were widespread calls for the construction of linguistic states in various regions of the world. These agitations were felt in modern-day Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Maharashtra, and Gujarat.

Protests were held in various regions of southern India, notably in Tamil Nadu, against making Hindi the country's official language. There were major pro-Hindi agitations in the north, asking that Hindi be proclaimed the national language soon. People speaking the Punjabi language began demanding for their own state in the late 1950s. This desire was eventually met, and the states of Punjab and Haryana were established in 1966. Following that, the states of Chhattisgarh, Uttarakhand, and Jharkhand were formed. Thus, the problem of variety was tackled by redrawing the country's internal borders. However, this did not result in the settlement of all difficulties and at all periods. In certain areas, like as Kashmir and Nagaland, the problem was so complicated that it could not be handled during the first period of nation-building. In addition, new issues have emerged in states like as Punjab, Assam, and Mizoram. Let's take a closer look at these examples. Let us return to some of the previous examples of nation-building issues in this process. These examples' achievements and failures are instructive not just for studying our history, but also for comprehending India's future [3].

#### **Jammu and Kashmir is a state in India.**

You've probably heard of the unrest in Jammu and Kashmir (J&K). Many lives have been lost as a consequence of this, as have many families. The 'Kashmir problem' has long been seen as a key source of contention between India and Pakistan. However, the political situation in the state has various facets. Jammu and Kashmir is divided into three sociopolitical regions: Jammu, Kashmir, and Ladakh. The Kashmir valley is the core of the Kashmir area;

the population are Kashmiri speaking and primarily Muslim, with a tiny Kashmiri speaking Hindu minority. Jammu is a combination of foothills and plains, Hindus, Muslims, and Sikhs, and people who speak a variety of languages. Ladakh is a hilly area with a small population that is evenly split between Buddhists and Muslims. The 'Kashmir problem' is more than simply a territorial conflict between India and Pakistan. This problem has both internal and exterior aspects. It concerns the topic of Kashmiri identity, known as Kashmiriyat, as well as the people of J&K's desire for political autonomy.

#### **The source of the issue**

Jammu and Kashmir (J&K) was a princely state prior to 1947. Its Hindu monarch, Hari Singh, refused to unite with India and attempted to negotiate an independent status for his state with both India and Pakistan. Because the bulk of the state's population was Muslim, Pakistani officials believed the Kashmir area 'belonged' to Pakistan. But this was not how the people viewed it; they regarded themselves first and foremost as Kashmiris. The popular movement in the state, headed by Sheikh Abdullah of the National Conference, wanted the Maharaja deposed but was opposed to joining Pakistan. The National Conference was a secular organization with a long history of working with the Congress. Some of the most prominent nationalist leaders, including Nehru, were personal friends of Sheikh Abdullah. Pakistan launched tribal infiltrators from their side to seize Kashmir in October 1947. This compelled the Maharaja to seek Indian military assistance. India provided military help and drove infiltrators out of Kashmir valley, but only after the Maharaja signed a 'Instrument of Accession' with the Indian government. It was also decided that after the situation had stabilized, the people of J&K's thoughts on their future would be discovered. In March 1948, Sheikh Abdullah was appointed Prime Minister of the State of J&K (the leader of the state's administration was then known as the Prime Minister). India committed to retain Jammu & Kashmir's autonomy [4].

#### **External and internal disagreements**

Jammu and Kashmir politics have been contentious and conflict-ridden ever since, for both foreign and internal causes. Pakistan has long contended that the Kashmir valley should be a part of Pakistan. As

previously stated, Pakistan funded a tribal invasion of the state in 1947, which resulted in one portion of the province falling under Pakistani administration. According to India, this territory is under illegal occupancy. This region is known as 'Azad Kashmir' in Pakistan. Kashmir has been a significant source of contention between India and Pakistan since 1947. Internally, there is disagreement concerning Kashmir's place inside the Indian union. You are aware that Article 370 of our Constitution grants Kashmir unique status. You studied the special provisions under articles 370 and 371 of the Indian Constitution at Work last year. Article 370 grants Jammu & Kashmir more autonomy than other Indian states.

The constitution of the state is unique. The State is exempt from all provisions of the Indian Constitution. Parliamentary laws apply to J&K only if the state agrees. This unique status has elicited two opposing responses. Outside of J&K, some individuals argue that the unique status granted by Article 370 does not allow for complete integration of the state with India. This section believes that Article 370 should be repealed and that J&K should be treated the same as any other Indian state. Another group, particularly Kashmiris, think that the autonomy granted by Article 370 is insufficient. At least three primary concerns have been raised by a portion of Kashmiris. First, the promise that Accession would be referred to the people of the State after the circumstances produced by tribal invasion had been normalized has not been kept. This has led to calls for a "plebiscite." Second, there is a widespread perception that the unique federal status promised by Article 370 has been undermined in reality. This has resulted in calls for the return of autonomy, or "Greater State Autonomy." Third, it is seen that the democracy practiced in the rest of India has not been equally institutionalized in the state of Jammu and Kashmir [5].

#### **Political history since 1948**

Sheikh Abdullah undertook massive land reforms and other programs that benefitted regular people after becoming Prime Minister. However, there was a developing schism between him and the central government over his stance on Kashmir's status. He was fired in 1953 and imprisoned for many years after that. The leadership that replaced him did not have as much public support and was only able to manage the state because of the Centre's backing. There were

strong claims of electoral misconduct and manipulation in many elections. The Congress party had considerable power in state politics for the majority of the time from 1953 to 1974. For a while, a weakened National Conference (without Sheikh Abdullah) held power with the active backing of Congress, but it eventually merged with the Congress. As a result, the Congress took direct control of the state government. Meanwhile, multiple efforts were made by Sheikh Abdullah and the Government of India to achieve an agreement. Finally, Indira Gandhi negotiated an accord with Sheikh Abdullah in 1974, and he was appointed Chief Minister of the State. He resurrected the National Conference, which was elected with a majority in the 1977 assembly elections [6].

Sheikh Abdullah died in 1982, and the National Conference was led by his son, Farooq Abdullah, who became Chief Minister. However, he was quickly sacked by the Governor, and a breakaway party of the National Conference briefly took control. The expulsion of Farooq Abdullah's cabinet as a result of the Centre's interference sowed animosity in Kashmir. The trust that Kashmiris had built in democratic procedures after the agreement between Indira Gandhi and Sheikh Abdullah was shattered. The sense that the Centre was meddling in state politics was reinforced when the National Conference decided to form an electoral alliance with the Congress, the dominant party in the Centre, in 1986.

#### **Insurgency and its aftermath**

The 1987 Assembly election took held in this atmosphere. The National Conference-Congress combination won a landslide win, and Farooq Abdullah was re-elected as Chief Minister. However, it was generally assumed that the results did not accurately represent public opinion and that the whole election process was manipulated. Since the early 1980s, a public revolt against the ineffective government has been developing in the state. This was exacerbated by the widely held belief that democratic procedures were being subverted at the behest of the Centre. This caused a political crisis in Kashmir, which worsened with the emergence of the insurgency. By 1989, the state had fallen under the control of a militant movement centered on the cause of a distinct Kashmiri country.

Pakistan provided moral, material, and military assistance to the militants. For many years, the state was ruled by the president and essentially controlled by the military forces. Jammu and Kashmir saw bloodshed at the hands of rebels and via army operations beginning in 1990. Assembly elections were conducted in the state only in 1996, when the National Conference headed by Farooq Abdullah swept to victory with a demand for regional autonomy for Jammu and Kashmir. In 2002, J&K had a relatively fair election. The People's Democratic Party (PDP) and Congress coalition administration took over when the National Conference failed to gain a majority [7].

### **Beyond segregation**

Separatist politics, which emerged in Kashmir in 1989, has taken several shapes and is composed of diverse elements. One group of separatists wants a distinct Kashmiri homeland, independent of both India and Pakistan. Then there are some who want Kashmir to be absorbed into Pakistan. Apart from this, a third strand seeks more autonomy for the people of the state inside the Indian union. The concept of autonomy appeals to the people of Jammu and Ladakh in a unique manner. They often complain about neglect and backwardness. As a result, the desire for intra-State autonomy is equal to the demand for State autonomy. The public backing for militancy has now given way to the desire for peace. The Centre has begun talks with several separatist factions. Instead than wanting an independent country, most separatists in discussion are attempting to renegotiate the State's relationship with India. Jammu and Kashmir is a prime example of a plural society and politics. There are not only religious, cultural, linguistic, ethnic, and tribal differences, but also distinct political goals. Despite all of these differences and divergences on the one hand, and the ongoing fight on the other, the State's multiple and secular culture has stayed substantially intact [8].

### **Punjab**

The 1980s also saw significant changes in the state of Punjab. The social makeup of the state altered initially as a result of partition, and then again with the carving off of Haryana and Himachal Pradesh. While the rest of the nation was reorganized along linguistic lines in the 1950s, Punjab had to wait until 1966 to establish a Punjabi-speaking state. The Akali Dal, which was created in 1920 as the political branch of the Sikhs, had

spearheaded the push for the construction of a 'Punjabi suba'. The Sikhs have gained a majority in the shortened state of Punjab.

### **Political setting**

Following the reorganization, the Akalis took control in 1967 and again in 1977. It was a coalition government on both occasions. Despite the redrawing of the borders, the Akalis recognized that their political situation remained unstable. First, the Centre removed their administration midway through its tenure. Second, they did not have widespread backing among Hindus. Third, the Sikh community, like all other religious groups, was divided along caste and socioeconomic lines. The Congress received greater support among dalits, both Hindu and Sikh, than the Akalis. In this setting, a segment of Akalis started to desire political autonomy for the area in the 1970s. This was reflected in a resolution adopted during their 1973 convention at Anandpur Sahib. The Anandpur Sahib Resolution affirmed regional autonomy and sought to reshape the country's center-state relationship.

The resolution also addressed the goals of the Sikh qaum (community or nation) and announced its objective to be Sikh bolbala (dominance or hegemony). The Resolution was intended to reinforce federalism, but it might equally be read as a call for the formation of a distinct Sikh country. The Resolution had little support among the Sikh people. After the Akali government was deposed in 1980, the Akali Dal initiated a push to address the issue of water distribution between Punjab and its neighboring states. A group of religious leaders highlighted the issue of independent Sikh identity. The most extremist elements began to advocate independence from India and the establishment of 'Khalistan.'

### **The vicious cycle**

Soon after, the movement's leadership shifted from moderate Akalis to extreme forces, and it assumed the shape of an armed insurgency. These militants established their headquarters within Amritsar's Sikh sacred place, the Golden Temple, and converted it into an armed stronghold. The Government of India took out 'Operation Blue Star,' a secret name for army operations in the Golden Temple, in June 1984. The government was successful in flushing out the extremists, but it also destroyed the ancient temple and

greatly offended Sikh emotions. A substantial number of Sikhs in India and abroad perceived the military action as an assault on their religion, which fueled militant and extremist organisations. A terrible set of circumstances exacerbated the Punjab situation even more. Prime Minister Indira Gandhi was killed outside her home on October 31, 1984, by her bodyguards. Both assassins were Sikhs seeking vengeance for Operation Bluestar. While the whole nation was horrified by this event, violence against the Sikh community erupted in Delhi and other parts of northern India [9].

The violence against Sikhs lasted almost a week. More than 2,000 Sikhs were slain in the national capital, which was the worst hit by the rioting. Hundreds of Sikhs were murdered in different regions of the nation, including in Kanpur, Bokaro, and Chas. Many Sikh households lost male members, resulting in significant emotional and financial hardship. What pained the Sikhs the most was the government's slowness in restoring normality and the ineffectiveness with which the perpetrators of the violence were punished. Twenty years later, in 2005, Prime Minister Manmohan Singh apologized to the country for the anti-Sikh riots and expressed sadness for the fatalities.

### **The Path to Peace**

Following his electoral victory in 1984, new Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi launched talks with moderate Akali leaders. In July 1985, he negotiated an accord with Harchand Singh Longowal, the Akali Dal's then-President. The Rajiv Gandhi-Longowal Accord, also known as the Punjab Accord, was a step toward normality in Punjab. It was decided that Chandigarh would be moved to Punjab, that a separate commission would be constituted to address the boundary issue between Punjab and Haryana, and that a tribunal would be established to determine how the Ravi-Beas river water would be shared among Punjab, Haryana, and Rajasthan. The accord also called for compensation and improved care for individuals harmed by militancy in Punjab, as well as the repeal of the Armed Forces Special Powers Act in the province. Peace, on the other hand, did not come easy or quickly. For over a decade, the cycle of violence persisted. Militancy and counterinsurgency violence resulted in police abuses and breaches of human rights. Politically, it resulted to the Akali Dal's split. The federal government was forced to establish President's

control in the state, and the usual election and political processes were halted. It was difficult to re-establish the political process in an environment of distrust and violence. When elections were conducted in Punjab in 1992, just 24% of eligible voters turned out. The security forces finally defeated militancy. However, the losses suffered by the people of Punjab, including Sikhs and Hindus, were great. By the mid-1990s, Punjab had found peace. In the first post-militancy elections in the state, the coalition of Akali Dal (Badal) and the BJP won a landslide win in 1997. The government is now again obsessed with economic growth and social reform. Though religious identities remain significant to individuals, politics has progressively shifted back to secular lines.

### **The region in the north-east**

Regional ambitions in the North-East reached a tipping point in the 1980s. This area is presently made up of seven states known as the "seven sisters." The region has just 4% of the country's population but almost twice as much land area. A 22-kilometer-long road links the area to the rest of the nation. Aside from that, the area shares borders with China, Myanmar, and Bangladesh and acts as India's gateway to South East Asia. Since 1947, the area has changed dramatically. Tripura, Manipur, and the Khasi Hills of Meghalaya were former princely states that joined with India upon independence. The whole North-East area has experienced significant political reorganization. Nagaland became a distinct state in 1963, Manipur, Tripura, and Meghalaya in 1972, and Mizoram and Arunachal Pradesh in 1987.

The partition of India in 1947 rendered the North-East a landlocked area, affecting the region's economy. Because it was cut off from the rest of India, the area suffered from developmental neglect. Its politics remained secluded as well. At the same time, most states in this area saw significant demographic shifts as a result of migration from neighboring states and nations. The region's isolation, its complex socioeconomic structure, and its backwardness in comparison to other areas of the nation have all resulted in a convoluted set of demands from several North-East states. The large international border and poor connection between the North-East and the rest of India have exacerbated the sensitivity of the region's politics. Three concerns dominate North-East politics: calls for autonomy, secessionist movements, and

antagonism to 'outsiders'. Major efforts in the 1970s prepared the foundation for some major developments in the 1980s on the second and third issues.

### **Demands for independence**

Except for Manipur and Tripura, the whole area formed the State of Assam at the time of independence. When non-Assamese believed that the Assam government was forcing Assamese language on them, they demanded political autonomy. Throughout the state, there were dissent and protest riots. Leaders of the main tribal groups want independence from Assam. They established the Eastern India Tribal Union, which subsequently evolved into the All Party Hill Leaders Conference in 1960. They urged that Assam be divided into tribal states. Finally, instead of a single tribal state, Assam was divided into many states. At various periods in time, the Central Government was forced to separate Assam into Meghalaya, Mizoram, and Arunachal Pradesh. Tripura and Manipur were also elevated to the status of states.

The North-East's reorganization was finished by 1972. However, this was not the end of the region's autonomous claims. Communities like as the Bodos, Karbis, and Dimasas in Assam, for example, desired independent states. They pushed for this demand through mobilizing public opinion, popular movement, and insurgency. The same territory was often claimed by more than one group. It was impossible to keep creating smaller and smaller states. As a result, various aspects of our federal structure were employed to meet their autonomy aspirations while staying in Assam. District Councils have awarded autonomy to Karbis and Dimasas, while Autonomous Councils have lately been granted to Bodos.

### **Movements for secession**

Autonomy demands were simpler to react to since they included using different provisions in the Constitution for accommodating diversity. It was far more difficult when certain factions desired a separate nation out of principle rather than out of rage. For a long period, the country's government struggled with this issue in at least two North-East states. A comparison of these two situations teaches us about democratic politics. Following independence, the Mizo Hills region was designated as an independent district within Assam.

Some Mizos claimed that since they had never been a part of British India, they did not belong to the Indian union. However, the secessionist movement acquired public support when the Assam government failed to appropriately react to the catastrophic famine of 1959 in the Mizo highlands. The Mizos' rage resulted in the founding of the Mizo National Front (MNF), headed by Laldenga. The MNF launched an armed fight for independence in 1966 [10].

Thus began a two-decade-long conflict between Mizo militants and the Indian army. The MNF conducted a guerrilla war, received government assistance, and found refuge in what was then East Pakistan. The Indian security forces responded with a series of oppressive actions, the victims of which were the ordinary people. Even the Air Force was employed at one time. People become increasingly enraged and alienated as a result of these measures. Everyone was a loser at the conclusion of two decades of conflict. This is where the maturity of political leadership on both sides of the aisle made a difference. Laldenga returned from exile in Pakistan and began talks with the Indian government. Rajiv Gandhi guided these discussions to a successful finish. Rajiv Gandhi and Laldenga signed a peace pact in 1986. According to this agreement, Mizoram was awarded full statehood with specific rights, and the MNF pledged to end its separatist movement. Laldenga was appointed Chief Minister.

This agreement was a watershed moment in Mizoram's history. Mizoram is now one of the most tranquil locations in the region, and it has made significant achievements in literacy and development. Nagaland's tale is similar to Mizoram's, except that it began far earlier and has yet to have a happy conclusion. A portion of the Nagas, led by Angami Zaphu Phizo, sought independence from India in 1951. Many negotiated settlement proposals were rejected down by Phizo. The Naga National Council began an armed battle for Naga statehood. Following a period of violent insurgency, a segment of the Nagas reached an agreement with the Indian government, but this was rejected by other insurgents. Nagaland's situation has yet to be fully resolved.

### **Opposition to foreigners**

The large-scale migration into the north-east created a unique challenge that pitted 'local' populations against persons seen as 'outsiders' or migrants. These

latecomers, whether from India or elsewhere, are seen as encroachers on limited resources such as land and prospective rivals for jobs and political power. This problem has taken on political and occasionally violent dimensions in several north-eastern states. The finest example of such protests against 'outsiders' is the Assam Movement, which lasted from 1979 to 1985. The Assamese thought that large numbers of illegal Bengali Muslim immigrants from Bangladesh were there. They believed that unless these alien immigrants were apprehended and removed, the indigenous Assamese would be reduced to a minority. There were also other economic concerns. Despite the presence of natural riches like as oil, tea, and coal, Assam had severe poverty and unemployment.

It was considered that they were being siphoned out of the state with no corresponding benefit to the citizens. In 1979, the All Assam Students' Union (AASU), a non-party student organization, organized an anti-foreigner agitation. The campaign was against illegal migration, the dominance of Bengalis and other foreigners, and a flawed voter registration list that contained the names of thousands of immigrants. The campaign asked that all foreigners who had entered the country after 1951 be deported. The protest used a variety of creative ways to mobilize all segments of Assamese society, garnering support from throughout the state. It also included several catastrophic and violent episodes that resulted in the loss of property and human life. The action also attempted to obstruct rail traffic and the flow of oil from Assam to refineries in Bihar. After six years of turbulence, the Rajiv Gandhi administration stepped into discussions with the leaders of the AASU, which resulted in the signing of an agreement in 1985 [11].

Foreigners who relocated to Assam during and after the Bangladesh conflict and subsequently were to be recognized and deported, according to this agreement. Following the successful conclusion of the struggle, the AASU and the Asom Gana Sangram Parishad merged to become the Asom Gana Parishad (AGP), a regional political organization. It came to power in 1985 on the promise of settling the foreign national issue and constructing a "Golden Assam." The Assam Accord provided peace and transformed the face of Assam politics, but it did not address the issue of immigration. The topic of 'outsiders' is a living issue in the politics of Assam and many other North-East

states. This is especially apparent in Tripura, where the native people have been reduced to becoming a minority on their own territory. The similar sentiment underpins the locals' hatred for Chakma refugees in Mizoram and Arunachal Pradesh.

### DISUSSION

These incidents demonstrate that, after six decades of independence, certain concerns of national integration remain unresolved. We've witnessed a rise in regional ambitions ranging from requests for statehood and economic growth to autonomy and independence. The time after 1980 has heightened these tensions and put democratic politics to the test in meeting the expectations of various segments of society. What can we learn from these examples? The first and most basic lesson is that regional ambitions are an important aspect of democratic politics. The expression of regional difficulties is neither an anomaly nor an aberrant occurrence. Even in tiny nations like the United Kingdom, Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland have regional ambitions.

The Basques in Spain are attempting to secede, as are the Tamils in Sri Lanka. A vast and diversified democracy like India must often deal with regional demands. Building a nation is a constant effort. The second lesson is that democratic talks, rather than repression, are the best approach to react to regional ambitions. Consider the scenario in the 1980s: militancy had erupted in Punjab, issues persisted in the north-east, students in Assam were demonstrating, and the Kashmir valley was on fire. Rather of approaching these as basic law and order issues, the Indian government struck a negotiated solution with regional groups. This resulted in reconciliation, which eased tensions in many areas. The example of Mizoram demonstrates how a political solution may successfully overcome the issue of separatism. The third lesson emphasizes the need of power sharing. A formal democratic organization alone is insufficient. Aside from that, regional groupings and parties must be granted a share of power at the state level. Similarly, claiming that nations or regions have autonomy in their affairs is insufficient. The country is made up of the regions. As a result, the regions must have a say in determining the nation's fate. If regions are denied a say in national-level decision-making, a sense of unfairness and alienation may grow. The

fourth lesson is that regional disparities in economic growth lead to a sense of discrimination. Regional imbalance is a reality of India's growth. Naturally, backward states or backward areas in certain states believe that their backwardness should be addressed first and that the Indian government's actions have contributed to this imbalance.

If some nations stay impoverished while others quickly prosper, regional imbalances and inter-regional migrations result. Finally, these incidents highlight the foresight of our country's founders in dealing with issues of diversity. India's federal system is a versatile organization. While most states have equal powers, others, such as J&K and the states in the north-east, have exceptional provisions. The Constitution's Sixth Schedule grants various tribes unlimited sovereignty in retaining their customs and customary laws. These measures were critical in addressing some very complicated political issues in the north-east. The constitutional structure in India is significantly more flexible and accommodating than in many other nations facing comparable difficulties. As a result, regional ambitions are not encouraged to advocate for separation. Thus, Indian politics has succeeded in adopting regionalism as an integral aspect of democratic politics. Despite the fact that the British empire in India ended in 1947, Portugal refused to leave the territories of Goa, Diu, and Daman, which had been under Portuguese colonial administration since the sixteenth century. During their lengthy reign, the Portuguese oppressed the inhabitants of Goa, denying them civic rights and forcing them to convert to Christianity.

Following India's independence, the Indian government attempted to urge the Portuguese government to depart. There was also a significant public movement for liberation in Goa. They were bolstered by Maharashtra's socialist satyagrahis. Finally, in December 1961, the Government of India sent an army that freed these regions in less than two days. Goa, Diu, and Daman were made Union Territories. Soon after, another difficulty occurred. One faction, led by the Maharashtrawadi Gomanatak Party (MGP), demanded that Goa, as a Marathi-speaking province, be merged with Maharashtra. Many Goans, however, were determined to preserve their distinct Goan identity and culture, notably the Konkani language. The United Goan Party (UGP)

headed them. The Central Government sponsored a special 'opinion poll' in Goa in January 1967, asking residents whether they wanted to be a part of Maharashtra or stay distinct. A referendum-style approach was utilized to determine people's preferences on this subject. The majority of voters chose to stay outside of Maharashtra. As a result, Goa remained a Union Territory. Finally, in 1987, Goa was admitted to the Indian Union as a state.

### CONCLUSION

Regional ambitions may emerge as a result of perceived imbalances in development and infrastructure between various parts of a nation. Regions that feel disadvantaged or ignored in terms of economic prospects, governmental services, and infrastructure development may advocate for more attention and investment. In extreme circumstances, regional ambitions may lead to autonomy movements or secessionist inclinations, in which areas seek total independence or separation from the central government to create their own independent political entity. It is vital to recognize that regional ambitions may be complicated and impacted by a range of elements such as historical, cultural, economic, and political dynamics. The manner in which these ambitions are met and accommodated by the central government has a significant impact on a country's overall political stability and social cohesion. Balancing regional ambitions with the requirement for national unity and coherence is a major difficulty for governments in varied and decentralized nations.

### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ghosal, "Aspirations failure, disadvantage traps and inclusive regional policy," *Natl. Inst. Econ. Rev.*, 2021, doi: 10.1017/nie.2020.51.
- [2] Z. J. Acs, L. Szerb, and A. Lloyd, "The Global Entrepreneurship Index 2018," *Glob. Entrepreneursh. Index 2018*, 2018.
- [3] S. Chakravarty and R. Negi, "Introduction: Contested urbanism in delhi's interstitial spaces," *Exploring Urban Change in South Asia*. 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-81-322-2154-8\_1.
- [4] J. de Mouzon, G. M. Chambers, F. Zegers-Hochschild, R. Mansour, O. Ishihara, M. Banker, S. Dyer, M. Kupka, and G. David Adamson, "International committee for monitoring assisted reproductive technologies world report: Assisted reproductive technology 2012," *Hum. Reprod.*,

- 2020, doi: 10.1093/humrep/deaa090.
- [5] C. Agius and A. Mundkur, "The Australian Foreign Policy White Paper, gender and conflict prevention: ties that don't bind," *Aust. J. Int. Aff.*, 2020, doi: 10.1080/10357718.2020.1744518.
- [6] D. Mulyana, "Legislative Members' Interpretation of Community's Aspiration," *Mimb. J. Sos. dan Pambang.*, 2020, doi: 10.29313/mimbar.v36i1.5656.
- [7] R. Hickman, S. Saxena, D. Banister, and O. Ashiru, "Examining transport futures with scenario analysis and MCA," *Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract.*, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2011.11.006.
- [8] A. Krickovic and I. Pellicciari, "From 'Greater Europe' to 'Greater Eurasia': Status concerns and the evolution of Russia's approach to alignment and regional integration," *J. Eurasian Stud.*, 2021, doi: 10.1177/1879366521998808.
- [9] P. A. Jiboku, "The Challenge of Regional Economic Integration in Africa: Theory and Reality," *Africa's Public Serv. Deliv. Perform. Rev.*, 2015, doi: 10.4102/apsdpr.v3i4.96.
- [10] J. Onuki, F. Mouron, and F. Urdinez, "Latin American Perceptions of Regional Identity and Leadership in Comparative Perspective," *Context. Int.*, 2016, doi: 10.1590/s0102-8529.2016380100012.
- [11] D. Wettstein, "Resort Architecture in Regional Perceptions," *Prostor*, 2021, doi: 10.31522/p.29.2(62).6.





# Recent Developments in Indian Politics

Dr. Vinay Muddu

Professor, Master in Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India

Email Id-muddu.vinay@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *India is a Sovereign Socialist Secular Democratic Republic with a Parliamentary government that is federal in structure and unitary in nature. A Council of Ministers, led by the Prime Minister, advises the President, who is the country's constitutional leader. Terrorism, Naxalism, religious violence, and caste-related violence are major challenges affecting the Indian nation's political climate. In this chapter, we discussed the recent development in Indian politics.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Backward Classes, Coalition Politics, Congress Party, Indian Politics, Indira Gandhi.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

The fall of the Congress party in the 1989 elections was the most significant event of this time. The party, which had won 415 Lok Sabha seats in 1984, was reduced to 197 in this election. After the mid-term elections in 1991, the Congress improved its performance and returned to power. However, the 1989 elections signaled the end of what political scientists refer to as the "Congress system." To be sure, the Congress has remained an influential party and has dominated the nation more than any other party since 1989. However, it has lost the prominence it formerly had in the party system. The advent of the 'Mandal problem' in national politics was the second development. This followed the new National Front government's decision in 1990 to execute the Mandal Commission's recommendation that employment in central government be reserved for the Other Backward Classes. This sparked violent 'antiMandal' rallies throughout the nation. The 'Mandal problem' was a disagreement between proponents and opponents of OBC reservations that would play a significant role in influencing politics since 1989. The murder of Indira Gandhi in 1984 left the destiny of Congress leadership in the hands of a youthful and dynamic Rajiv Gandhi. Rajiv Gandhi's charismatic young and ambitious leadership led to a resounding win for the Congress. However, as the 1990s drew to a conclusion, there were five occurrences that left a lasting impression on Indian politics [1], [2].

**The End of Congressism:** The most notable event of this time was Congress' loss in the 1989 national elections. In the 1989 elections, a party that received

415 seats in the Lok Sabha was reduced to 197 seats. The midterm elections of 1991 witnessed the return of a Congress-led administration to power, although it was unable to restore the CONGRESS's former splendor. Political scientists called it the end of the Congress system. Without a question, Congress has remained an influential political party, ruling the nation more than any other since Independence. It has, however, lost some of its former prominence [3].

**Mandalisation of Indian Politics:** Another significant development in national politics has been the Mandal Commission's proposal in Indian politics. The 1990 National Front Government chose to adopt the Mandal Commission's recommendations for employment reservation for other Backward Classes (OBCs) in federal government. It sparked violent anti-mandal rallies throughout the nation. This conflict between supporters and opponents of OBC reservation was known as the Mandal controversy, and it had a significant impact on Indian politics since 1989.

**New Economic Reforms:** Successive administrations' economic policies have taken a drastic turn. It is referred to as a structural adjustment program or new economic reforms. These improvements, initiated by Rajiv Gandhi, were obvious by 1991 and significantly altered India's economic trajectory since independence. Despite widespread criticism from many groups and organizations, successive administrations have persevered with this dramatic shift dubbed as New Economic Policy [4].

The establishment of the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP), which represents the rightist viewpoint on Indian politics, has been a significant development in Indian politics. A series of events led to the destruction of the

Babri Masjid in Ayodhya in December 1992. This tragedy triggered a cascade of political changes and heightened arguments over Indian nationalism and secularism. These trends were integrally tied to the BJP's growth.

**Change in Congress Leadership:** Following Rajiv Gandhi's murder in 1991, the Congress party saw a leadership transition. Rajiv Gandhi was slain during an election campaign in Tamil Nadu by LTTE insurgents allied to Sri Lankan Tamils. Congress emerged as the single biggest party in the 1991 elections. Following Rajiv Gandhi's death, Narsimha Rao was appointed Prime Minister.

### **The Origin Of Coalition Politics In India Features Of Coalition Politics**

Coalitions are formed for material or psychological gain. The existence of a coalition presupposes the presence of two or more partners. Coalition is founded on the basic reality of a brief convergence of certain interests. Coalition politics is not a one-time event. It is a fluid situation in which alliances disintegrate and new ones emerge. In coalitions, compromise takes precedence over intransigence. Coalition operations are guided by a Common Minimum Programme (CMP). Coalition politics is the pinnacle of politics, which implies the art of making the impossible possible [5].

### **Coalition politics in india**

For a long period, the Congress party had a majority in India. For over 20 years (1947-67), Congress received around 45% of the public vote. There were no opposition parties that received more than 6% of the vote. The Congress's unrivaled power was, however, challenged in the 1967 general elections. The drought, currency depreciation, and increase in non-Congress electorate resulted in Congress winning by a narrow majority of 23 seats. Furthermore, it lost control of six state legislatures. It paved the way for Indira Gandhi's ascendancy. She attempted to address the impoverished classes with populist policies such as the elimination of privy purses and the nationalization of banks. Her populist program alienated her from the Congress party organization, culminating to her removal by Congress' seasoned old guards headed by Morarji Desai [6].

These tactics, however, backfired when Indira Gandhi divided the Congress and received the backing of the

majority of Lok Sabha members. For the first time, she led a minority administration with the help of Communists and other regional parties. However, Indira Gandhi called a fast election and won the 1971 elections as the leader of the Congress (R), with the opposition group, the Congress (O), experiencing a terrible hammering. She received 48% of the overall vote and 68% of the Lok Sabha seats. However, the scenario altered again after four years. The drought and rising energy and food costs caused political upheaval. When the Allahabad High Court ruled that her election was invalid, she proclaimed a state of emergency and restricted civil freedoms. The whole opposing Company was imprisoned, and the judiciary's powers were limited by constitutional modifications. Indira Gandhi governed as a virtual dictator for two years, declaring a state of emergency across the nation. Mrs. Gandhi called for unexpected elections in 1977. The Congress was defeated due to the solidarity of the whole anti-Congress opposition. The Janata Party, a bizarre conglomeration of anti-Congress factions, battled against Indira Gandhi's emergency harshness. It received 41% of the popular vote and won 55% of the Lok Sabha seats [7].

### **DISCUSSION**

Following Indira Gandhi's murder, Rajiv Gandhi became Prime Minister, leading the Congress to a landslide victory in the 1984 Lok Sabha elections. Five events occurred throughout the 1980s that had a long-lasting influence on our politics. They were as follows: The Congress party was defeated in the 1989 elections. The 'Mandal Issue' is gaining traction in national politics. The economic policy pursued by numerous governments (also known as new economic policy). A series of events resulted in the December 1992 destruction of the disputed edifice at Ayodhya (known as the Babri Masjid). The killing of Rajiv Gandhi in May 1991 resulted in a shift in Congress party leadership. The Congress party's control was challenged in the late 1960s, but the Congress, led by Indira Gandhi, was able to re-establish its strong position. Following the 1989 elections, India's political growth began an era of coalition administrations at the center, with regional parties playing an important role in forging governing coalitions.

**Politics of Alliances**

Elections in 1989 ushered in a new phase in Indian politics, ushering in the age of coalition administration. Regional parties were crucial to the United Front administration that took power in 1996. In the elections of 1991 and 1996, the BJP continued to solidify its position, and it emerged as the biggest party in the 1996 election and was asked to form the government. With the 1989 elections, India entered a lengthy period of coalition politics. Since then, there have been nine central administrations, all of which have been coalition or minority governments backed by other parties [8].

**Other Backward Classes' Political Rise**

When support for the Congress fell across various parts of the "backward castes," it opened a room for non-Congress parties to gain popularity. Many Janata party components, such as the Bhartiya Kranti Dal and the Samyukta party, had a strong rural base among certain sectors of the OBC. During the 1980s and 1990s, various parties emerged that sought improved chances for OBCs in education and work, as well as raising the issue of the OBCs' share of power. The Mandal Commission was formed to look into the amount of educational and social backwardness in different segments of Indian society. Following an examination, the panel suggested allocating 27% of seats in educational institutions and government posts to these groups. The commission's recommendations were enacted by the national front government in August 1990. Political Fallouts From 1980 until the present, caste politics dominated Indian politics. In 1989 and 1991, it was the first time in independent India that a political party (BSP) backed by Dalit voters scored a historic electoral victory.

**Democracy, Secularism, and Communalism**

During the 1990s, religious identity politics evolved in India, and debates concerning secularism and democracy gained traction. Following the Shah Bano case in 1985, the BJP established itself as a "Hindutva Party." Mir Baqi, the General of Mughal Emperor Babur, erected the Babri Masjid in Ayodhya in the 16th century. Some Hindus think it was erected following the destruction of a temple dedicated to Lord Rama. The conflict took the shape of a court lawsuit and has lasted for decades. On December 6, 1992, the Babri Masjid was destroyed. Following the

destruction, the news sparked confrontations between Hindus and Muslims throughout the nation. Large-scale violence against Muslims occurred in Gujarat in February and March 2002. Godhra was the starting point for the violence.

This episode highlights the risks of using religious beliefs for political gain. Since the 1989 election, the votes cast by the two parties-Congress and BJP-have not totaled more than 50%. During the 1990s, political struggle was split between the coalition headed by the BJP and the coalition led by the Congress. Lok Sabha Elections 2004The alliance headed by the BJP National Democratic Alliance was defeated in the 2004 elections, and a new coalition led by the Congress, known as the United Progressive Alliance, took power.

**Increasing Agreement**

Following 1990, an agreement seems to have evolved among most parties, consisting of the following elements: Consensus on new economic policy. Acceptance of the backward classes' political and social demands. Acceptance of the role of state-level parties in national government. Political partnerships without ideological consensus and an emphasis on pragmatic reasons rather than ideological convictions. They also serve as lobbying organizations in Indian politics. Regional parties may sometimes persuade the Central Government to provide greater yearly budget funding to their states at the cost of other states.

During the decade of eighties, five changes took occurred in nation with long lasting influence i.e. the end of the Congress regime in 1980, Mandal issues in 1990, New Economic Reforms in 1991, the Ayodhya conflict in 1992, and Rajiv Gandhi's assassination in 1991. With the collapse of the Congress Party in 1989, an age of coalitions began, with no one party securing a clear majority of seats in any Lok Sabha election conducted since 1989, and regional parties played a critical role in building government alliances. In the 1990s, major parties and organizations emerged to represent Dalits and backward castes, as well as regional concerns. There have now been nine central administrations, all of which have been coalition governments or minority governments backed only by other parties or regional parties. The Mandal Issue began when the national front government decided to implement the Mandal Commission's recommendation that jobs in central government be

reserved for other backward classes, resulting in a violent anti- Mandal protest in the country between supporters and opponents of OBC reservations.

Janata Party members such as Bharatiya Kranti Dal and the 'Samyukt Socialist Party' had a strong rural base among various parts of the OBCs as well as BAMCEF, i.e. In 1978, the Backward and Minority Classes Employees Federation was created, adopting a strong stance in favor of Bahujan the SC, ST, OBCs, and minorities gaining political power. It culminated in the creation of the (BSP) Bahujan Samaj Party, led by Kanshi Ram. Hindutva simply means V.D.'s definition of Hinduism. To be a part of the Indian nation, everyone must embrace India not only as their fatherland 'Pitribhu' but also as their hold country 'Punyabhu'.

The Ayodhya issue began in December 1992 with the destruction of the Babri Masjid in Ayodhya to symbolize numerous shifts in politics and disputes over Indian nationalism and secularism. These trends are linked to the emergence of the BJP and Hindutva politics. The Political processes after the 1990s showed the emergence of broadly four groups of parties i.e. parties in coalition with Congress, parties in alliance with BJP, left front parties, others who are not part of the rest to make political competition multi-concerned. The Anti-Muslim Riots took place in the form of violence against Muslims through an incident at a station called Godhra 2002 when a bogey, full of Karsevaks was set on fire and suspected the hand of Muslims in it.

Human Rights Commission criticized Gujarat government's role in failing to control violence and showed that government machinery also becomes susceptible to passion and alert us to dangers involved in using religious sentiments for political purposes. In the face of strong rivalry and numerous confrontations, a consensus seems to have evolved among most parties consisting of primary four aspects, i.e., Agreement or new economic policies, acceptance of political and social claims of backward classes, acceptance of role of state level Parties in governance of country and emphasis on pragmatic considerations rather than ideological positions and political alliances without ideological agreement. Several political parties work together to reduce the power of any one party inside a coalition administration [9].

It establishes governance on the basis of a shared minimum program. The key reason for this structure is because no party can get a majority in Parliament on its own. A coalition government is also formed during a national crisis, such as a war or an economic downturn. If a coalition falls apart, a confidence vote or a motion of no-confidence is conducted. Several political parties work together to reduce the power of any one party inside a coalition administration. It establishes governance on the basis of a shared minimum program. The key reason for this structure is because no party can get a majority in Parliament on its own. A coalition government is also formed during a national crisis, such as a war or an economic downturn. If a coalition falls apart, a confidence vote or a motion of no-confidence is conducted.

From 2004 to 2009, and again from 2009 to 2014, India had coalition governments: Following the May 2014 legislative elections, the National Democratic Alliance (NDA) took power, with Mr. Narendra Modi as Prime Minister. Despite the fact that the BJP had won a landslide victory. At the national level, the Janta party led the formation of India's first coalition government under Prime Minister Morarji Desai, which lasted from March 24, 1977 until July 15, 1979. This administration was unable to finish its term. The Bharatiya Janata Party-led National Democratic Alliance, with Mr. Atal Behari Vajpayee as Prime Minister from 1999 to 2004, was India's first coalition administration to successfully complete its five-year mandate. The United Progressive Alliance (UPA), comprised of thirteen distinct parties from around the nation, reigned effectively for two terms [10].

### CONCLUSION

Since November 2020, large-scale demonstrations have taken place largely in Punjab, Haryana, and portions of Uttar Pradesh, driven by farmers opposed to the government's three agricultural reform policies. Concerns have been voiced concerning the influence of these rules on agricultural methods, farmer income, and the wider agrarian sector as a result of the demonstrations. The demonstrations have garnered substantial national and international attention. Several state elections have been held in 2019 with significant results. Following the 2019 elections in Maharashtra, a coalition government was established by the Shiv Sena, Nationalist Congress Party (NCP),

and Indian National Congress (INC). In West Bengal, the Trinamool Congress, headed by Mamata Banerjee, won a resounding win against the BJP in the 2021 legislative elections. The Indian political environment is characterized by complex coalition dynamics at the national and state levels. Regional parties continue to play an important role in many states, often creating coalitions with national parties to achieve political clout. State government creation via coalitions and realignments has been a prominent element of Indian politics.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. P. Shah, "Queering critiques of neoliberalism in India: Urbanism and inequality in the era of transnational 'LGBTQ' rights," *Antipode*, 2015, doi: 10.1111/anti.12112.
- [2] J. E. Llewellyn, "'A victory for secular India'? Hindu nationalism in the 2004 election," *Polit. Relig.*, 2011, doi: 10.1017/S1755048310000520.
- [3] A. Varghese, "Combating Capitalism: A Case Study of Left Polity in Kerala," *Int. Crit. Thought*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/21598282.2021.1886593.
- [4] P. R. deSouza, M. S. Alam, and H. Ahmed, *Companion to Indian Democracy: Resilience, Fragility, Ambivalence*. 2021. doi: 10.4324/9781003219477.
- [5] G. R. Dahal, "The Nepal's Political Relationship with India: Under the Lens of Path Dependency Theory," *Dhaulagiri J. Sociol. Anthropol.*, 2020, doi: 10.3126/dsaj.v14i0.26207.
- [6] S. V. Mishra, "Rivers divided: Indus basin waters in the making of India and Pakistan," *Contemp. South Asia*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/09584935.2017.1403097.
- [7] C. J. Fuller, "HISTORY, ANTHROPOLOGY, COLONIALISM, AND THE STUDY OF INDIA," *Hist. Theory*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/hith.10821.
- [8] A. I. Malik, "Democratic Peace, Pakistan-India Relations and the Possibilities of Economic Cooperation in South Asia," *South Asian Stud.*, 2016.
- [9] V. Chhotray, "Decentralised development: State practices from India's watershed development programme," *PQDT - Glob.*, 2003.
- [10] L. Obijiofor and S. B. Singh, "Coping with change in India's media: Struggles of english-language journalists in an evolving mediascape," *Pacific Journal. Rev.*, 2020, doi: 10.24135/pjr.v26i2.1076.

# Majoritarianism is a Political Theory

Dr. Lakshmi Prasanna Pagadala

Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management), Presidency University,  
Bangalore, India

Email Id-lakshmi.prasanna@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Majoritarianism is a political ideology that promotes majority control in decision-making processes within a community or political system. According to this view, the majority's will or preferences should take precedence over those of minority groups or people. Majoritarianism is often related to the notion of majority rule, which states that choices are made based on the desires of the greatest number of people. Majoritarianism asserts that choices should be decided based on the desires of the majority, often via voting or other democratic procedures. The majority's will is seen as the rightful manifestation of popular sovereignty. Minority Rights Protection: While majoritarianism promotes majority rule, it also acknowledges the need of preserving minority groups' rights and interests. This is often accomplished by including protections, such as constitutional clauses, to avoid majority tyranny and defend individual liberty.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Decision Making, Electoral System, Majority Rule, Minority Future, Over Minority.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

Majoritarianism is a political theory or ideology that asserts that a majority based on religion, language, socioeconomic class, or another category of the population is entitled to a particular level of priority in society and has the authority to make choices that influence society. In order to preserve individuals' basic rights, liberal democracies have progressively put restrictions on what the legislative majority may accomplish. This is not to be confused with the notion of a majoritarian electoral system, which is a basic electoral system in which the party with the most votes wins a majority of seats. A parliament chosen in this manner is referred to as a majoritarian parliament (for example, the Parliament of the United Kingdom or the Parliament of India). In a democratic majoritarian political framework, the majority would not preclude any minority from future democratic involvement. Majoritarianism is often derisively referred to as "ochlocracy" or "tyranny of the majority" by its detractors. Majoritarianism is also known as majority rule, which may refer to a majority class dominating over a minority class but does not relate to the decision process known as majority rule. It is the concept that the majority community should have the right to control a nation in whichever manner they see fit [1]. Majoritarian contend that majority decision making is inherently democratic, and that any restrictions on

majority decision making are inherently undemocratic. If democracy is constrained by a constitution that cannot be modified by a simple majority vote, then yesterday's majority takes precedence over today's. When it is limited by a small number of people, such as nobles, judges, priests, warriors, or philosophers, society becomes an oligarchy. In a majoritarian system, the sole legitimate constraint is that a present majority has no authority to prohibit a new majority from arising in the future; this may happen, for example, if a minority persuades enough of the majority to alter its stance. A majority, in particular, cannot exclude a minority from future participation in the democratic process [2].

Majoritarianism does not forbid representatives from making decisions as long as the choice is reached by majority rule and may be changed at any moment by a new majority arising in the future. One criticism of Majoritarianism is that systems lacking supermajority requirements for altering voting rules are likely to be unstable. Other criticisms of Majoritarianism include the reality that most choices are made by plurality rather than majority, unless the voting mechanism arbitrarily confines candidates or alternatives to just two. As a result of Gibber's theorem and Arrow's paradox, no voting system with more than two possibilities can conform to both particular "fairness" standards and rational decision-making criteria.

Furthermore, if Majoritarianism is not regulated, minority groups' rights may be jeopardized. Some democracies have attempted to address this by demanding supermajority approval to amend core rights. For example, in the United States, the rights to free speech and religious freedom are incorporated into the Constitution, which means repealing the rights would need more than a simple majority of members of Congress. Other democracies have attempted to counter challenges to minority rights by implementing proportional voting systems that guarantee minority political groupings at least some seats in their national legislatures. Examples include New Zealand, which uses mixed-member proportional voting, and Australia, which uses a single transferable vote system. It is debatable whether these approaches were successful in defending minority interests or went too far. Another critical analysis of Majoritarianism is that when it emerges as a form of governance that uses nationalist and populist markers of inclusion and exclusion, majoritarianism is "authoritarian by definition"; because supporters of a nationalist-populist majoritarianism claim to be "the people" (where only one "the people" is allowed), there is little room for pluralism. Scholars point out that this kind of government may be witnessed in India under Narendra Modi's reign [3], [4].

### **Types**

As a governance idea, majoritarianism may take numerous forms. The traditional form consists of unicameralism and a unitary state. Qualified majoritarianism is a more inclusive type that includes some devolution and federalism. Integrative majoritarianism includes a number of organizations designed to protect minority communities and promote moderate political parties [5].

### **Legacy and history**

There are just a few examples of large-scale majority rule in recorded history, most notably the Athenian democracy and other ancient Greek city-states' majoritarian systems. Some contend, however, that none of those Greek city-states were actually majority rule, owing to their exclusion of women, non-landowners, and slaves from decision-making procedures. Most notable ancient philosophers were vehemently opposed to majoritarianism, arguing that judgments based on the desire of the illiterate and

uninformed 'masses' are not always smart or fair. Plato's Republic, which offers a society model based on a three-tiered class system, is a prominent example. David Graeber, an anarchist anthropologist, explains why majority democratic rule is so rare throughout history. "Majority democracy, we might say, can emerge only when two factors coincide [6].

A feeling that people should have equal say in making group decisions. A coercive apparatus capable of enforcing those decisions." According to Graeber, these two conditions nearly never coincide: "In egalitarian societies, imposing systematic coercion is usually considered wrong." Where there was coercive apparatus, those operating it had no idea they were imposing any form of public will." Similar to democracy, majoritarianism (as a theory) has frequently been used as a pretext by sizable or aggressive minorities to politically oppress other smaller (or civically inactive) minorities, or even a civically inactive majority (see Richard Nixon's reference to the "Silent Majority" that he claimed supported his policies).

This agenda is most often seen in the area of religion: Christmas Day, and in some countries, other important dates in the Christian year, are recognized as legal holidays; additionally, a specific denomination may be designated as the state religion and receive financial support from the government (examples include the Church of England in England and the Lutheran Church in Scandinavian countries). Almost every nation has one or more official languages, typically to the exclusion of some minority group or groups inside that country that do not speak the designated language or languages. In most instances, the judgments were not taken by a majoritarian vote, and even when a referendum was utilized, a new majority could not arise at any point and overturn it. Liberal reformers in several nations have recently challenged various types of majoritarianism, particularly since the 1960s.[clarification needed]: In the 1963 case *Abington School District v. Schempp*, the United States Supreme Court ruled that school-led prayer in the nation's public schools was unconstitutional, and many cities and towns have sought to limit, if not outright prohibit, religious displays on public property since then. Pluralism is the tendency within a society toward greater concern for the rights of minorities. Some supporters of majoritarianism have reacted

negatively, claiming that the multicultural goal has resulted in the Balkanization of society; these worries were stated in Wilmot Robertson's 1972 book, *The Dispossessed Majority*. In response, multiculturalists have charged majoritarianism of racism and xenophobia [7], [8].

### DISCUSSION

Majoritarianism is a traditional political philosophy that holds that a majority of the population (sometimes defined by religion, language, social class, or some other identifying factor) is entitled to a certain level of primacy in society and has the authority to make decisions that affect society. The notion that winning a popular vote with 51% or more qualifies the victor to govern without interference from institutions such as the judiciary, a free press, and civil society groups. When majoritarianism takes on an ethnic component, it becomes more destructive since it allows ethnic-majority groups to govern over minority groups without the requirement for equal rights and safeguards. As a result, this conventional viewpoint has come under increasing scrutiny, and democracies have increasingly imposed limitations on what the legislative majority may do in order to preserve individuals' basic rights.

This is not to be confused with the notion of a majoritarian electoral system, which is a basic electoral system in which the party with the most votes wins a majority of seats. In a democratic majoritarian political framework, the majority would not preclude any minority from future democratic involvement. Majoritarianism is often derisively referred to as "ochlocracy" or "tyranny of the majority" by its detractors. Majoritarianism is also known as majority rule, which may refer to a majority class dominating over a minority class but does not relate to the decision process known as majority rule. Majoritarians claim that any limitation on majority decision making is inherently undemocratic.

The global rise of populism has given rise to the influential notion that populism is a majoritarian corrective to an increasingly non-majoritarian model of democracy, with a number of 'counter-majoritarian' devices built in, such as the powers of courts and tribunals, regulatory agencies and central banks, or supranational norm-producing institutions not accountable to the majority of a given polity.

Populism, in this view, is a desired and desirable majoritarian-democratic adjustment of too non-democratic liberalism. However, according to Wojciech Sadurski's paper, the link between democracy and majority rule is more complicated than such a straightforward assertion may imply. "Majority rule, as a moral and political principle, is not self-justifying: it requires some good moral, principled justifications, and these justifications must resonate with those for democracy itself," he adds. And it is conceivable that some populist support is based on such spurious justifications for majority rule."

According to an essay by Thierry Chopin, the democratic political regime is organically built on the combination of people's sovereignty and the majority principle, under which citizens' political choices are the consequence of majority decisions. Institutions with direct or indirect democratic legitimacy, on the other hand, do not have a monopoly on the public good. In order to protect the minority from the hazards of "majority tyranny," independent institutions must operate as a protection against the excesses of a government, even if it is democratically elected [9], [10].

Chopin assesses the current risks, stating that "the consequences of globalization and the impact of the migration crisis may lead to 'majorities' that feel threatened socially, economically, and/or culturally to want to consolidate their power, and in doing so exclude minorities and their rights." Fear of becoming a minority may motivate a group to desire to secure a dominant status by limiting the 'people' to their group as much as possible. Finally, the illiberal democratic method entails handing boundless power to the 'majority,' which becomes more impossible to define, as exemplified by a charismatic leader claiming to have a monopoly on the 'people's' general will, which is yet so difficult to discern." To Chopin, illiberal democracy is merely a smokescreen for a shift towards "majority authoritarianism," the characteristics of which are becoming increasingly clear: authoritarian leaders' desire to avoid having their power questioned, tight control of politics by reducing the uncertainty of electoral competition, the weakening of opposition forces in order to more effectively control the State apparatus, and intervention in the media to control inform.



To represent "the people," populists must first identify them and, by default, label and exclude others, according to a paper prepared by Anna Grzymala-Busse, Frank Fukuyama, Didi Kuo, and Michael McFaul. In effect, this categorization opens the door to targeting and dismissing vulnerable groups such as ethnic or religious minorities, immigrants, and low-income people. As a consequence, many people have a populist view of democracy as majority rule without minority rights. Furthermore, individuals who disagree with a populist depiction of "the people," such as civil society organizations, are accused of not being part of the "real" country. As a result, the opposition is disloyal, treacherous, and foreign. Thus, "vulnerable ethnic minorities and immigrants both face increased scrutiny when they are portrayed as neither truly belonging to the nation nor deserving of democratic legal protections and civic rights." "In both the United States and Europe, a wave of anti-Semitic, racist, and anti-immigrant verbal and physical attacks has followed," the authors said.

#### CONCLUSION

Majoritarianism is often connected with direct democracy, which involves voters actively participating in decision-making via initiatives, referendums, or plebiscites. This enables the majority to express its will directly, without the need for middlemen or agents. Majoritarianism has been criticized in a variety of ways. Critics claim that it may lead to the marginalization and persecution of minority groups since the majority may reject or override their interests. It may also result in a lack of plurality, inclusion, and individual rights protection. Furthermore, majoritarianism may fail to resolve power imbalances or defend the rights of disadvantaged or marginalized groups. Majoritarianism is only one of countless political theories and ideologies that impact political systems and governance models. Other theories, such as liberal democracy, deliberative democracy, and consociationalism democracy, advocate for alternative ways of decision-making, representation, and minority rights protection. The choice of political theory and the balance between majority rule and minority rights are determined by a society's distinctive political circumstances, beliefs, and aspirations.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Khaitan, "Political insurance for the (relative) poor: How liberal constitutionalism could resist plutocracy," *Glob. Const.*, 2019, doi: 10.1017/S2045381719000200.
- [2] J. S. Selway, "Constitutions, cleavages and coordination a socio-institutional theory of public goods provision," 2009.
- [3] T. W. G. van der Meer, J. W. van Deth, and P. L. H. Scheepers, "The politicized participant: Ideology and political action in 20 democracies," *Comp. Polit. Stud.*, 2009, doi: 10.1177/0010414009332136.
- [4] C. Breunig and A. Luedtke, "What motivates the gatekeepers? Explaining governing party preferences on immigration," *Governance*, 2008, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-0491.2007.00388.x.
- [5] L. K. Jenco, "Thoreau's critique of democracy," *Rev. Polit.*, 2003, doi: 10.1017/S0034670500038286.
- [6] P. Pugsley, "At Home in Singaporean Sitcoms," *M/C J.*, 2007, doi: 10.5204/mcj.2695.
- [7] M. D. McDonald, I. Budge, and R. E. Best, "Electoral Majorities, Political Parties, and Collective Representation," *Comp. Polit. Stud.*, 2012, doi: 10.1177/0010414011434008.
- [8] T. W. G. van der Meer, J. W. van Deth, and P. L. H. Scheepers, "The Politicized Participant," *Comp. Polit. Stud.*, 2009, doi: 10.1177/0010414009332136.
- [9] J. Bulman-Pozen and M. Seifter, "The democracy principle in state constitutions," *Michigan Law Review*. 2021. doi: 10.36644/mlr.119.5.democracy.
- [10] S. E. Lemieux and D. J. Watkins, "Beyond the countermajoritarian difficulty: Lessons from contemporary democratic theory," *Polity*, 2009, doi: 10.1057/pol.2008.25.

# Democracy, Hypothesis, Characteristics and Role in the Politics

Dr. Akhila Udupa

Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management), Presidency University,  
Bangalore, India

Email Id-akhila.udupa@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Democracy is a political system and a notion that is important in political science. It is a system of government in which the people exert political control and participate in decision-making processes, either directly or via elected representatives. Democracy is examined and evaluated in political science from a variety of viewpoints, including its principles, institutions, functions, difficulties, and effects. Popular sovereignty (the concept that ultimate power belongs to the people), political equality, individual rights and freedoms, the rule of law, accountability, and openness are all essential themes that political scientists often investigate. These concepts serve as the foundation for examining and measuring the effectiveness of democratic regimes.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Citizen Body, Direct Democracy, Head State, Inclusive Democracy, Liberal Democracy.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

Democracy is a type of governance in which the people have the ability to debate and determine laws ("direct democracy") or to elect governing officials ("representative democracy"). Who is regarded a member of "the people," and how power is shared or transferred by the people, has changed through time and at varying rates among nations. Freedom of assembly, association, property rights, freedom of religion and expression, citizenship, consent of the governed, voting rights, freedom from unjustified state deprivation of the right to life and liberty, and minority rights are common features of democracy. The concept of democracy has developed significantly throughout time. Direct democracy, in which communities make choices by public assembly, may be found throughout history. Today, representational democracy is the primary type of democracy, in which voters elect government representatives to rule on their behalf, as in parliamentary or presidential democracy [1].

The majority rule is the most often used decision-making strategy in democracies, however other decision-making systems, such as supermajority and consensus, have also been used. They provide the critical function of inclusion and wider legitimacy on sensitive matters (counterbalancing majoritarianism),

and hence take priority on a constitutional level. The powers of the majority are exercised within the framework of a representative democracy in the common variant of liberal democracy, but the constitution and a supreme court limit the majority and protect the minority—usually by ensuring the enjoyment of certain individual rights by all, such as freedom of speech or freedom of association. The term first appeared in the 5th century BC in Greek city-states, most notably Classical Athens, to mean "rule of the people," in contrast to aristocracy (, aristokrata), which meant "rule of an elite. Western democracy, as distinct from that which existed in antiquity, is generally thought to have originated in city-states such as those in Classical Athens and the Roman Republic, where various schemes and degrees of entrant Democratic citizenship was first confined to an elite class in practically all democratic governments throughout ancient and modern history, but was gradually extended to all adult citizens. Most contemporary democracies accomplished this via suffrage drives in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. Democracy contrasts with forms of government in which power is held by an individual, as in autocratic systems such as absolute monarchy, or by a small number of individuals, as in oligarchy oppositions inherited from ancient Greek philosophy. Karl Popper defined democracy in contrast to

dictatorship or tyranny, focusing on opportunities for the people to control and oust their leaders without the need for a revolution [2].

### **Characteristics**

Only Saudi Arabia, Oman, the United Arab Emirates, Qatar, Brunei, Afghanistan, and the Vatican do not claim to be democracies as of 2021. Although voting is commonly used to define democracy, there is no agreement on a precise definition. Karl Popper states that the "classical" view of democracy is simply, "in brief, the theory that democracy is the rule of the people, and that the people have a right to rule." Kofi Annan states that "there are as many different forms of democracy as there are democratic nations in the world."

Democratic principles are reflected in all eligible citizens being equal before the law and having equal access to legislative processes. For example, in a representative democracy, every vote has equal weight, and no unreasonable restrictions can apply to anyone seeking to become a representative. And the freedom of its eligible individuals is ensured by legitimized rights and freedoms, which are often protected by a constitution. Other definitions of "democracy" include direct democracy, in which constituents vote directly on issues. According to one theory, democracy necessitates three fundamental principles: upward control sovereignty residing at the lowest levels of authority, political equality, and social norms by which individuals and institutions only consider acceptable acts that reflect the first two principles of upward control and political equality [3]. The term "democracy" is sometimes used as shorthand for liberal democracy, a variant of representative democracy that may include elements such as political pluralism; equality before the law; the right to petition elected officials for redress of grievances; due process; civil liberties; human rights; and elements of civil society outside the government. The dominant principle in some countries, notably the United Kingdom, which originated the Westminster system, is that of parliamentary sovereignty while maintaining judicial independence. In India, parliamentary sovereignty is subject to the Constitution of India, which includes judicial review. Though the term "democracy" is typically used in the context of a political state, the principles also apply to private organizations.

In democracies, numerous decision-making procedures are utilized, but majority rule is the most common. Political minority may be oppressed by the "tyranny of the majority" if they do not get compensation, such as legal safeguards for individual or collective rights. Majority rule is a competitive approach to democracy, as opposed to consensus democracy, which requires that elections and public discourse be substantively and procedurally "fair," i.e. just and equitable. In certain nations, freedom of political expression, freedom of speech, freedom of the press, and online democracy are seen as essential for ensuring that voters are fully educated and able to vote in accordance with their own interests. It has also been suggested that one of the fundamental features of democracy is the ability of all voters to participate freely and fully in the life of their society. Because democracy is defined as a form of government in which all eligible citizens have an equal say in lawmaking, it can also be characterized as a form of political collectivism. Republics, though often associated with democracy due to the shared principle of rule by consent of the governed, are not necessarily democracies, as republicanism does not specify how the people are to rule [4]. Historically, the term "republic" encompassed both democracies and aristocracies. As a result, democracies such as the United Kingdom might be republics or constitutional monarchies.

### **Earlier hypothesis**

Aristotle distinguished between government by the many (democracy/timocracy), rule by the few (oligarchy/aristocracy), and rule by a single person (tyranny, or autocracy/absolute monarchy today). He also believed that each system had a good and a bad form (he saw democracy as the degenerate equivalent of timocracy). A common viewpoint among early and renaissance Republican theorists was that democracy could only survive in small political communities. Learning from the Roman Republic's shift to monarchism as it grew larger or smaller, these Republican theorists held that the expansion of territory and population inevitably led to tyranny.

### **Theory of today**

There are three competing definitions of democracy among contemporary political theorists: aggregative democracy, deliberative democracy, and radical

democracy. According to the notion of aggregative democracy, the goal of democratic procedures is to seek persons' opinions and aggregate them to decide what social policies society should embrace. As a result, supporters of this viewpoint believe that democratic participation should largely concentrate on voting, with the policy with the most votes being enacted. There are several forms of aggregative democracy. According to minimalism, democracy is a form of governance in which voters grant teams of political leaders the authority to rule via periodic elections. Citizens, according to this minimalist approach, cannot and should not "rule" since, for example, on most matters, they have no distinct opinions or their views are not well-founded. This viewpoint was most widely stated by Joseph Schumpeter in his book *Capitalism, Socialism, and Democracy*. Contemporary supporters of minimalism include William H. Riker, Adam Przeworski, and Richard Posner.

Citizens, on the other hand, should vote on legislative ideas directly, rather than via their representatives, according to the principle of direct democracy. Proponents of direct democracy provide a variety of reasons for their position. Political involvement may be important in and of itself; it socializes and educates people, and popular participation can put strong elites in check. Above all, people do not govern themselves until they personally decide on laws and policies. Governments will likely to develop laws and policies that are close to the median voter's views—half to the left and half to the right. This is a bad consequence since it depicts the actions of self-interested and largely unaccountable political leaders striving for votes. According to Anthony Downs, ideological political parties are required to operate as a middleman between individuals and governments. Downs articulated this viewpoint in his 1957 book *An Economic Theory of Democracy* [5].

According to Robert A. Dahl, the fundamental democratic principle is that, when it comes to binding collective decisions, each person in a political community has the right to have his or her interests considered equally (though this does not necessarily imply that all people are equally satisfied by the collective decision). He used the word polyarchy to describe societies in which a certain collection of institutions and processes are seen to lead to such

democracy. The most important of these institutions is the frequent occurrence of free and open elections, which are used to pick representatives who subsequently govern all or most of the society's public policies. However, if, for example, poverty precludes political involvement, these polyarchic methods may not produce a true democracy. Similarly, Ronald Dworkin contends that "democracy is a substantive, not merely procedural, ideal."

### **Deliberative**

Deliberative democracy is founded on the idea that democracy is deliberative governance. Deliberative democracy, as opposed to aggregative democracy, maintains that for a democratic choice to be valid, it must be preceded by genuine discussion, not only the aggregate of preferences that happens in voting. Authentic deliberation is deliberation among decision-makers that is free of distortions of unequal political power, such as power obtained through economic wealth or the support of interest groups. Many researchers' assemblies as realistic instances of deliberative democracy, with a recent OECD study highlighting citizen's assemblies as an increasingly popular technique for involving individuals in political decision-making.

### **Radical**

Radical democracy is founded on the assumption that society has hierarchical and oppressive power relations. Democracy's job is to make such relationships public and to question them by allowing for disagreement, dissent, and hostility in decision-making processes. A democratic transition is a period in a country's political system that occurs as a consequence of an incomplete transition from an authoritarian to a democratic regime (or vice versa).

### **Democratic backsliding by nation**

Democratic backsliding, also known as autocratization, is "a process of regime change towards autocracy that makes the exercise of political power more arbitrary and repressive and that restricts the space for public contestation and political participation in the process of government selection." Democratic decline involves the weakening of democratic institutions, such as peaceful power transitions or free and fair elections, or the violation of democratic institutions.

**Democratization**

Democratization, also known as democratization, is the democratic transition from an authoritarian regime to a more democratic political regime, including substantive political changes moving in a democratic direction. It may be a hybrid regime in transition from an authoritarian regime to a full democracy, a transition from an authoritarian political system to a semi-democracy, or a transition from a semi-authoritarian political system to a democratic political system.

**DISCUSSION**

Democracy has taken many forms, both theoretically and practically. Some varieties of democracy provide better representation and more freedom for their citizens than others. However, if any democracy is not structured to prohibit the government from excluding citizens from the legislative process, or any branch of government from changing the separation of powers in its favor, then a branch of the system can accumulate too much power and destroy the democracy. There are other varieties of democracy, but the two most fundamental are concerned with how the whole body of all eligible individuals implements its will. One type of democracy is direct democracy, in which all eligible citizens actively participate in political decision-making, such as directly voting on policy initiatives. In most modern democracies, the entire body of eligible citizens retains sovereign power, but political power is exercised indirectly through elected representatives; this is known as representative democracy.

The usage of a lot system, a staple of Athenian democracy, is a feature of certain direct democracies. Citizens selected by a lottery undertake essential governmental and administrative functions in this system. The people being represented elect government officials in a representative democracy. A democratic republic is one in which the head of state is also democratically elected. The most typical processes entail the candidate receiving a majority or plurality of votes. The majority of Western nations have representational systems. Representatives may be elected or appointed to represent a specific district (or constituency) or the whole electorate via proportional systems, with some employing a hybrid of the two. Some representational democracies

integrate direct democracy features, such as referendums. Representative democracy is distinguished by the fact that, although representatives are chosen by the people to act in their best interests, they maintain the flexibility to use their own discretion in doing so. Such arguments have fueled criticism of representative democracy, pointing out the inconsistencies between representation methods and democracy [6].

**The parliamentary system**

Parliamentary democracy is a kind of representative democracy in which legislators nominate or dismiss the government, as opposed to "presidential rule" in which the president is both the head of state and the head of government and is chosen by the people. Government in a parliamentary democracy is exercised via delegation to an executive ministry and is subject to continuing evaluation, checks, and balances by the legislative parliament chosen by the people. In a parliamentary system, the Prime Minister may be fired by the legislature at any moment for failing to satisfy the legislature's expectations. This is accomplished through a Vote of No Confidence in which the legislature decides whether or not to remove the Prime Minister from office with a majority vote in favor of dismissal. In some countries, the Prime Minister can also call an election at any time, typically when the Prime Minister believes they are in good enough favor with the public to be re-elected. Extra elections are almost never conducted in other parliamentary democracies, with a minority administration favored until the next regular elections. The notion of "loyal opposition" is a crucial aspect of parliamentary democracy. The ruling party (or coalition) is opposed by the second biggest political party (or opposition), while staying loyal to the state and its democratic values.

**Presidential**

Presidential Democracy is a system in which the public elects the president by a vote. The president is both the head of state and the head of government, with the majority of executive authorities. The president is elected for a specified term that cannot be extended. The legislature's capacity to remove a president from office is often restricted. Elections normally have a set date that cannot be modified. The

president has direct power over the cabinet, including the appointment of cabinet members.

The executive is typically in charge of executing or implementing laws and may have limited legislative powers, such as a veto. The legislative branch, on the other hand, passes laws and budgets. This gives some level of power isolation. As a result, the president and the legislature may wind up under the control of different parties, enabling one to obstruct the other and disrupt the state's orderly administration. This might explain why presidential democracy is uncommon outside of the Americas, Africa, and Central and Southeast Asia. A semi-presidential system is a democratic system in which the government consists of both a prime minister and a president. The specific powers of the prime minister and president differ each nation [7].

#### **Hybrid or semi-direct**

Some contemporary democracies that are largely representational also depend extensively on forms of directly democratic political activity. These hybrid democracies, which combine features of representative democracy with direct democracy, are known as semi-direct democracies or participatory democracies. Switzerland and various U.S. states are two examples where referendums and initiatives are often used. The Swiss Confederation is a semi-direct democracy. At the federal level, citizens can propose changes to the constitution (federal popular initiative) or request a referendum on any law passed by the parliament.

Between January 1995 and June 2005, Swiss citizens voted 31 times to answer 103 questions (during the same period, French citizens participated in only two referendums). Examples include the widespread usage of referendums in California, a state with over 20 million registered voters. Town meetings are often utilized to control local governance in New England, particularly in rural regions. This results in a hybrid type of governance that combines local direct democracy with representational state government. Most Vermont towns, for example, host yearly town meetings in March to elect town leaders, vote on town and school budgets, and allow individuals to speak and be heard on political issues.

Many nations, including the United Kingdom, Spain, the Netherlands, Belgium, Scandinavian countries, Thailand, Japan, and Bhutan, progressively

transformed strong kings into constitutional monarchs with restricted or symbolic functions. For example, constitutional monarchy began to emerge in the predecessor states to the United Kingdom and has continued uninterrupted since the Glorious Revolution of 1688 and the passage of the Bill of Rights in 1689. Strongly limited constitutional monarchies, such as the United Kingdom, have been referred to as crowned republics by writers such as H. Wells, G. In some nations, the monarchy, along with the aristocratic system, was abolished (as in France, China, Russia, Germany, Austria, Hungary, Italy, Greece, and Egypt). In these nations, the head of state was elected, with or without major powers. In several states, elite upper chambers of legislatures with lifelong or hereditary tenure were widespread. These either had their powers restricted throughout time (like in the British House of Lords) or were elected and stayed strong (as in the Australian Senate).

The term republic refers to a representative democracy with an elected head of state, such as a president, who serves for a limited term, as opposed to states with a hereditary monarch as a head of state, even if these states are also representative democracies with an elected or appointed head of government, such as a prime minister. The United States Founding Fathers frequently criticized direct democracy, which, in their opinion, frequently came without the protection of a constitution enshrining inalienable rights; James Madison argued, particularly in *The Federalist No. 10*, that what distinguished a direct democracy from a republic was that the former became weaker as it grew larger and suffered more violently from the effects of faction, whereas a republic could grow stronger as it grew larger and combats faction. Professors Richard Ellis of Willamette University and Michael Nelson of Rhodes College argue that much constitutional thought, from Madison to Lincoln and beyond, has concentrated on "the problem of majority tyranny [8]." The principles of republican government embedded in the Constitution represent an effort by the framers to ensure that the inalienable rights of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness would not be trampled by majorities." He said, "A republic if you can keep it." Liberal democracy is a representative democracy in which the ability of elected representatives to exercise decision-making power is subject to the rule of law and moderated by a constitution or laws that

emphasize the protection of individual's rights and freedoms, and which places constraints on leaders and the extent to which the majority's will can be exercised against the rights of minorities. In a liberal democracy, certain large-scale choices may arise from the numerous individual judgments that individuals have the freedom to make. Citizens may, in other words, "vote with their feet" or "vote with their dollars," resulting in considerable informal government-by-the-masses that wields many "powers" associated with formal government elsewhere.

There are various distinct perspectives on democracy in socialist theory. Social democracy, democratic socialism, and proletarian dictatorship (typically expressed via Soviet democracy) are a few examples. Many democratic socialists and social democrats advocate a combination of participatory, industrial, economic, and/or workplace democracy and representational democracy. Within Marxist orthodoxy, there is opposition against what is often referred to as "liberal democracy," which is sometimes known as "parliamentary democracy" because to its centralized structure. Because orthodox Marxists want to eradicate the political elitism they find in capitalism, Marxists, Leninists, and Trotskyists support direct democracy accomplished via a system of communes (also known as soviets). This method culminates in council democracy and starts with workplace democracy.

Consensus democracy, also known as consensualism, is the application of consensus decision-making to the legislative process in a democracy. It is distinguished by a decision-making framework that engages and considers as wide a variety of viewpoints as possible, as opposed to systems in which vote-winning majorities might possibly overlook minority opinions in majoritarian democracies. Consensus democracy is most closely embodied in countries such as Switzerland, Germany, Denmark, Lebanon, Sweden, Iraq, and Belgium, where consensus is an important feature of political culture, particularly intending to prevent the dominance of one linguistic or cultural group in the political process.

A consensus administration is one in which the legislature appoints the cabinet without regard for political parties. It is often encountered as part of a non-partisan or consensual democracy. Consensus governance is most common in non-partisan

democracies and other systems where the majority of legislators are independent. Consensus governance is used in many former British areas with considerable indigenous populations to combine traditional tribal authority with the Westminster system [9].

Consensus governance is employed in Canada's Northwest Territories and Nunavut, as well as the autonomous Nunatsiavut area, and comparable systems have emerged in the Pacific island states of Fiji, Tuvalu, and Vanuatu, as well as the Isle of Man's historic Tynwald. The Treaty of Rome established qualified majority voting as the primary way of achieving decisions in the European Council of Ministers. This method distributes votes to member states in part based on population, but significantly favors smaller nations. Although this may be considered a kind of representative democracy, representatives to the Council may be appointed rather than directly elected.

Inclusive democracy is a political theory and political project that seeks direct democracy in all aspects of social life: political democracy in the form of confederated face-to-face assemblies, economic democracy in a stateless, moneyless, and marketless economy, social democracy, i.e. self-management in workplaces and education, and ecological democracy, which seeks to reintegrate society and nature. The inclusive democracy theoretical project arose from the work of political philosopher Takis Fotopoulos in "Towards An Inclusive Democracy" and was further explored in the journals *Democracy & Nature* and its successor *The International Journal of Inclusive Democracy* [10], [11].

The demotic assembly, i.e. the citizen body in a certain geographical region, which may include a town and the neighboring villages, or even neighbourhoods of big cities, is the fundamental unit of decision making in an inclusive democracy. Today, an inclusive democracy can only be a confederal democracy based on a network of administrative councils whose members or delegates are chosen by popular face-to-face democratic assemblies in the different demo. As a result, their duty is primarily administrative and practical, rather than policy-making, as it is in representative democracy. Although specialists advise the citizen body, it is the citizen body that makes the final choice. Authority may be delegated to a part of the citizen body to perform certain obligations, such as

serving on popular courts or regional and confederal councils. Delegation is done by lot, on a rotating basis, and is always recallable by the citizen body. Delegates to regional and confederal organizations should be assigned specific responsibilities.

### CONCLUSION

Political scientists investigate the processes and circumstances that contribute to the formation and maintenance of democratic regimes. Examining the shift from authoritarian governments to democracy, the involvement of civil society, social movements, foreign influences, and the problems and barriers encountered throughout democratization processes are all part of this. Political science examines the theoretical underpinnings, historical processes, empirical manifestations, and normative implications of democracy in a complex and multidisciplinary manner. Scholars aim to improve our understanding of democracy's functioning, difficulties, and prospects for advancing good government and public involvement by viewing it through the perspective of political science.

### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Natter, "Rethinking immigration policy theory beyond 'Western liberal democracies,'" *Comp. Migr. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s40878-018-0071-9.
- [2] OIT, "Violence at work - A major workplace problem," *Soc. Sci. Med.*, 2012.
- [3] C. W. Jung, "The role of game genres and gamers' communication networks in perceived learning," *Palgrave Commun.*, 2020, doi: 10.1057/s41599-020-0439-y.
- [4] A. T. O. (ATO) et al., "Cheating the government," *J. Econ. Psychol.*, 2012.
- [5] G. Walter and M. Zürn, "Conclusions: The politics of denationalization," in *Globalizing Interests: Pressure Groups and Denationalization*, 2005.
- [6] T. Böhmelt, T. Bernauer, and V. Koubi, "The marginal impact of ENGOs in different types of democratic systems," *European Political Science Review*. 2015. doi: 10.1017/S175577391400006X.
- [7] G. Esping-Andersen, "Three worlds of welfare state capitalism," *The Welfare State Reader*. 1990.
- [8] E. I. Gaysina, A. I. Ostroumov, and A. V. Suntsov, "The political process of modern russia and the concept of institutional matrices," *J. Organ. Cult. Commun. Confl.*, 2016.
- [9] M. Karabela, "Book Review: Vying for Allah's Vote: Understanding Islamic Parties, Political Violence, and Extremism in Pakistan. By Haroon K. Ullah," *Theol. Stud.*, 2016, doi: 10.1177/0040563916666829t.
- [10] T. Böhmelt, T. Bernauer, and V. Koubi, "When and why do environmental non-governmental organizations make a difference? explaining the marginal impact of engos in different types of democratic," ... *Marg. Impact ENGOs ...*, 2013.
- [11] "The three worlds of welfare capitalism," *Choice Rev. Online*, 1990, doi: 10.5860/choice.28-1046.



# Economic Democracy; Their Characteristics and Role in the Political Science

Dr. Nalin Chirakkara

Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management), Presidency University,  
Bangalore, India

Email Id-nalinkumar@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Economic democracy is a concept that blends democratic ideas with economic decision-making and economic power distribution. It refers to a system in which employees, customers, and communities have a role in economic decision-making, ownership, and resource and benefit distribution. Economic democracy attempts to guarantee that economic power is distributed more evenly among stakeholders rather than concentrated in the hands of a few.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Capital Assists, Economic Democracy, Land Labor, Market Economy, United States.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

Economic democracy is a socioeconomic concept that suggests shifting decision-making authority away from corporate executives and shareholders and toward a bigger group of public stakeholders that includes employees, consumers, suppliers, neighbors, and the general public. There is no single definition or approach that encompasses economic democracy, but most proponents argue that modern property relations externalize costs, subordinate public well-being to private profit, and deny the polity a democratic voice in economic policy decisions. In addition to these moral concerns, economic democracy makes practical claims, such as that it can compensate for capitalism's inherent effective demand gap [1].

Economic democracy proponents often say that current capitalism causes periodic economic crises marked by a lack of effective demand as society is unable to acquire enough revenue to purchase its own creation. Economic democracy has been proposed as part of larger socioeconomic ideologies, as a stand-alone theory, and as a variety of reform agendas. For example, gaining complete economic rights opens the door to full political rights, which are characterized as incorporating the former. Both market and non-market models of economic democracy have been advocated. Supporting theories and real-world examples for a reform program might include decentralization, democratic cooperatives, public banking, fair trade,

and regionalization of food production and currency [2].

### Inadequate effective demand

Many economists believe that the most basic economic issue is a lack of effective demand. That is, contemporary civilization does not generate enough money to buy its own products. According to David Harvey, an economic geographer, "Workers spending their wages is one source of effective demand, but the total wage bill is always less than the total capital in circulation (otherwise there would be no profit), so the purchase of wage goods that sustain daily life (even with a suburban lifestyle) is never sufficient for the profitable sale of total output." "Wealth" in any economic system, according to Georgists, encompasses all tangible goods generated by work for the fulfillment of human aspirations and having trade value. Land, labor, and money are often regarded as the three most important variables in wealth creation. All natural opportunities and forces are included in land. All human endeavor is considered labor. The share of wealth committed to developing additional wealth is referred to as capital. While every individual's income may contain results from any mix of these three sources land, labor, and capital are often regarded as mutually incompatible components in economic models of wealth generation and distribution. According to Henry George, "people seek to satisfy their desires with the least amount of exertion." Humans interact with nature to generate

things and services that other humans require or desire. The economic structure of a society is defined by the rules and traditions that control the connections between various entities.

#### **Savings, investment, and unemployment**

In his 1879 book *Progress and Poverty*, Henry George argued that a majority of wealth created in a "free market" economy was appropriated by land owners and monopolists through economic rents, and that concentration of such unearned wealth was the root cause of poverty. "Behind the abstraction known as 'the market' lurks a set of institutions designed to maximize the wealth and power of the world's most privileged group the creditor-rentier class of the A business that wants to expand production needs to command the labor of others, and money is the default mechanism for exercising this authority. It is often cheaper for a business to borrow capital from a bank than to stockpile cash. When private savings are loaned to entrepreneurs who use them to buy raw materials and hire workers, aggregate demand is not reduced [3].

However, when private savings are not reinvested, the entire economy suffers from recession, unemployment, and the disappearance of savings, all of which characterize a lack of effective demand. In this view, unemployment is not an aberration indicating a systemic malfunction, but rather a necessary structural feature of capitalism intended to discipline the workforce. If unemployment is too low, workers make wage demands that either cut into profits to the point where future investment is jeopardized, or are passed on to consumers, resulting in inflationary instability. For unemployment is the invisible hand carrying a stick that keeps the workers in line. In this opinion, Adam Smith's "invisible hand" does not seem to be dependable in guiding large-scale economic dynamics. Assuming that business credit can come from public sources rather than private savers, Schweickart and other analysts regard interest payments to private savers as undeserved and unnecessary for economic growth. Furthermore, the personal decision to save rather than consume reduces aggregate demand, increases the likelihood of unemployment, and exacerbates the tendency toward economic stagnation [4].

#### **Monopoly power against buying power**

Douglas P. Biklen says of a social and economic democratic approach on social problems: This antimonopoly view of social problems is characterized by the theme of profit superseding individual well-being. On the one hand, poor and middle-income people have their lives distorted by their meager or nonexistent ability to pay for goods and services. Wealthy people, on the other hand, find that their relative position, in terms of wealth and power, grows with their ability to maintain the gulf between social classes. The discipline of economics is largely a study of scarcity management; "the science that studies human behavior as a relationship between ends and scarce means that have alternative uses." "The science that studies human behavior as a relationship between ends and scarce means that have alternative uses." While he believes these tasks to be a public evil, Kellogg also emphasized that it is the public's obligation to develop and execute a solution. Generally seen as monopolistic power, some regard this "public wrong" as the most powerful cause in artificial scarcity. Henry George, for example, went on to say:

Many analysts, for example, regard invention as a "more or less costless store of knowledge, captured by monopoly capital and protected in order to make it secret and a 'rare and scarce commodity,' for sale at monopoly prices. As far as invention is concerned, a price is placed on them not because they are scarce but in order to make them scarce to those who want to use them." The discrepancy between labor-value and monopoly-value rises products prices and is collected as "profit" by middlemen who have contributed nothing. Analysts generally agree that such circumstances usually result in a lack of effective demand. Labor does not earn enough to purchase what businesses generate. According to Jack Rasmus, author of *The Trillion Dollar revenue Shift*, Goldman Sachs said in June 2006 that "the most important contribution to higher profit margins over the past five years has been a decline in Labor's share of national income [5]."

#### **The commons' enclosure**

Artificially limiting labor's access to common resources is often referred to as a monopoly or enclosure of the commons. Due to the inherent

economic imbalance, such monopolistic regimes tend to be centrally controlled by legislation and must be maintained by military force, trade agreements, or both. Ambrose Bierce, an American journalist, defined "land" in 1911 as A portion of the earth's surface that is considered property. The cornerstone of contemporary civilization is the assumption that land is property subject to private ownership and control. When taken to its logical conclusion, it means that some have the right to keep others from living; because the right to own entails the exclusive right to occupy, and trespass laws are imposed wherever property in land is recognized. As a result, if A, B, and C control the whole surface of terra firma, there will be no place for D, E, F, and G to be born, or to exist as trespassers. When Hilaire Belloc claimed in *The Servile State* (1912), "England was already captured by a wealthy oligarchy before the series of great industrial discoveries began," he was referring to the Enclosures Movement [6].

If you wanted to gain fortune before starting a new business, "you had to turn to the class which had already monopolized the bulk of the means of production in England; the rich men alone could supply you with those supplies." According to Peter Barnes, author of *Capitalism 3.0*, when Adam Smith published *The Wealth of Nations* in 1776, partnership was the dominant form of company, with regional groupings of coworkers running co-owned firms. Many people saw the corporate model stock sold to strangers as intrinsically prone to deception. While various scandals in the past have contributed to this pessimistic view of corporate strategy, tiny partnerships could never compete with the aggregate money created by corporate economies of scale. The capacity of businesses to acquire cash from strangers is their biggest advantage over any other business model. The corporate model is aided by rules that restrict investor liability to the amount invested.

The notion of the company, according to author Greg MacLeod, dates back to Roman times. However, according to John Davis, a legal historian, "the modern business corporation evolved radically from its ancient roots into a form with little relation to the purpose as understood by historians of law." Before 1900, the majority of commercial companies were formed in the United Kingdom, where they were constituted by royal charter to make societal benefits. Incorporation

was a privilege bestowed in exchange for service to the monarch or the country. MacLeod continues, saying: The law considers a company to be a legal person. It was known as a "persona ficta" in the Middle Ages.

This is a very effective way of looking at a business organization since it rightly implies that the corporate person has a certain personality. It is endowed with obligations and responsibilities by the legal government or community that developed it. The corporate person obtains many advantages from society, but it also has many obligations. One of the most essential obligations is employment generation, which is a fundamental necessity in every community. Businesses may exist forever, participate in any lawful activity, and combine with or purchase other businesses by the mid-nineteenth century. The United States was founded in 1886. The Supreme Court officially recognized corporations as "persons" entitled to the same rights as real people under the Fourteenth Amendment. Vast businesses, unlike ordinary persons, have vast amounts of money at their disposal. They may use this money to pay lobbyists, make large donations to politicians, and impact public opinion [7].

Nonetheless, notwithstanding Supreme Court decisions, the contemporary corporation is not a genuine person. Rather, the publicly listed stock company is what Barnes refers to as a "automaton" that is intentionally structured to maximize return to its shareholders. A business never sleeps or slows down. It externalizes as many expenses as possible and never approaches a profit ceiling since no such ceiling has been set. As a consequence, companies continue to grow in size. In 1955, the Fortune 500's revenues amounted for one-third of total US GDP. By 2004, they controlled two-thirds of the market [8].

In other words, a few hundred corporations took the place of smaller businesses constituted as partnerships or sole proprietorships. Corporations have created a level global playing field in which to freely transfer raw resources, labor, money, completed goods, tax duties, and profits. As a result, corporate franchise has evolved into a permanent gift of sovereignty, complete with immortality, self-government, and limited liability. By the end of the twentieth century, corporate power both economic and political had spread across the globe. International accords not only decreased

tariffs, but also expanded corporate property rights and limited sovereign governments' power to control corporations.

According to David Schweickart, such "hypermobility of capital" creates economic and political insecurity. "If the search for lower wages comes to dominate the movement of capital, the result will be not only a lowering of worldwide wage disparities (the good to which some economists point), but also a lowering of total global income (a straight-out utilitarian bad)." According to Rasmus, income inequality in modern America has expanded as the relative proportion of income for companies and the richest one percent of families has climbed while income shares for the other 80% of the US workforce has decreased. After consistently improving for three decades after WWII, the quality of living for the majority of American workers has significantly decreased between the mid-1970s and the present. Rasmus compares today's American society's widening income gap to the decade preceding the Great Depression, estimating that "well over \$1 trillion in income is transferred annually from the roughly 90 million working-class families in America to corporations and the wealthiest non-working-class households." While a hundred new billionaires have been created since 2001, real weekly earnings for 100 million workers are lower in 2007 than they were in 1980, when Ronald Reagan took office. According to economist Richard D. Wolff, the 1970s marked the end of the labor shortage that had facilitated more than a century of rising average real wages in the United States. Wolff claims that Americans responded to the resulting lack of effective demand by working longer hours and excessive borrowing, the latter of which paved the way for the 2007-08 financial crisis.

According to David Harvey, "the export of capital and the cultivation of new markets around the world" is a solution "as old as capitalism itself" for a lack of effective demand. Imperialism is defined as "the creation and/or maintenance of an unequal economic, cultural, and territorial relationship, usually between states and often in the form of an empire, based on domination and subordination." Imperialism, according to Vladimir Lenin, was the pinnacle of capitalism. He said that the merger of banks and industrial cartels created finance capital, which was subsequently exported rather than products in search

of higher profits than the domestic market could provide. Political and financial power became divided among international monopolist firms and European states, colonizing large parts of the world to support their businesses. Analyst Michael Parenti defines imperialism as "the process by which the dominant politico-economic interests of one nation expropriate for their own enrichment the land, labor, raw materials, and markets of another people [9]."

Given its expansionist drive, capitalism has little desire to remain at home. While admitting that imperialism is not often accepted as a valid complaint against the United States, Parenti argued: Emperors and conquistadors were primarily concerned with loot and tribute, riches and glory. Capitalist imperialism differs from previous versions in that it methodically collects capital via organized worker exploitation and market penetration in other countries. Capitalist imperialism invests in other nations, altering and conquering their economies, cultures, and political lives, and integrating their financial and productive systems into a worldwide capital accumulation system. J.W. In his book, *The Political Struggle for the Twenty-First Century*, J.W. Smith investigates the economic foundations of imperial civilization's history.

On a global scale, he claims that rich nations used military force, martial rule, and inequitable trade practices to restrict or ban the economic and scientific growth of weaker emerging countries. Such economic crises are caused by corporate imperialism's imbalances, which are referred to as "survival of the fittest" or "might makes right" in theory. Just as cities monopolized the means of production in the Middle Ages by conquering and controlling raw material sources and countryside markets, Smith claims that contemporary centers of capital now control our present world through private monopoly of public resources known as "the commons." Through trade inequities, developing nations are overcharged for manufactured goods imports and underpaid for raw material exports, while money is drained from the periphery of empire and stored at imperial capitals: Over eight hundred years ago, the mighty of Europe's city-states learnt to dominate the countryside's resources and markets by invading and destroying others' rudimentary industrial capital, thereby publicly monopolizing that capital and creating and

perpetuating enormous wage disparity. This low salary channeled the riches of the peasants to imperial capitals. The powerful had learnt to pillage via trade and had been honing their abilities ever since.

Smith goes on to suggest that, like past financial empires throughout history, the modern model establishes partnerships in order to build and control wealth, while maintaining periphery states poor producers of cheap resources for imperial capital centers. During the British Enclosures, Belloc calculated that "perhaps half of the entire population was proletarian," while the other "half" owned and controlled the means of production. According to contemporary Capitalism, J.W. Smith, fewer than 500 people own more money than half of the world's population. The wealth of one-half of one percent of the US population almost matches that of the bottom 90%. According to economist Richard D. Wolff, who advocates for a "alternative economic system free of capitalism's structural flaws," reform agendas are fundamentally inadequate, given that capitalist corporations, the dominant institutions of the existing system, retain the incentives and resources to undo any sort of reform policy [10].

### DISCUSSION

The New Deal-era taxes on business and the wealthy, as well as controls on corporate activity, proved fragile and unsustainable. The opponents of the New Deal have the motivation (profit maximization) and resources (returns on investments) to reverse many of its reforms after WWII, with ever-greater impact since the 1970s. They methodically avoided, then reduced, the New Deal's taxes and regulations, finally eliminating them entirely where politically practicable. Profits from business sponsored the parties, politicians, public relations efforts, and professional think tanks that molded the true social consequences and historical fall of government economic control. Examples include the repeal of the Glass-Steagall Act, the ongoing attack on Social Security, the shifting of the federal tax burden from businesses to people and from upper- to middle-income persons, and so on.

The situation suggests that we should focus on two things. We must either produce full employment or income. People must be made consumers in one way or another. We must be careful that the individual's

potential is not squandered once they are put in this situation. For people who are unable to find conventional employment, new types of labor that benefit society must be developed. According to historian and political economist Gar Alperovitz, "King's final judgment stands as instructive evidence of his understanding of the nature of systemic challenge — and also as a reminder that, given the failures of both traditional socialism and corporate capitalism, it is time to get serious about clarifying not only the question of strategy, but also what, in fact, the meaning of changing the system in a truly democratic direction might one day entail." Allan Engler, a trade unionist and social activist, went on to claim that economic democracy was the working-class alternative to capitalism.

Engler remarked in his book "Economic Democracy": When economic democracy - a world of human equality, democracy, and collaboration - becomes the option, capitalism will no longer be seen as the lesser of two evils. Change will be founded on workplace organization, community mobilization, and democratic political activity when the working class, rather than a revolutionary party, is the agent of social transformation. The goal will be to transform capitalism into economic democracy through gains and reforms that improve living conditions, while methodically replacing wealth-holder entitlement with human entitlement, capitalist ownership with community ownership, and master-servant relationships with workplace democracy.

Each productive firm is governed by individuals who work there under worker self-management. Workers are in charge of the facility's operation, which includes organization, discipline, manufacturing processes, and the type, pricing, and distribution of goods. Distribution decisions are determined democratically. Democratic representation solves power delegation issues. Management is decided by the employee, not by the state, not by the community at large, and not by a board of directors elected by investors.

Following the one-person, one-vote philosophy, ultimate power resides with the enterprise's employees. When functioning independently in a market economy, the cooperative company structure faces various strategic problems. In a highly competitive global market, a single worker cooperative is almost certainly destined to fail. An

ecosystem of many worker cooperatives and support groups, on the other hand, may build an infrastructure that leads to long-term development and expansion. The cooperative network of Mondragon grew from a single cooperative polytechnic school to a network of 256 industrial, retail, financial, educational, and R&D enterprises [11].

While no one strategy or 'blueprint' for social control of investment has been presented, several ideas have. According to Gar Alperovitz, various real-world solutions have already evolved to democratize and decentralize wealth and capital ownership. Alperovitz cites worker cooperatives, ESOPs, credit unions and other cooperative forms, social businesses, municipally owned utilities, and public banks as beginning points towards what he calls a "Pluralist Commonwealth." David Schweickart, on the other hand, recommends a flat-rate tax on capital assets to replace all other corporate taxes.

This "capital assets tax" is collected and invested by the federal government. Funds are distributed across society, first per capita to regions and communities, then to public banks based on historical performance, and finally to enterprises with lucrative project ideas. Profitable ventures that offer more jobs are preferred over those that do not. Legislators at each level, national, regional, and municipal, select what part of their money will be utilized for public capital expenditures and send the rest to the next lower level. Most banks have entrepreneurial departments that support business development and new firm establishment. Local investment banks are supplemented by regional and national investment banks for major (regional or national) firms. These, too, would be public institutions funded by the national investment fund.

Banks are governmental, not private, organisations that offer grants to businesses rather than loans. According to Schweickart, these grants are not "free money" since an investment grant qualifies as an increase to the enterprise's capital assets, on which the capital-asset tax must be paid. As a result, the capital assets tax serves as an interest rate. A bank grant is simply a loan with interest payments but no principle payback. While an economy of worker-owned businesses may have lower unemployment than capitalism because banks are required to continually favor investment projects that promote employment,

Schweickart cautions that it does not ensure full employment. Social investment control helps to promote employment. If the market does not offer enough jobs, the government becomes the employer of last resort. The first version of the U.S. The Humphrey-Hawkins Act of 1978 believed that only in this fashion could full employment in a market economy be ensured. This is the strategy used by Economic Democracy. The cyclical unemployment characteristic of capitalism is thus prevented through social control of investment.

Karl Polanyi, a Hungarian historian, proposed that market economies should be subordinated to wider community demands. He claims that humans, the source of labor, do not reproduce just to provide the market with employees. According to Polanyi in *The Great Transformation*, both contemporary nations and market economies tend to thrive under capitalism, both are mutually reliant for functional growth. He believes that social structures must play an important role in order for market economies to be really successful. Polanyi asserted that land, labor, and money are all commodified under capitalism, despite the fact that their inherent purpose was never intended "for sale"—what he refers to as "fictitious commodities [12]."

He claims that natural resources are "God-given," money is a bookkeeping entry validated by law, and labor is a human prerogative, not a personal obligation to market economies. Schweickart's economic democracy is a kind of market economy, at least in terms of the distribution of consumer and capital goods. Firms purchase raw materials and equipment from other businesses and then sell their goods to other businesses or customers. "Prices are largely unregulated except by supply and demand, though price controls or price supports may be appropriate in some cases - as they are in most real-world forms of capitalism."

It is exceedingly difficult for a producer or planner to determine what and how much to create, as well as which production and marketing strategies are the most efficient, in the absence of a pricing system responsive to supply and demand. Otherwise, motivating manufacturers to be both efficient and inventive would be tough. Market competition, to a major albeit partial extent, overcomes these difficulties in a non-authoritarian, non-bureaucratic

manner. Profitability is still a goal for businesses. However, in a worker-run corporation, "profit" is measured differently than in a capitalist firm. Labor is considered an expense by a capitalist corporation. It is not for a worker-owned business. Labor is not a "factor of production" like land or capital.

The residual claimant is labor. Workers get whatever is left after other expenditures, such as depreciation set asides and the capital assets tax, have been paid. Schweickart's concept tries to foster fair trade, not free trade, between nations because of the way workplaces and the investment mechanism are formed. Cross-border money flows would be basically non-existent under Economic Democracy. Companies would not migrate overseas because they are democratically managed by their employees. Finance capital remains primarily in the country since funds for investment are created publicly and are required by law to be reinvested locally. "Capital does not flow into the country, either, because there are no stocks, corporate bonds, or businesses to buy; the country's capital assets are collectively owned - and thus not for sale."

Another aspect that distinguishes inclusive democracy is the separation between basic and non-basic requirements. Remuneration is established separately based on the expense of fundamental necessities and the degree of work required for non-basic needs. Inclusive democracy is founded on the concept that satisfying basic necessities is a fundamental human right guaranteed to those who are physically able to do a minimum amount of labor. In contrast, participatory economics ensures that basic needs are met only through public goods or through compassion and a guaranteed basic income for the unemployed and those who are unable to work. Many supporters of participatory economics and Participism have disputed this.

Economic democracy, as part of inclusive democracy, is the authority of the demos (community) in the economic realm, which necessitates an equitable distribution of economic power. As a result, all macroeconomic choices (overall level of output, consumption, and investment, indicated levels of labor and leisure, technologies to be deployed, etc.) are determined collectively and without representation. Microeconomic choices, on the other hand, are decided by the individual production or consumption unit through a suggested voucher system. While

reform agendas tend to criticize the current system and urge corrective measures, they do not always propose alternative models to replace capitalism's essential structures: private ownership of productive resources, the market, and wage labor [13].

Peter Barnes compares a "National Dividend" to the game of Monopoly, in which all players start with an equal distribution of financial chance to succeed and aim to privatize as much as they can as they travel about "the commons." To differentiate the board game from real-world commerce, Barnes asserts that "the top 5 percent of the population owns more property than the remaining 95 percent," giving the smaller minority an unfair edge of around "\$5 trillion" every year at the start of the game. Barnes contrasts "redistribution" of income (or property) with "predistribution" and advocates "proptertizing" (rather than corporately privatizing) "the commons" to distribute ownership universally, rather than removing money from some and giving it to others. His proposed technique to achieve this goal is the creation of a "Commons Sector," which would ensure payment from the Corporate Sector for "the commons" they use, and would evenly distribute the revenues to current and future generations of society.

The United States is one real-world example of such reform. Alaska, where each person gets a yearly piece of the state's oil income via the "Alaska Permanent Fund Dividend." Barnes believes that since "we jointly own many valuable assets," this approach might be extended to other states and countries. As corporate contamination of common assets rose, licenses for such pollution became increasingly rare, pushing up permit costs. "Less pollution equals more revenue," and "trillions of dollars could flow into an American Permanent Fund over time." A cooperative is an independent group of people who have come together voluntarily to achieve their shared economic, social, and cultural needs and ambitions via a jointly owned and democratically run business. Cooperatives, under many names, play an important part in all kinds of Economic Democracy. Cooperative business models, whether consumer or worker cooperatives, are critical to the objectives of economic democracy. The International Cooperative Alliance's Statement on the Cooperative Identity states that "cooperatives are democratic organizations controlled by their members, who actively participate in setting policies and making

decisions. Men and women serving as elected representatives are accountable to the membership. Members have equal voting rights (one member, one vote) in primary cooperatives, and cooperatives at other levels are also organized democratically [13]."

### CONCLUSION

Economic democracy opposes ownership concentration and pushes for more broad ownership forms. It investigates alternative forms of ownership that stress democratic decision-making and accountability, such as public ownership, employee ownership, community land trusts, and cooperative ownership. Economic democracy aims to supplement political democracy by expanding democratic concepts into economic domains. It seeks to create more inclusive and equitable economic systems that empower people, encourage communal decision-making, and assure the equal allocation of resources and opportunities. Economic democracy's particular implementation and models might vary, and alternative techniques may be taken depending on cultural, social, and economic conditions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. J. Murillo, "Evaluating the role of online data availability: The case of economic and institutional transparency in sixteen Latin American nations," *Int. Polit. Sci. Rev.*, 2015, doi: 10.1177/0192512114541163.
- [2] F. Tarifa, "Social science research and the social policy making process: The case of Albania," *Int. J. Interdiscip. Soc. Community Stud.*, 2013, doi: 10.18848/2324-7576/CGP/v07i01/53452.
- [3] J. Wischermann, T. C. Bui, and T. V. P. Dang, "Vietnamese civic organisations: Supporters of or obstacles to further democratisation? Results from an empirical survey," *J. Curr. Southeast Asian Aff.*, 2016, doi: 10.1177/186810341603500203.
- [4] B. Glasson, "Gentrifying Climate Change: Ecological Modernisation and the Cultural Politics of Definition," *M/C J.*, 2012, doi: 10.5204/mcj.501.
- [5] S. Hix, "Remaking democracy: Ireland as a role-model the 2019 Peter Mair lecture," *Irish Polit. Stud.*, 2020, doi: 10.1080/07907184.2020.1721085.
- [6] G. Goggin and C. Newell, "Fame and Disability," *M/C J.*, 2004, doi: 10.5204/mcj.2404.
- [7] M. S. Pardesi, "Southeast Asia in Indian Foreign Policy: Positioning India as a Major Power in Asia," in *India's Foreign Policy: Retrospect and Prospect*, 2011.
- [8] J. D. Morrow, *Game Theory for Political Scientists*. 2020. doi: 10.2307/j.ctvzxx9qg.
- [9] S. A. Asongu, J. I. Uduji, and E. N. Okolo-Obasi, "The Persistence of Weapons: Global Evidence," *Polit. Policy*, 2020, doi: 10.1111/polp.12341.
- [10] A. N. Kuriukin, "'New Normality' of Economics, Politics and society in the conditions of COVID-19 and After," *MIR (Modernization. Innov. Res.*, 2021, doi: 10.18184/2079-4665.2021.12.2.160-181.
- [11] G. G. Nebratenko, "Muammar gaddafi's state-legal doctrine: A look into the future," *Gos. i Pravo*, 2021, doi: 10.31857/S102694520010679-7.
- [12] A. Leyk, "Between rejected socialism and desired capitalism: social sciences' discourse on the transformation in Poland," *Eur. Rev. Hist.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/13507486.2016.1182125.
- [13] F. Fischer, "Policy analysis in critical perspective: The epistemics of discursive practices," *Crit. Policy Stud.*, 2007, doi: 10.1080/19460171.2007.9518510.



# Career Options in Political Science

Dr. Pramod Pandey

Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management), Presidency University,  
Bangalore, India

Email Id-pramodkumar@presidencyuniversity.in

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Political science is a broad area with several career choices for individuals interested in studying it. A political science degree may prepare you for a career in government or public administration, including positions such as policy analyst, government relations expert, campaign manager, or political consultant. Political science graduates may work as policy analysts, diplomats, or international consultants in international organizations such as the United Nations, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and international development agencies. Many graduates of political science opt to pursue a law degree, which may lead to a career as a lawyer, legal adviser, or judge. A degree in political science might prepare you for a career in academia, where you will teach and do research on political science themes in colleges and universities. Political science graduates may work in journalism and media, reporting political events, assessing policy, and offering political commentary for print, internet, or broadcast media.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Career Options, Choice Political, Political Science, Path Political, Social Science.*

---

## INTRODUCTION

Civil servants are India's steel structure. They are responsible for the smooth execution of policies and administration. They work from the bottom up to build and advance the nation via their efforts and vision. A career as an IAS (Indian Administrative Service), IPS (Indian Police Service), or IFS (Indian Foreign Services) officer is regarded as one of the most prestigious in India. A career in civil services also provides an opportunity to make dramatic and beneficial changes in the fabric of India, since officers play a significant part in the enforcement of law and order in the nation. If you are interested, you may also attend our Management Essentials Bootcamp. Although Political Science and International Relations (PSIR) is one of the optional courses for the UPSC test, prospective bureaucrats with a background in political science grasp the PSIR ideas faster than the others. A solid grasp of Political Science is required for anybody contemplating taking the UPSC (Union Public Service Commission) test, and studying the subject at the undergraduate level may greatly assist hopefuls [1].

Political Science majors acquire excellent critical thinking, writing, and research abilities, as well as a thorough understanding of public policy, all of which are required for applying for the position of policy

analyst. Policy analysts are responsible for assessing the consequences of implementing a policy and developing arguments to support or refute its adoption. They do detailed study on the current condition, identify issues, and provide remedies. They should have excellent problem-solving and analytical abilities. This is a fantastic career choice for Political Science graduates since they have the essential expertise in the country's political and legislative processes and are a perfect match for the position.

The Indian state laws, as well as the Indian Constitution, are an important part of the Political Science curriculum. This makes the subject's students suitable for studying law when they finish their studies, since they already have a thorough understanding of the principles of the field. Although they are two distinct branches of study, having studied political science gives law students an edge. A solid grasp of political science provides a solid foundation for understanding political behavior, political actions, and ideas. It is one of the respectable political science honors career paths that one might choose [2].

Most people are unaware that Members of Parliament and other elected representatives and authorities often employ aides to assist them with their legislative responsibilities. These new hires are known professionally as legislative assistants, and they work closely with our MPs to conduct research on policy issues, and pending legislation, inquire into the

problems of citizens within their employers' jurisdiction, and coordinate and communicate with other officials of the jurisdiction [3]. Legislative assistants also write reports and keep data on current topics, policy creation, and implementation for MPs. This information is not general, but rather specific to the ministers' constituencies. This provides ministers with a reasonable viewpoint, allowing them to accomplish their jobs more successfully. It is one of those most sought-after BA political science positions. Every person or organization in the public spotlight, from political candidates, officials, and parties to interest groups, need a strong social media presence. Their presence must also be handled by social media gurus who are well-versed in politics and current events. Political science graduates may use their understanding of what forms a favorable public image and opinion to develop and execute strategies to shape and improve their customers' social media campaigns. With the introduction of social media, it is critical to have a good social media presence and image. Social media is being used to bridge the gap between lawmakers and people. It is a promising job path in political science since the manager may conduct a demand analysis based on their educational background and strategize appropriate material for the website. The relevance of the material strengthens the connection and so increases public popularity [4], [5]. A competent political journalist is someone who has extensive knowledge of politics and wants to utilize it to raise public awareness about it via various media. Given their knowledge of politics and comprehension of the political environment, political science graduates make outstanding political journalists, reporters, and correspondents. Journalism is an excellent career choice for Political Science graduates, whether they want to enhance their undergraduate degree with a degree or certification in journalism or mass communication or begin working immediately after graduation. Political science students may further their education by pursuing a degree or certificate in journalism or mass communication. They might opt to work in the sector immediately after graduation. Journalism is an excellent job path for political science grads. There are several political science career options in the realm of journalism; one may explore any area of interest [6].

Political science graduates must study the movement of power in political systems in order to understand how to promote a certain product across geographies in order to optimize its influence. As a result of their understanding of research procedures, they acquire a talent for marketing tasks, which gives another employment choice in Political Science, since they can swiftly and easily analyze how customers of a given demographic would react to the product. Following graduation, students may pursue an MBA or work in the marketing business. Marketing has a STP (Segmentation, Targeting, and Positioning) process that plays a significant part in the success of a product. To promote a product appropriately in the right demographic, with the right cost may build or destroy a product's demand. It is one of the in-demand positions following a BA in political science where people may advance their careers. Students who appreciate learning more about the topic may choose to try teaching at the high school or college level as a career path in Political Science. Instructors at the secondary level must have a B.Ed. degree as well as an MA in political science, while instructors at the college level must pass tests such as the UGC NET/SET and/or have a Ph.D [7].

Teaching is an appropriate vocation in political science for those who are interested in academics. They might either teach at a school or pursue further study, depending on their preferences. It is one of those careers for political science students where they may be hired by prestigious institutions and broaden their horizons. Intelligence analysts work for government organizations such as the CBI. They use their political science expertise to analyze all levels and dimensions of growth in the country's volatile areas, which necessitates their specialized knowledge and skill set. Their job also includes compiling information on organizations that may represent a danger to national security, researching trends of leadership and public reaction, and presenting their findings conclusively.

This offers up a promising professional path in political science. A political campaign staffer's job description is similar to that of a social media manager, except that this work is largely done offline. Political campaign staff workers compose news releases and speeches for their clients, plan and implement campaign tactics, analyze current political topics,

monitor public responses to nurture their client's image and organize campaign fundraisers. Public relations agents or experts serve as intermediaries between their customers and the general public through different media channels. From organizing press releases and conferences to coordinating campaigns, events, and public appearances, the occupation necessitates sharp critical abilities in researching, writing, and analyzing to promote their client's image, which political science students excel at [8], [9].

### DISCUSSION

Political science provides a wide variety of professional choices, both now and in the future. Political science studies political systems, public policy, international relations, governance, and political behavior. Consider the following job paths. Many political science graduates work in government and public administration at different levels, including local, state, and national government agencies. Policy analysis, program management, public affairs, legislative research, and public administration are all possible roles.

Political science graduates are often employed as political analysts or researchers, offering insights into political trends, performing policy research, and assessing public opinion. Think tanks, research institutes, polling groups, and political consulting businesses may hire them. Working on global concerns, diplomacy, and international collaboration are all part of a career in international relations. You may work in international organizations, foreign affairs departments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), or international policy think tanks. Political science graduates who are interested in campaign management, political consulting, or policy advocacy may work as campaign managers, political consultants, or policy advocates. They can help with campaign strategy, message, funding, and grassroots organization.

Political science graduates may help establish and evaluate public policy. They may work in government agencies, research institutions, or non-profit organizations as policy analysts, policy consultants, or research associates. Political science provides academic possibilities for study and teaching. Graduates may seek higher degrees and work in universities and colleges as professors, lecturers, or

researchers. Political science graduates with good communication skills may work as political journalists, reporters, or commentators for media organizations, covering political events, evaluating policy problems, and offering political commentary. Political science is a good basis for a career in law [10].

Many political science graduates go on to law school and work in government, private law firms, or non-profit organizations as lawyers, legal scholars, or legal consultants. Political science graduates may work in non-profit groups that concentrate on particular policy issues, such as social justice, human rights, or community development. Policy lobbying, program administration, and community organizing are all possible roles. Political science graduates might work in businesses that conduct surveys, analyze data, and provide insights into political and consumer behavior. It should be noted that exact job routes in political science may differ based on individual interests, talents, and educational level. Furthermore, when political dynamics shift and new global concerns emerge, new job possibilities in areas such as environmental policy, cybersecurity, digital governance, and data analysis may emerge. Keeping up with current events and consistently developing relevant abilities might improve employment chances in political science.

### CONCLUSION

Political science graduates might also engage in public opinion research, conducting surveys and analyzing data to better understand public sentiments toward political topics and politicians. Political science graduates may also work in business, employing their understanding of politics and policy to positions in corporate government relations, public affairs, or public relations. Given the rising need for specialists who can traverse complicated political systems and offer insights into the functioning of governments, organizations, and communities, political science is expected to remain a relevant profession in the future. With the advent of globalization, climate change, and other complicated difficulties, political scientists who can give insights into how to handle these concerns at the local, national, and international levels are expected to be in high demand. Overall, a degree in political science may lead to a variety of interesting

and relevant job opportunities. Political science graduates have critical thinking, analytical, research, communication, and problem-solving abilities, making them well-suited for a variety of jobs in both the public and commercial sectors.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. Keuter, S. Deck, H. Giesenkamp, D. Gonglach, V. T. Katayama, S. Liesegang, F. Petersen, S. Schwindenhammer, H. Steinmetz, and A. Ulbrich, "Significance and vision of nutrient recovery for sustainable city food systems in Germany by 2050," *Sustain.*, 2021, doi: 10.3390/su131910772.
- [2] M. S. Lewis-Beck, "Teaching Undergraduate Methods: Overcoming 'Stat' Anxiety," *Polit. Methodol.*, 2001.
- [3] E. Perego, "Cross-national research in audiovisual translation: Some methodological considerations," *J. Audiov. Transl.*, 2018, doi: 10.47476/jat.v1i1.44.
- [4] O. V. Bakhlova, I. V. Bakhlov, I. G. Napalkova, and A. S. Soldatova, "Development of the programme of applied research as a tool for the formation of research competency of future political scientists (on the example of the theme 'Spatial Development of Russia as a Factor in Nation-Building and National Idea Formation')," *Obraz. i Nauk.*, 2019, doi: 10.17853/1994-5639-2019-9-49-79.
- [5] J. H. G. Klabbbers, "On the Architecture of Game Science," *Simul. Gaming*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1046878118762534.
- [6] A. Hamouda, "Entrepreneurship for all: An exploration of the impact of entrepreneurship education across disciplines," 2018.
- [7] V. S. Mart'yanov and L. G. Fishman, "Social sciences and global turbulence: Rebooting the mainstream," *World Econ. Int. Relations*, 2021, doi: 10.20542/0131-2227-2021-65-1-100-113.
- [8] M. Hilmi Hamzah, N. Yusof, A. Kasim, K. Ngah, J. Mustaffa, and Z. Zakaria, "Nvivo approach and content analysis in media flow analysis and alternative selected prime: Permatang Pauh by-election," *Asian Soc. Sci.*, 2013, doi: 10.5539/ass.v9n15p84.
- [9] T. Sueyoshi, Y. Yuan, and M. Goto, "A literature study for DEA applied to energy and environment," *Energy Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.eneco.2016.11.006.
- [10] H. N. Oueijan, "Educating for peace in higher education," *Univers. J. Educ. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.13189/ujer.2018.060909.